

## On the existence of nonnegative radial solutions for elliptic system

Liu Hui Zhao and Wang Hui

(Received March 19, 1999)  
(Revised January 7, 2000)

### Abstract

The existence of nonnegative radial solutions for some systems of  $m(m \geq 1)$  semilinear elliptic equations is proved by a simple application of a fixed point theorem in cones.

### 1. Introduction and Main Result

This paper can be regarded as a continuation of both [2] and [1].

In this paper, we study the existence of nontrivial nonnegative radial solutions to the system of  $m$  quasilinear elliptic equations

$$\Delta u + p(r)f(u) = 0, \quad 0 < A < r < B \quad (1.1)$$

with one of the following three sets of boundary conditions

$$u = 0 \text{ on } r = A \text{ and } r = B \quad (1.2)_a$$

$$u = 0 \text{ on } r = A \text{ and } \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} = 0 \text{ on } r = B \quad (1.2)_b$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial r} = 0 \text{ on } r = A \text{ and } u = 0 \text{ on } r = B \quad (1.2)_c$$

where  $\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n; A < r < B\}$  is an annulus,  $r := \sqrt{x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2}$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , and  $p(r)f(u) := (p_1(r)f_1(u), \dots, p_m(r)f_m(u))$ ,  $m \geq 1$ .

Throughout this paper, we make the following hypotheses

$(H_1)q(t) = (q_1(t), \dots, q_m(t))$ ,  $q_j(t) := h^2[w(t)]^{2n-2}p_j(w(t))$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , is a nonnegative measurable  $m$ -dimensional vector function defined on  $[0, 1]$  and satisfies either

$$0 < \int_0^1 s(1-s)q(s)ds < +\infty, \quad (1.3)_a$$

---

*Mathematics Subject Classification*: 34B15

*Key words and phrases*: elliptic system, nonnegative radial solution, existence, fixed point theorem in cones.

or

$$0 < \int_0^1 sq(s)ds < +\infty \quad (1.3)_b$$

or

$$0 < \int_0^1 (1-s)q(s)ds < +\infty \quad (1.3)_c$$

where the function  $r=w(t)$  is the inverse function to

$$t=v(r):=\frac{1}{h}\int_A^r \frac{ds}{s^{n-1}}, \quad h:=\int_A^B \frac{ds}{s^{n-1}}. \quad (1.4)$$

$(H_2)f(u)=(f_1(u), \dots, f_m(u))$  is a nonnegative continuous  $m$ -dimensional vector function defined on  $\mathbf{R}_+^m$ ,  $\mathbf{R}_+=[0, +\infty)$ , and satisfies either

(i)  $f_0=0$  and  $f_\infty=+\infty$  (superlinear), or

(ii)  $f_0=+\infty$  and  $f_\infty=0$  (sublinear),

where

$$f_0:=\lim_{|u| \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(u)}{|u|}, \quad f_\infty:=\lim_{|u| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(u)}{|u|}.$$

Here and henceforth, we denote the norm of  $u \in \mathbf{R}^m$  by

$$|u|:=\max\{|u_j|; j=1, \dots, m\}$$

and write  $z > y (z \geq y)$  if  $z-y \in \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_+^m$ ,  $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_+=(0, +\infty)$ ,  $(z-y \in \mathbf{R}_+^m)$ . Further, we say that a vector  $y$  is positive (nonnegative) if  $y > 0 (y \geq 0)$ .

The hypothesis  $(H_1)$  allows  $q(t) \equiv 0$  on some subintervals of  $[0, 1]$  and to have singularity at  $t=0$  and  $t=1$ . For example,

$$q_j(t)=t^{-\alpha_j}(1-t)^{-\beta_j}(|\cos 2\pi t| + \cos 2\pi t), \quad j=1, 2, \dots, m$$

satisfies  $(1.3)_a$  provided  $\alpha_j, \beta_j \in (0, 2)$ .

If  $u=u(r)$  is a nonnegative radial solution to the problem (1.1)-(1.2), then it satisfies the problem

$$[r^{n-1}u'(r)]' + r^{n-1}p(r)f(u(r))=0, \quad A < r < B, \quad (1.5)$$

$$u(A)=u(B)=0, \quad \text{or} \quad (1.6)_a$$

$$u(A)=u'(B)=0, \quad \text{or} \quad (1.6)_b$$

$$u'(A)=u(B)=0, \quad (1.6)_c$$

Let us introduce the change of variables

$$r = w(t) \text{ and } y(t) = u(w(t)),$$

where  $w(t)$  is determined by  $(H_1)$ . Then the problem (1.5)-(1.6) can be written as

$$y''(t) + q(t)f(y(t)) = 0, \quad 0 < t < 1 \quad (1.7)$$

$$y(0) = y(1) = 0, \quad \text{or} \quad (1.8)_a$$

$$y(0) = y'(1) = 0, \quad \text{or} \quad (1.8)_b$$

$$y'(0) = y(1) = 0, \quad (1.8)_c$$

Conversely, if  $y(t)$  is a nonnegative solution to the problem (1.7)-(1.8), then  $u(r) := y(v(r))$  is a nonnegative radial solution to the problem (1.1)-(1.2), where the function  $v(r)$  is defined by (1.4). Therefore, we concentrate on the problem (1.7)-(1.8) in the sequel.

Here we say that a function  $y(t) = (y_1(t), \dots, y_m(t))$  is a nonnegative solution to the problem (1.7)-(1.8) if it satisfies the following conditions:

(i)  $y(t) \in C([0, 1]; \mathbf{R}^m) \cap C^1((0, 1); \mathbf{R}^m)$ ,

(ii)  $y'(t)$  is locally absolutely continuous in  $(0, 1)$ , or in  $(0, 1]$ , or in  $[0, 1)$ ,

(iii)  $y''(t) = -q(t)f(y(t))$  for a.e.  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $y(0) = y(1) = 0$ , or  $y(0) = y'(1) = 0$ , or  $y'(0) = y(1) = 0$ .

It is clear that  $y(t) \equiv 0$  is a trivial solution to the problem (1.7)-(1.8) when  $f(0) = 0$ . Because of the physical background of the problem above, we are mainly interested in nontrivial nonnegative solutions.

The main purpose this paper is to extend and improve the existence results in both [1] and [2]. The paper [1] deals with only the case of  $m=1$  and the paper [2] only the case when  $m=2$  and  $f(u)$  is sublinear (i.e.  $f_0 = +\infty$ ,  $f_{+\infty} = 0$ ). In [2], conditions on  $f(u)$  appear to prolix. Other papers related to this note can be found in [3-8] and the references therein.

The main result of this paper is stated as follows

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $(H_1)$  and  $(H_2)$  hold. Then the problem (1.7)-(1.8) has a nontrivial nonnegative solution  $y(t)$ , i.e., the problem (1.1)-(1.2) has a nontrivial nonnegative radial solution on the annulus domain  $\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n; A < r < B\}$ .*

The proof of Theorem 1 will be based on an application of the following fixed point theorem due to Krasnoselskii (which is quoted from [1])

**Theorem 2 (Krasnoselskii).** *Let  $E$  be a Banach space, and let  $K \subset E$  be a cone in  $E$ . Assume  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2$  are open subset of  $E$  with  $0 \in \Omega_1, \overline{\Omega_1} \subset \Omega_2$ , and let*

$$\Phi : K \cap (\overline{\Omega_2} \setminus \Omega_1) \rightarrow K$$

be a completely continuous operator such that either

(i)  $\|\Phi_y\| \leq \|y\| \forall y \in K \cap \partial\Omega_1$  and  $\|\Phi_y\| \geq \|y\| \forall y \in K \cap \partial\Omega_2$ ; or

(ii)  $\|\Phi_y\| \geq \|y\| \forall y \in K \cap \partial\Omega_1$  and  $\|\Phi_y\| \leq \|y\| \forall y \in K \cap \partial\Omega_2$ .

Then  $\Phi$  has a fixed point in  $K \cap (\overline{\Omega_2} \setminus \Omega_1)$ .

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1

In this section, we only consider the problem (1.7)-(1.8)<sub>a</sub>, since the problems (1.7)-(1.8)<sub>b</sub> or (1.7)-(1.8)<sub>c</sub> can be studied in the similar way. We will distinguish two case to discuss the problem (1.7)-(1.8)<sub>a</sub>.

**Superliner case.** In this case,  $f_0=0$  and  $f_\infty=+\infty$ . We wish to prove the existence of a nontrivial nonnegative solution for the problem (1.7)-(1.8)<sub>a</sub>. It is obvious that the problem (1.7)-(1.8)<sub>a</sub> has a solution  $y(t)$  if and only if  $y(t)$  solves the integral equation

$$y(t) = \int_0^1 G_a(t, s) q(s) f(y(s)) ds =: (\Phi_a y)(t), \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$G_a(t, s) := \begin{cases} s(1-t), & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\ t(1-s), & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

It is clear that  $G_a(t, s) \leq s(1-s)$  on  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ .

Let us define an operator  $\Phi_a : K_a \rightarrow K_a$  by the right hand-side of (2.1), where

$$K_a := \{y \in E; y_j(t) \text{ is concave on } [0, 1] \text{ with} \\ y_j(0) = y_j(1) = 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m\},$$

is a cone in  $E$ , while  $E$  is the Banach space of continuous  $m$ -dimensional vector functions defined on  $[0, 1]$  with the norm

$$\|y\| := \max\{\|y_j\| : j = 1, \dots, m\}, \quad \|y_j\| := \max\{|y_j(t)| : 0 \leq t \leq 1\}.$$

Whence it follows that, for any  $y_j(t) \in K_a$ , we have

$$y_j(t) \geq \|y_j\| t(1-t) \text{ on } [0, 1], \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m. \quad (2.2)$$

From the definition of  $\Phi_a$ , we have for any  $y \in K_a$

$$(\Phi_{ay})'_j(t) = -\int_0^t s q_j(s) f_j(y(s)) ds + \int_t^1 (1-s) q_j(s) f_j(y(s)) ds,$$

$$0 < t < 1,$$

$$(\Phi_{ay})'_j(t) = -q_j(t) f_j(y(t)), \text{ a.e. in } (0, 1), \text{ and}$$

$$(\Phi_{ay})_j(0) = (\Phi_{ay})_j(1) = 0, \quad j=1, 2, \dots, m.$$

Therefore,  $\Phi_a(K_a) \subset K_a$ . Moreover, from the continuity of  $f(y)$  on  $\mathbf{R}_m^+$ , we also can prove that  $\Phi_a$  is completely continuous on  $K_a$ .

Now, since  $f_0=0$ , we can choose a  $\rho_1 > 0$  such that

$$f_j(u) \leq \varepsilon |u| \text{ whenever } 0 \leq |u| \leq \rho_1, \quad j=1, \dots, m \quad (2.4)$$

where the constant  $\varepsilon > 0$  satisfies the condition

$$0 < \varepsilon \int_0^1 s(1-s) q_j(s) ds \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad j=1, 2, \dots, m. \quad (2.5)$$

Thus if  $y \in K_a$  with  $\|y\| = \rho_1$ , then from (2.4) and (2.5)

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq (\Phi_{ay})_j(t) &\leq \int_0^1 s(1-s) q_j(s) f_j(y(s)) ds \\ &\leq \varepsilon \|y\| \int_0^1 s(1-s) q_j(s) ds \\ &\leq \|y\|, \quad j=1, 2, \dots, m, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\|\Phi_{ay}\| < \|y\| \quad \forall y \in K_a \cap \partial\Omega_1,$$

where  $\Omega_1 := \{y \in E; \|y\| < \rho_1\}$ .

Further, since  $f_\infty = +\infty$ , there exists a  $\rho_2 > \rho_1$  such that

$$f_j(u) \geq M |u| \text{ whenever } |u| > \delta^2 \rho_2, \quad j=1, 2, \dots, m \quad (2.6)$$

where the constants  $\delta \in \left(0, \frac{1}{4}\right)$  satisfies

$$\alpha := \min \left\{ \int_\delta^{1-\delta} s(1-s) q_j(s) ds; \quad j=1, 2, \dots, m \right\} > 0. \quad (2.7)$$

In the sequel,  $\delta$  is always chosen to satisfy (2.7). Moreover,  $M > 0$  is chosen so that

$$\delta^2 \alpha M > 2. \quad (2.8)$$

Let  $\Omega_2 := \{y \in E; \|y\| < \rho_2\}$ , then  $y \in K_a$  with  $\|y\| = \rho_2$  implies that

$$y_j(t) \geq \delta^2 \rho_2 \text{ on } [\delta, 1-\delta] \text{ for some } j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}, \quad (2.9)$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi_a y)_j \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) &= \int_0^1 G_a \left( \frac{1}{2}, s \right) q_j(s) f_j(y(s)) ds \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_\delta^{1-\delta} s(1-s) q_j(s) f_j(y(s)) ds \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \alpha \delta^2 \rho_2 M \\ &> \rho_2 = \|y\| \quad j=1, \dots, m. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used (2.6), (2.7), (2.8) and (2.9). This shows that

$$\|\Phi_a y\| > \|y\| \quad \forall y \in K_a \cap \partial \Omega_2.$$

Therefore, from the first part of Theorem 2, we conclude that  $\Phi_a$  has a fixed point in  $K_a \cap (\overline{\Omega_2} \setminus \Omega_1)$ . Let  $y(t)$  be the fixed point. Then it satisfies the integral equation (2.1) and  $0 < \rho_1 \leq \|y\| \leq \rho_2$ . This shows that the fixed point  $y(t)$  is a nontrivial nonnegative solution to the problem (1.7)-(1.8)<sub>a</sub>.

**Sublinear case.** In this case  $f_0 = +\infty$  and  $f_\infty = 0$ .

Since  $f_0 = +\infty$ , we may choose  $\rho_1 > 0$  such that

$$f_j(u) \geq M|u| \text{ whenever } 0 \leq |u| \leq \rho_1, \quad j=1, 2, \dots, m,$$

where the constant  $M$  satisfies (2.8). Thus, for  $y \in K_a$  with  $\|y\| = \rho_1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi_a y)_j \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_\delta^{1-\delta} s(1-s) q_j(s) f_j(y(s)) ds \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \alpha \delta^2 \rho_1 M \\ &> \rho_1 = \|y\| \quad j=1, \dots, m. \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\|\Phi_a y\| > \|y\| \quad \forall y \in K_a \cap \partial \Omega_1,$$

where  $\Omega_1 := \{y \in E; \|y\| < \rho_1\}$ .

Further, Since  $f_\infty = 0$ , there exists an  $N > \rho_1$  such that

$$f_j(u) \leq \varepsilon|u| \text{ whenever } |u| \geq N, \quad j=1, \dots, m.$$

where the constant  $\varepsilon$  satisfies (2.5). Let

$$\rho_2 \geq 2N + \max\{f_j(u); 0 \leq |u| \leq N\} \left( \int_0^1 2s(1-s)q_j(s)ds \right), \quad j=1, 2, \dots, m$$

Then for  $y \in K_a$  with  $\|y\| = \rho_2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi_a y)_j(t) &\leq \int_0^1 s(1-s)q_j(s)f_j(y(s))ds \\ &< N + \max\{f_j(u); 0 \leq |u| \leq N\} \left( \int_0^1 s(1-s)q_j(s)ds \right) \\ &\quad + \varepsilon \|y\| \int_0^1 s(1-s)q_j(s)ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\rho_2 + \frac{1}{2}\|y\| = \|y\| \end{aligned}$$

i.e,

$$\|\Phi_a y\| < \|y\| \quad \forall y \in K_a \cap \partial\Omega_2,$$

where  $\Omega_2 := \{y \in E; \|y\| < \rho_2\}$ .

Therefore, by the second part to Theorem 2, it follows that  $\Phi_a$  has a fixed point  $y(t)$  in  $K_a \cap (\overline{\Omega_2} \setminus \Omega_1)$ , which is a nontrivial nonnegative solution to the problem (1.7)-(1.8)<sub>a</sub>. This completes the proof of Theorem 1 for the problem (1.7)-(1.8)<sub>a</sub>.

Finally, we consider the problem (1.7)-(1.8)<sub>b</sub> and (1.7)-(1.8)<sub>c</sub>. It is easy to check that (1.7)-(1.8)<sub>b</sub> and (1.7)-(1.8)<sub>c</sub> are equivalent to the integral equation

$$y(t) = \int_0^t G_b(t, s)q(s)f(y(s))ds$$

and

$$y(t) = \int_t^1 G_c(t, s)q(s)f(y(s))ds$$

respectively, where

$$G_b(t, s) := \begin{cases} s, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1 \\ t, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

and

$$G_c(t, s) := \begin{cases} 1-t, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1 \\ 1-s, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

For the problem (1.7)-(1.8)<sub>b</sub>, let  $K_b$  be the cone given by

$$K_b = \{y \in E : y_j(t) \text{ is concave on } [0, 1], y_j(0) = 0 \text{ is the minimum and } y_j(1) \text{ is the maximum, } j=1, 2, \dots, m\}.$$

For the problem (1.7)-(1.8)<sub>c</sub>, let  $K_c$  be the cone given by

$$K_c = \{y \in E : y_j(t) \text{ is concave on } [0, 1], y_j(0) = 0 \text{ is the maximum and } y_j(1) = 0 \text{ is the minimum, } j=1, 2, \dots, m\}$$

By the above method, Theorem 2 is employed to prove that both (1.7)-(1.8)<sub>b</sub> and (1.7)-(1.8)<sub>c</sub> have nontrivial nonnegative solutions. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

### References

- [1] L. H. Erbe and Haiyan Wang, *On the existence of positive solutions of ordinary differential equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 120 (1994), 743-748.
- [2] Ruyun Ma, *Existence of positive radial solutions for elliptic systems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 201 (1996), 375-386.
- [3] C. Bandle and M. K. Marcus, *Existence and uniqueness results for semilinear Dirichlet problem in annuli*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 108 (1989), 293-307.
- [4] A. M. Fink and J. A. Gatica *Positive solution of second order systems of boundary value problems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 180 (1993), 93-108.
- [5] X. Garaizar, *Existence of positive radial solutions for semilinear elliptic problem in annulus*, J. Differential Equation, 70 (1987), 69-72.
- [6] Z. M. Gou *Existence and uniqueness of positive radial solution for a class of quasilinear elliptic equations*, Appl. Anal., 47 (1992), 173-190.
- [7] Z. M. Gou *Existence of positive radial solution for a class of quasilinear elliptic equations in annulus*, Chin. Ann. of Math. 17 (A): 5 (1996), 573-582.
- [8] Yang Zuodong and Yang Huisheng *Existence of positive radial solution for a class of quasilinear elliptic systems*, Mathematica Applicata (Chinese). 11 (4) (1998), 58-62.

Liu Hui Zhao

Department of Applied Mathematics

Hebei University of technology

Tianjin 300130

People's Republic of China.

Wang Hui

Department of Mathematics

Harbin Normal University

Changchun 150080

People's Republic of China.