Relative norms of Gauss sums for characters of 2-power order

Akira Endô

(Received January 4, 2006)

Abstract. Let p be a prime number such that $p \equiv 9 \pmod{16}$ and t a natural number bigger than 3. We evaluate the relative norms of Gauss sums for characters of order 2^{t-s} , $0 \le s \le t-1$, on the finite field F_{pf} , $f=2^{t-3}$.

1. Introduction

In this note we treate to evaluate the relative norms of a special class of Gauss sums over finite fields. Let p be an odd prime number. Let m be a natural number bigger than 1 and $f = \operatorname{ord}_m p$ the multiplicative order of p modulo m. For a multiplicative character χ of order m on the finite field F_q , $q = p^f$, extended with $\chi(0) = 0$, the Gauss sums $G_f(\chi^r)$, $1 \le r \le m-1$, are defined by

$$G_f(\chi^r) = \sum_{\alpha \in F_o} \chi^r(\alpha) \zeta_p^{\operatorname{Tr}(\alpha)}$$

where $\zeta_p=e^{2\pi i/p}$ and Tr is the trace map from F_q onto $F_p.$

In general it is difficult to evaluate explicitly Gauss sums over finite fields, and only in the special cases the values of them are known. When m=2, it is a classical theorem of Gauss that

$$G(\chi) = \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \chi(a) \zeta_p^a = \begin{cases} \sqrt{p}, & p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ i\sqrt{p}, & p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

If m=4 and $p\equiv 1\pmod 4$, then f=1 and χ is a quartic character on F_p (cf. [1, Chap.4]). If m=4 and $p\equiv 3\pmod 4$, then f=2 and we have

$$G_2(\chi) = \sum_{\alpha \in F_{-2}} \chi(\alpha) \zeta_p^{\mathrm{Tr}\alpha} = (-1)^{\frac{p+1}{4}} p$$

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 11T24 Keywords: Gauss sum, Finite field

by Stickelberger's theorem [1, Chap.11], since $-1 \in$, the subgroup generated by p in the multiplicative group $(Z/4Z)^{\times}$. In the case $m=2^t$ with $t \geq 3$ and $p \equiv 3$ or 5 (mod 8), the evaluations of $G_f(\chi^{2^s}), 0 \leq s \leq t-1$, have been recently given by Meijer and van der Vlught [4].

We consider the case $m=2^t$ with $t\geq 4, p\equiv 9\pmod{16}$ and hence $f=2^{t-3}$. We see that $G_f(\chi)$ is in the 8p-th cyclotomic number field K_1 . So, we treate to evaluate the relative norms of $G_f(\chi^{2^s}), 0\leq s\leq t-1$, from K_1 to its subfield K_0 , the 4p-th cyclotomic number field.

2. Preminaries

Let k be the m-th cyclotomic number field; then k has $k_0 = Q(i)$ and $k_1 = Q(i, \sqrt{2})$ as subfields, Q being the field of rational numbers. Let $K = k(\zeta_p)$, which has $K_i = k_i(\zeta_p)$, i = 0, 1, as subfields. We see that

$$[k:k_1]=[K:K_1]=f=\frac{m}{8},$$

$$[k:k_0] = [K:K_0] = 2f = \frac{m}{4}$$

Let $G \cong (Z/mZ)^{\times}$ be the Galois group of k over Q; for a rational integer a prime to m, let σ_a denote the automorphism of k sending ζ_m to ζ_m^a where $\zeta_m = e^{2\pi i/m}$. The order of σ_p is equal to f. We write σ simply for σ_5 ; then the order of σ is equal to 2f, since $<5^2>=\subset (Z/mZ)^{\times}$, and k_0 and k_1 correspond to the subgroups $<\sigma>$ and $<\sigma^2>=<\sigma_p>$ of G, respectively.

Since $p \equiv 1 \pmod 8$, (p) splits completely in k_1 , and hence so in k_0 . Let $\mathfrak{p}_0 = (a+bi)$, where a and b are rational integers such that $p = a^2 + b^2$, be a prime divisor of (p) in k_0 , and further let \mathfrak{p} and \mathfrak{p}^{σ} be a prime divisor of \mathfrak{p}_0 in k_1 and its conjugate by σ , respectively: $(p) = \mathfrak{p}_0 \, \overline{\mathfrak{p}}_0$ in k_0 and $\mathfrak{p}_0 = \mathfrak{p} \, \mathfrak{p}^{\sigma}, \, \overline{\mathfrak{p}}_0 = \overline{\mathfrak{p}} \, \overline{\mathfrak{p}}^{\sigma}$ in k_1 , the bar indicating the complex conjugate. All of these prime divisors of (p) in k_1 remain prime in k, and may be considered as prime ideals of k, and all prime divisors of (p) in k_i are totally ramified in K_i , i = 0, 1: $\mathfrak{p}_0 = \mathfrak{P}_0^{p-1}$ in K_0 and $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{P}^{p-1}$ in K_1 etc.

We identify F_q with the residue class field O_k/\mathfrak{p} , O_k being the integer ring of k, and define a multiplicative character χ of order m on F_q by

$$\chi(\alpha) \bmod \mathfrak{p} = \alpha^{\frac{q-1}{m}}, \qquad \alpha \in F_q.$$
 (1)

Let χ^* denote the restriction of χ on F_p ; then, since the multiplicative groups of finite fields are cyclic, the order of χ^* is equal to m/(m,(q-1)/(p-1))=8. For $1 \le r \le m-1$ we have

$$G_f(\chi^r) = \sum_{a=0}^{p-1} \left(\sum_{\substack{\alpha \in F_q \\ \text{Tr}\alpha = a}} \chi^r(\alpha) \right) \zeta_p^a = \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \chi^{*r}(a) \zeta_p^a \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in F_q \\ \text{Tr}\alpha = 1}} \chi^r(\alpha) + \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in F_q \\ \text{Tr}\alpha = 0}} \chi^r(\alpha)$$

and

$$\sum_{\substack{\alpha \in F_q \\ \operatorname{Tr}\alpha = 0}} \chi^r(\alpha) = -\sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in F_q \\ \operatorname{Tr}\alpha = a}} \chi^r(\alpha) = -\sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \chi^{*r}(a) \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in F_q \\ \operatorname{Tr}\alpha = 1}} \chi^r(\alpha)$$

$$= \begin{cases} 0, & \chi^{*r} \neq 1, \\ -(p-1) \sum_{\alpha \in F_q} \chi^r(\alpha), & \chi^{*r} = 1, \end{cases}$$

which implies

$$G_f(\chi^r) = \begin{cases} G(\chi^{*r}) E_f(\chi^r), & \chi^{*r} \neq 1, \\ -p E_f(\chi^r), & \chi^{*r} = 1, \end{cases}$$
 (2)

where

$$E_f(\chi^r) = \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in F_q \\ \text{Tr}\alpha = 1}} \chi^r(\alpha).$$

Since $\operatorname{Tr}\alpha=\operatorname{Tr}\alpha^p$, it follows that $E_f(\chi^r)=E_f(\chi^{rp})$ is in k_1 and hence $G_f(\chi^r)=G_f(\chi^{rp})$ is in K_1 . So, we evaluate the relative norm $\operatorname{N}_{K_1/K_0}G_f(\chi^r)$.

3. $N_{K_1/K_0}G_f(\chi^2)$ and Lemma

For any rational integer a not divisible by m, we denote the least positive residue of a modulo m by $R_m(a): a \equiv R_m(a) \pmod{m}, 1 \leq R_m(a) \leq m-1$. Define

$$s(a) = s_f(a) = a_0 + a_1 + \dots + a_{f-1},$$

 $t(a) = t_f(a) = a_0! a_1! \dots a_{f-1}!$

with rational integers $a_j, 0 \le j \le f-1$, which appear in the p-adic expansion

$$R_m(a)\frac{q-1}{m}=a_0+a_1p+\cdots+a_{f-1}p^{f-1}.$$

It follows that

$$s(a) = \frac{p-1}{m} \sum_{j=0}^{f-1} R_m(ap^j). \tag{3}$$

Stickebrger's congruence theorem [1, Chap.11] shows that

$$G_f(\chi^{-a}) \equiv -\frac{(\zeta_p - 1)^{s(a)}}{t(a)} \pmod{\mathfrak{P}^{s(a)+1}}$$
(4)

and in particular $G_f(\chi^{-a})$ is divisible exactly by $\mathfrak{P}^{s(a)}$: $\mathfrak{P}^{s(a)} \parallel G_f(\chi^{-a})$. Now, we have

$$\mathfrak{P}^{s(-2)} \parallel G_f(\chi^2), \ \mathfrak{P}^{s(-10)} \parallel G_f(\chi^{10}), \ \mathfrak{P}^{s(2)} \parallel G_f(\chi^{-2}), \ \mathfrak{P}^{s(10)} \parallel G_f(\chi^{-10}).$$

Appling $\sigma^{-1}, \sigma_{-1}, \sigma_{-5}^{-1} = \sigma_{-1}\sigma^{-1}$ respectively to these last three divisibility relations by prime ideals yields

$$(\mathfrak{P}^{\sigma})^{s(-10)} \parallel G_f(\chi^2), \qquad \overline{\mathfrak{P}}^{s(2)} \parallel G_f(\chi^2), \qquad (\overline{\mathfrak{P}}^{\sigma})^{s(10)} \parallel G_f(\chi^2).$$

Therefore the prime ideal factorization of $(G_f(\chi^2))$ is given as follows:

$$(G_f(\chi^2)) = \mathfrak{P}^{s(-2)} \, (\mathfrak{P}^{\sigma})^{s(-10)} \, \overline{\mathfrak{P}}^{s(2)} \, (\overline{\mathfrak{P}}^{\sigma})^{s(10)}.$$

Also, we have

$$(G_f(\chi^{10})) = \mathfrak{P}^{s(-10)} \, (\mathfrak{P}^{\sigma})^{s(-2)} \, \overline{\mathfrak{P}}^{s(10)} \, (\overline{\mathfrak{P}}^{\sigma})^{s(2)} \cdot$$

These two factorizations imply

$$(N_{K_1/K_0}G_f(\chi^2)) = (G_f(\chi^2)G_f(\chi^{10})) = (\mathfrak{PP}^{\sigma})^{s(-2)+s(-10)} (\overline{\mathfrak{P}}\overline{\mathfrak{P}}^{\sigma})^{s(2)+s(10)}.$$
(5)

Lemma. For any rational integer a not divisible by $m = 2^t$, put

$$S_f(a) = \sum_{j=0}^{f-1} R_m(ap^j).$$

If $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, then for $f = 2^{t-3}$ with $t \geq 4$

$$S_f(a) = \frac{f}{2}S_2(a) + (\frac{f}{2} - 1)m.$$

Proof. To obtain the desired equality it suffices to show the recurrence formula

$$S_f(a) = 2S_{\frac{f}{2}}(a) + \frac{f}{4}m$$

for $f = 2^{t-3}$ with $t \ge 5$. We have that

$$p^{\frac{f}{4}} \equiv 1 + 2f = 1 + \frac{m}{4} \pmod{\frac{m}{2}},$$

$$p^{\frac{f}{2}} \equiv 1 + 4f = 1 + \frac{m}{2} \pmod{m}.$$

If $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, then for $0 \leq j \leq f/2 - 1$

$$ap^j \equiv ap^{\frac{f}{2}+j} + \frac{m}{2} \pmod{m}$$

and hence

$$R_m(ap^j) + R_m(ap^{\frac{f}{2}+j}) = 2R_{\frac{m}{2}}(ap^j) + \frac{m}{2},$$

from which we obtain

$$S_f(a) = \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{f}{2}-1} (R_m(ap^j) + R_m(ap^{\frac{f}{2}+j}))$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{f}{2}-1} (2R_{\frac{m}{2}}(ap^j) + \frac{m}{2})$$

$$= 2S_{\frac{f}{2}}(a) + \frac{f}{4}m.$$

If $a \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, then

$$ap^j \equiv ap^{\frac{f}{4}+j} + \frac{m}{2} \pmod{m}, \quad 0 \le j \le \frac{f}{4} - 1,$$

$$ap^j \equiv ap^{\frac{f}{2}+j} \pmod{m}, \qquad 0 \le j \le \frac{f}{2} - 1,$$

and hence

$$R_m(ap^j) + R_m(ap^{\frac{f}{4}+j}) = 2R_{\frac{m}{2}}(ap^j) + \frac{m}{2}, \quad 0 \le j \le \frac{f}{4} - 1,$$

$$R_m(ap^j) = R_m(ap^{\frac{f}{2}+j}), \qquad 0 \le j \le \frac{f}{2} - 1,$$

from which we obtain

$$\begin{split} S_f(a) &= 2 \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{f}{2}-1} R_m(ap^j) \\ &= 2 \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{f}{4}-1} (R_m(ap^j) + R_m(ap^{\frac{f}{4}+j})) \\ &= 2 \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{f}{4}-1} (2R_{\frac{m}{2}}(ap^j) + \frac{m}{2}) \\ &= 4 \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{f}{4}-1} R_{\frac{m}{2}}(ap^j) + \frac{f}{4}m \\ &= 2S_{\frac{f}{2}}(a) + \frac{f}{4}m. \end{split}$$

Putting Lemma together with (3) gives

$$s(a) = \frac{p-1}{m} \left(\frac{f}{2} S_2(a) + \left(\frac{f}{2} - 1 \right) m \right)$$
$$= (p-1) \left(\frac{f}{2} + \frac{1}{16} S_2(a) - 1 \right).$$

Note

$$S_2(a) = R_{16}(a) + R_{16}(ap).$$

Hence,

$$s(-2) + s(-10) = (p-1)(\frac{f}{2} + \frac{7}{4} - 1) + (p-1)(\frac{f}{2} + \frac{3}{4} - 1)$$
$$= (p-1)(f + \frac{1}{2}). \tag{6}$$

Since s(a) = (p-1)f - s(-a), we also see that

$$s(2) + s(10) = (p-1)(f - \frac{1}{2}). \tag{7}$$

It then follows from (5) that

$$(N_{K_1/K_0}G_f(\chi^2)) = (\mathfrak{P} \mathfrak{P}^{\sigma})^{(p-1)(f+\frac{1}{2})} (\overline{\mathfrak{P}} \overline{\mathfrak{P}}^{\sigma})^{(p-1)(f-\frac{1}{2})} = \left(\frac{p^f}{\sqrt{p}}\right) \mathfrak{p}_0.$$
 (8)

Since $G_f(\chi^{*2})$ is in K_0 and $E_f(\chi^2)$ is in k_1 , from (2) we have

$$N_{K_1/K_0}G_f(\chi^2) = G_f(\chi^{*2})^2 N_{k_1/k_0} E_f(\chi^2).$$
(9)

For the quartic character χ^{*2} on F_p we know that

$$G_f(\chi^{*2})^2 = J(\chi^{*2}, \chi^{*2})G_f(\chi^{*4}) = J(\chi^{*2}, \chi^{*2})\sqrt{p}$$
, (10)

where

$$J(\chi^{*2}, \chi^{*2}) = \sum_{a=1}^{p-1} \chi^{*2} (a(p+1-a))$$

is in k_0 . It follows from (8), (9), (10) that there exists a unit η of k_0 such that

$$N_{K_1/K_0}G_f(\chi^2) = p^f \frac{\eta(a+bi)}{\sqrt{p}}$$
 (11)

Remark.

$$\begin{split} &J(\chi^{*2},\chi^{*2}) = 2\sum_{a=1}^{\frac{p-3}{2}}\chi^{*2}(a(p+1-a)) + \chi^{*2}((\frac{p+1}{2})^2) \\ &\equiv 2\frac{p-3}{2} + {2 \choose p} = p-2 \equiv -1 \pmod{\mathfrak{z}^3}, \end{split}$$

where $\mathfrak{z} = (1+i)$ is a prime divisor of (2) in k_0 (cf. [1, Chap.3], [3, Chap.6]). By Stickelberger's congruence we have

$$(G_f(\chi^{*2})) = \mathfrak{P}_0^{\frac{3(p-1)}{4}} \, \overline{\mathfrak{P}}_0^{\frac{p-1}{4}}$$

and so

$$(G_f(\chi^{*2})^2) = \mathfrak{P}_0^{\frac{3(p-1)}{2}} \overline{\mathfrak{P}_0^{\frac{p-1}{2}}} = (\sqrt{p}) \mathfrak{p}_0,$$

from which together with (10) $G_f(\chi^{*2})^2$ can be written as

$$G_f(\chi^{*2})^2 = \varepsilon(a+bi)\sqrt{p}$$

with a unit ε of k_0 satisfying $\varepsilon(a+bi) \equiv -1 \pmod{3^3}$.

Now, put

$$t_2 = t(-2)t(-10).$$

Then, from (4), (6) we have

$$N_{K_1/K_0}G_f(\chi^2) = G_f(\chi^2)G_f(\chi^{10}) \equiv \frac{(\zeta_p - 1)^{(p-1)(f + \frac{1}{2})}}{t_2} \pmod{\mathfrak{P}_0^{(p-1)(f + \frac{1}{2}) + 1}}$$

and so from (11)

$$p^f \frac{\eta(a+bi)}{\sqrt{p}} \equiv \frac{(\zeta_p-1)^{(p-1)(f+\frac{1}{2})}}{t_2} \pmod{\mathfrak{P}_0^{(p-1)(f+\frac{1}{2})+1}},$$

which implies

$$\frac{\eta i \sqrt{p}}{2b} \equiv \frac{\left(\zeta_p - 1\right)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}}{t_2} \pmod{\mathfrak{P}_0^{\frac{p-1}{2} + 1}},$$

because $(\zeta_p-1)^{p-1} \equiv -p \pmod{\mathfrak{P}_0^p}$ and $p=(a+bi)(a-bi) \equiv -2bi(a+bi) \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_0^2}$. But noting $(\zeta_p-1)^{(p-1)/2} \equiv \pm i\sqrt{p} \pmod{\mathfrak{P}_0^{(p-1)/2+1}}$, after change of a,b by multiplication of $\pm \eta$ to a+bi, we obtain that

$$N_{K_1/K_0}G_f(\chi^2) = p^f \frac{a+bi}{\sqrt{p}}$$
 (12)

with $p = a^2 + b^2$, $2b + t_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, $p_0 = (a + bi)$.

4. $N_{K_1/K_0}G_f(\chi)$ and Theorem

Next, we evaluate $N_{K_1/K_0}G_f(\chi)$. By the product formula of Davenport-Hasse [1,Chap.11],[2] we have

$$G_f(\chi^2) = -\chi^{*2}(2) \frac{G_f(\chi)G_f(\chi^{1+\frac{m}{2}})}{G_f(1)G_f(\chi^{\frac{m}{2}})}.$$

Here $\chi^{*2}(2) = \pm 1$ [1, Chap.7], [3, Chap.5], $G_f(1) = -1$, $G_f(\chi^{m/2}) = -p^{f/2}$ [1, Chap.11] and, since $1+m/2 \in \langle p^{f/2} \rangle \subset \langle p \rangle \subset (Z/mZ)^{\times}$, $G_f(\chi^{1+m/2}) = G_f(\chi)$. Hence, we have

$$G_f(\chi)^2 = -\chi^{*2}(2)p^{\frac{f}{2}}G_f(\chi^2),$$

which implies from (12)

$$N_{K_1/K_0}G_f(\chi)^2 = p^f N_{K_1/K_0}G_f(\chi^2) = p^{2f} \frac{a+bi}{\sqrt{p}}$$

and so

$$N_{K_1/K_0}G_f(\chi) = p^f \frac{\sqrt{a+bi}}{\sqrt[4]{p}}$$
 (13)

with ambiguity of sign. Put

$$t_1 = t(-1)t(-5).$$

Then, from (4)

$$\mathrm{N}_{K_1/K_0}G_f(\chi) = G_f(\chi)G_f(\chi^5) \equiv \frac{(\zeta_p-1)^{(p-1)(f+\frac{1}{4})}}{t_1} \pmod{\mathfrak{P}_0^{(p-1)(f+\frac{1}{4})+1}},$$

because

$$s(-1) + s(-5) = (p-1)(\frac{f}{2} + \frac{11}{8} - 1) + (p-1)(\frac{f}{2} + \frac{7}{8} - 1)$$
$$= (p-1)(f + \frac{1}{4}).$$

Hence, from (13) we have

$$p^f \frac{\sqrt{a+bi}}{\sqrt[4]{p}} \equiv \frac{(\zeta_p - 1)^{(p-1)(f+\frac{1}{4})}}{t_1} \pmod{\mathfrak{P}_0^{(p-1)(f+\frac{1}{4})+1}}$$

and so

$$\frac{\sqrt{a+bi}}{\sqrt[4]{p}} \equiv \frac{(\zeta_p - 1)^{\frac{p-1}{4}}}{t_1} \pmod{\mathfrak{P}_0^{\frac{p-1}{4}+1}}.$$

For $2 \le s \le t-3$ by the product formula of Davenport-Hasse we have

$$G_f(\chi^{2^s}) = -\chi^{*2^s}(2^s) \frac{\prod\limits_{j=0}^{2^s-1} G_f(\chi^{1+2^{t-s}j})}{\prod\limits_{j=0}^{2^s-1} G_f(\chi^{2^{t-s}j})}.$$

Here $\chi^{*2^s}(2^s) = 1$, $G_f(1) = -1$, $G_f(\chi^{m/2}) = -p^{f/2}$, $G_f(\chi^{2^{t-s}j})G_f(\chi^{2^{t-s}(2^s-j)}) = p^f$, $1 \le j \le 2^{s-1} - 1$, and, since $1 + 2^{t-s}j \in P > C(Z/mZ)^{\times}$, $G_f(\chi^{1+2^{t-s}j}) = G_f(\chi)$, $0 \le j \le 2^s - 1$. Hence, we get

$$G_f(\chi^{2^s}) = -\frac{G_f(\chi)^{2^s}}{p^{2^{s-1}f - \frac{f}{2}}}$$

and so from (13)

$$\mathrm{N}_{K_1/K_0}G_f(\chi^{2^s}) = \frac{1}{p^{f(2^s-1)}} \; \mathrm{N}_{K_1/K_0}G_f(\chi)^{2^s} = p^{f-2^{s-2}}(a+bi)^{2^{s-1}}.$$

For s = t - 2 we have also

$$G_f(\chi^{2^s}) = -\chi^{*2^s}(2^s) \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{2^s-1} G_f(\chi^{1+2^s j})}{\prod_{j=0}^{2^s-1} G_f(\chi^{2^s j})}.$$

Here $\chi^{*2^s}(2^s) = 1$, $G_f(1) = -1$, $G_f(\chi^{m/2}) = -p^{f/2}$, $G_f(\chi^{2^s j})G_f(\chi^{2^s (2^s - j)}) = p^f$, $1 \le j \le 2^{s-1} - 1$, and $G_f(\chi^{1+2^s j}) = G_f(\chi)$ or $G_f(\chi^5)$ according as $j \equiv 0$ or $1 \pmod 2$, because $1 + 2^2 j \in p > 0$ or $1 \pmod 2$. Hence, from (13)

$$G_f(\chi^{2^s}) = -\frac{N_{K_1/K_0}G_f(\chi)^{2^{s-1}}}{p^{2^{s-1}f-\frac{f}{2}}} = -p^{\frac{f}{2}-2^{s-3}}(a+bi)^{2^{s-2}}.$$

Thus, our results can be summarized as follows:

Theorem. Suppose that p is a prime number such that $p \equiv 9 \pmod{16}$, $m = 2^t$ with $t \geq 4$, and χ is a character of order m on the finite field F_q , $q = p^{2^{t-3}}$, defined by (1). Then the relative norms $N_{K_1/K_0}G_f(\chi^{2^s})$ of the Gauss sums $G_f(\chi^{2^s})$ are given by

(i)
$$N_{K_1/K_0}G_f(\chi) = p^{2^{t-3}} \frac{\sqrt{a+bi}}{\sqrt[4]{p}}$$
,

(ii)
$$N_{K_1/K_0}G_f(\chi^2) = p^{2^{t-3}} \frac{a+bi}{\sqrt{p}}$$
,

(iii)
$$N_{K_1/K_0}G_f(\chi^{2^s}) = p^{2^{t-3}-2^{s-2}}(a+bi)^{2^{s-1}}, \quad 2 \le s \le t-3,$$

and further

(iv)
$$G_f(\chi^{2^{t-2}}) = -p^{2^{t-5}}(a+bi)^{2^{t-4}},$$

$$(v)$$
 $G_f(\chi^{2^{t-1}}) = -p^{2^{t-4}}.$

Herein $p = a^2 + b^2, 2b + t_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, p_0 = (a + bi), \text{ and } \sqrt{a + bi} / \sqrt[4]{p} \equiv (\zeta_p - 1)^{(p-1)/4} / t_1 \pmod{\mathfrak{P}_0^{(p-1)/4+1}}.$

References

- [1] B.C. Bernet, R.J. Evans and K.S. Williams, Gauss sums and Jacobi sums, Canad. Math. Soc. Ser. Adv. Texts 21, John Wiley, 1998.
- [2] H. Davenport and H. Hasse, Die Nulstellen der Kongruenzzetafunktion in gewissen zyklischen Fällen, J. Reine Angew. Math. 172 (1934), 151-182.
- [3] F. Lemmermeyer, Reciprocity laws: From Euler to Eisenstein, Springer, 2000.
- [4] P. Meijer and M. van der Vlug, The evaluation of Gauss sums for characters of 2-power order, J. Number Theory 100 (2003), 381-395.

Akira Endô
Department of Mathematics
Kumamoto University
Faculty of Science
Kumamoto 860-8555, Japan
e-mail: endou@math.sci.kumamoto-u.ac.jp