# Logarithmic vector fields along smooth plane cubic curves

Kazushi Ueda and Masahiko Yoshinaga

(Received April 26, 2007) (Accepted January 30, 2008)

**Abstract.** We study the sheaves of logarithmic vector fields along smooth cubic curves in the projective plane, and prove a Torelli-type theorem in the sense of Dolgachev–Kapranov [4] for those with non-vanishing j-invariants.

# 1 Introduction

K. Saito [6] introduced the notion of the sheaf of logarithmic vector fields along a divisor and proved that it is always reflexive. A divisor D in a variety S is said to be *free* if the sheaf of logarithmic vector field along D is a free  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -module. He proved that the discriminant in the parameter space of the semi-universal deformation of an isolated hypersurface singularity is always free.

When the ambient space is the projective space  $\mathbb{P}^{\ell}$ , an  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{\ell}}$ -module is said to be free if it is the direct sum  $\bigoplus_i \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{\ell}}(a_i)$  of invertible sheaves. The problem of characterizing free divisors in projective spaces has attracted much attention, especially when the divisor is given as an arrangement of hyperplanes. See e.g. [7]. If a divisor in  $\mathbb{P}^{\ell}$  is free, then the passage from the divisor to the sheaf of logarithmic vector fields causes loss of information; only the sequence  $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^{\ell}$  of integers is left, and it is impossible to reconstruct the divisor from this finite amount of information.

In the opposite extreme, Dolgachev and Kapranov [4] asked when the the sheaf  $\mathcal{T}(-\log D)$  contains enough information to reconstruct D. A divisor D in  $\mathbb{P}^{\ell}$  is said to be *Torelli* if the isomorphism class of  $\mathcal{T}(-\log D)$  as an  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{\ell}}$ -module determines the divisor D. Their main result is the condition for an arrangement of sufficiently many hyperplanes in  $\mathbb{P}^{\ell}$  to be Torelli.

In this paper, we discuss the case when  $\ell = 2$  and D is a smooth cubic curve. Our main result asserts that D is Torelli precisely when the *j*-invariant of D is not zero. The strategy of our proof is the following:

1. The set of jumping lines of the sheaf of logarithmic vector fields along a smooth cubic curve coincides with its Cayleyan curve.

Mathematical Subject Classification (2010): Primary 14J60; Secondary 14F05 Key words: logarithmic vector field, jumping line, Cayleyan curve

- 2. For a smooth cubic curve with a non-vanishing j-invariant, the Cayleyan curve determines the original curve up to three possibilities.
- 3. The set of "jumping cubic curves" fixes this left-over ambiguity and the Torelli property holds.
- 4. When the *j*-invariant of *D* is zero, we can construct a family of divisors with isomorphic sheaves of logarithmic vector fields along them.

Smooth cubic curves with vanishing j-invariants provide examples of divisors which are neither free nor Torelli.

Acknowledgment: We thank Igor Dolgachev for a stimulating lecture in Kyoto in winter 2006 and Akira Ishii for valuable discussions and comments. K. U. is supported by Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (No.18840029). M. Y. is supported by JSPS Postdoctoral Fellowship for Research Abroad.

# 2 Preliminaries

#### 2.1 de Rham–Saito's lemma

Let A be a Noetherian ring and  $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} Ae_i$  be a free module over A generated by  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ . For  $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_r \in M$ , put

$$\omega_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_r = \sum_{1 \le i_1 < \dots < i_r \le n} a_{i_1,\dots,i_r} e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_r}.$$

and define a to be the ideal generated by  $a_{i_1, \dots, i_r}$  for  $1 \le r \le n$  and  $1 \le i_1 < \dots < i_r \le n$ . We also define as follows:

$$Z^{p} = \{\varphi \in \wedge^{p}M \mid \omega_{1} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_{r} \wedge \varphi = 0\},\$$
  

$$B^{p} = \sum_{k=1}^{r} \omega_{k} \wedge (\wedge^{p-1}M),\$$
  

$$H^{p} = Z^{p}/B^{p}.$$

**Theorem 1** (de Rham–Saito's lemma [3, 5]). (1) There exists an integer  $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  such that  $\mathfrak{a}^{\nu} H^p = 0$  for  $0 \leq p \leq n$ .

(2) For  $0 \leq p < \operatorname{depth}_{\mathfrak{a}} A$ , we have  $H^p = 0$ .

### 2.2 Sheaf of logarithmic vector fields

Let  $A = \mathbb{C}[z_0, \ldots, z_\ell]$  be a polynomial ring and  $\text{Der}_A$  be the module of  $\mathbb{C}$ -derivations of A, which is a free module of rank  $\ell + 1$ ;

$$\mathrm{Der}_A = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} A \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}.$$

**Definition 2.** For a homogeneous polynomial  $f \in A$ , we define

$$D(-\log f) = \{\delta \in \operatorname{Der}_A \mid \delta f \in (f)\},\$$
$$D_0(-\log f) = \{\delta \in \operatorname{Der}_A \mid \delta f = 0\}.$$

We put deg  $z_i = 1$  and deg $(\partial/\partial z_i) = -1$  for  $i = 0, \ldots, l$ . The degree k part of  $D_0(-\log f)$  will be denoted by  $D_0(-\log f)_k$ .

We have the direct sum decomposition

$$D(-\log f) = D_0(-\log f) \oplus A \cdot E,$$

where

$$E = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} z_i \partial / \partial z_i$$

is the Euler vector field. Let  $\Omega_A$  be the module of differentials

$$\Omega^1_A = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\ell} A dz_i,$$

and  $\Omega_A^k$  be its k-th exterior power for  $k = 0, \ldots, \ell + 1$ . We have an isomorphism of A-modules

$$D_0(-\log f) \cong \{\omega \in \Omega^\ell \mid df \land \omega = 0\}$$

under the identification

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \operatorname{Der}_{A} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \Omega^{\ell} \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} f_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}} & \longmapsto & \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} (-1)^{i} f_{i} dz_{0} \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dz_{i}} \wedge \dots \wedge dz_{\ell}. \end{array}$$

Let  $D \subset \mathbb{P}^{\ell}$  be the hypersurface defined by f. If D is smooth, then the origin  $0 \in \mathbb{C}^{l+1}$  is the only zero locus of the Jacobi ideal

$$J(f) = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_0}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_\ell}\right),$$

and hence we have

$$\operatorname{depth}_{J(f)} A = \ell + 1.$$

Let  $H^p$  be the *p*-th cohomology of the complex

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega^0_A \xrightarrow{df \wedge} \Omega^1_A \xrightarrow{df \wedge} \cdots \xrightarrow{df \wedge} \Omega^\ell_A \xrightarrow{df \wedge} \Omega^{\ell+1}_A \longrightarrow 0.$$

If D is smooth, then we have  $H^p = 0$  for  $p = 0, ..., \ell$  by de Rham–Saito's lemma. Since

$$D_0(-\log f) \cong \operatorname{Ker}\left(df \wedge : \Omega^\ell \to \Omega^{\ell+1}\right),$$

the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega_A^0 \xrightarrow{df \wedge} \Omega_A^1 \xrightarrow{df \wedge} \cdots \xrightarrow{df \wedge} \Omega_A^{\ell-1} \xrightarrow{df \wedge} D_0(-\log f) \longrightarrow 0$$
(1)

gives a free resolution of  $D_0(-\log f)$ .

The Euler sequence

shows that the sheafification  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{\ell}}(-\log f)$  of  $D_0(-\log f)$  can be considered as a subsheaf of the tangent sheaf  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{\ell}}$ ;

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{\ell}}(-\log f) \subset \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{\ell}}.$$

It is the sheaf of holomorphic vector fields tangent to the hypersurface D at smooth points of D. If D is smooth, we have the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{\ell}}(-\log f) \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{\ell}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{D/\mathbb{P}^{\ell}} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $\mathcal{N}_{D/\mathbb{P}^{\ell}}$  is the normal bundle. We have an isomorphism

$$df|_D: \mathcal{N}_{D/\mathbb{P}^\ell} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_D(d),$$

where

$$d = \deg f.$$

If D is smooth, then the sheaf  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{\ell}}(-\log f)$  has the resolution

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}(1 - (d - 1)\ell) \to \dots \to \mathcal{O}(3 - 2d)^{\oplus \binom{\ell+1}{\ell-2}} \to \mathcal{O}(2 - d)^{\oplus \binom{\ell+1}{\ell-1}} \to \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{\ell}}(-\log f) \to 0$$
(2)

obtained by sheafifying the exact sequence (1). We also have

$$\Gamma\left(\mathbb{P}^{\ell}, \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{\ell}}(-\log f)(k)\right) = D_0(-\log f)_k$$

for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

### 3 Plane curves

Now we set  $\ell = 2$  to focus our attention on plane curves. Let  $f \in \mathbb{C}[z_0, z_1, z_2]$  be a homogeneous polynomial of degree d and  $D \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  be the curve defined by f. Define  $\mathcal{F}$  as the cokernel of  $df \wedge : \mathcal{O}(3-2d) \to \mathcal{O}(2-d)^{\oplus 3}$  so that we have the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(3-2d) \xrightarrow{df \wedge} \mathcal{O}(2-d)^{\oplus 3} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow 0.$$
(3)

The Chern polynomial of  $\mathcal{F}(k)$  is given by

$$c_t(\mathcal{F}(k)) := 1 + c_1(\mathcal{F}(k))t + c_2(\mathcal{F}(k))t^2$$
  
=  $c_t(\mathcal{O}(2-d+k))^3 c_t(\mathcal{O}(3-2d+k))^{-1}$   
=  $1 + (3-d+2k)t + (d^2 - 3d + 3 + k^2 + (3-d)k)t^2$ 

for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If D is smooth, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} &:= \operatorname{Coker}(df \wedge : \mathcal{O}(3 - 2d) \to \mathcal{O}(2 - d)^{\oplus 3}) \\ &\cong \operatorname{Coim}(df \wedge : \mathcal{O}(2 - d)^{\oplus 3} \to \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 3}) \\ &\cong \operatorname{Im}(df \wedge : \mathcal{O}(2 - d)^{\oplus 3} \to \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 3}) \\ &\cong \operatorname{Ker}(df \wedge : \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 3} \to \mathcal{O}(d)) \\ &\cong \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{\ell}}(-\log f). \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 3.** If D is smooth, then  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-\log f)$  is stable.

Proof. We consider  $\mathcal{F}([(d-3)/2])$  instead of  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-\log f)$  whose first Chern number is normalized to either 0 (when d is odd) or -1 (when d is even). Then  $\mathcal{F}([(d-3)/2])$  is stable if and only if it has no global section. This follows from the cohomology long exact sequence associated with the short exact sequence (3) tensored with  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}([(d-3)/2])$ .

# 4 Smooth cubic curves

Let  $f \in \mathbb{C}[z_0, z_1, z_2]$  be a homogeneous polynomial of degree three and  $D \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$  be a cubic curve defined by f, where  $V = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[z_0, z_1, z_2]$ . We assume that D is smooth.

#### 4.1 Jumping lines

Let L be a point in the dual projective plane  $\mathbb{P}(V^*)$  defined by a linear form  $\alpha = \alpha_0 z_0 + \alpha_1 z_1 + \alpha_2 z_2 \in V^*$ . We can think of L as a line in  $\mathbb{P}(V)$ . Restricting the short exact sequence (3) to L and taking the cohomology long exact sequence, we have

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{F}|_L) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_L(-3)) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_L(-1))^3 \longrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{F}|_L) \longrightarrow 0$$

Since

$$H^1(\mathcal{O}_L(-3)) \cong H^0(\mathcal{O}_L(1))^* \cong \mathbb{C}^2$$

and

$$H^1(\mathcal{O}_L(-1)) \cong H^0(\mathcal{O}_L(-1))^* = 0,$$

we have

$$\dim H^0(\mathcal{F}|_L) = 2.$$

Hence  $\mathcal{F}|_L$  is either

$$\mathcal{F}|_{L} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}_{L} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{L} & L \text{ is generic,} \\ \mathcal{O}_{L}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{L}(1) & L \text{ is a jumping line.} \end{cases}$$

In particular,

$$L$$
 is a jumping line  $\iff H^0(\mathcal{F}(-1)|_L) \neq 0.$ 

By tensoring  $\mathcal{O}_L(-1)$  with the short exact sequence (3) and taking the cohomology long exact sequence, we have

Since  $H^0(\mathcal{O}(2)|_L) \cong \operatorname{Sym}^2 V^*/(z_0\alpha, z_1\alpha, z_2\alpha)$ , the set  $S = S(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^\ell}(-\log f)) \subset \mathbb{P}(V^*)$  of jumping lines is characterized as follows;

$$L \in S \iff (df \wedge)^* : H^0(\mathcal{O}_L^{\oplus 3} \to H^0(\mathcal{O}_L(2))) \text{ is not an isomorphism} \\ \iff z_0 \alpha, z_1 \alpha, z_2 \alpha, \partial_0 f, \partial_1 f, \partial_2 f \text{ are linearly dependent in Sym}^2 V^*.$$
(4)

#### 4.2 Cayleyan curves

Here we prove the following:

**Proposition 4.** Let  $D \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$  be a smooth cubic curve defined by a polynomial f. Then the set  $S = S(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{\ell}}(-\log f)) \subset \mathbb{P}(V^*)$  of jumping lines of  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{\ell}}(-\log f)$  in the dual projective plane  $\mathbb{P}(V^*)$  is the Cayleyan curve of D.

First we recall the definition of the Cayleyan curve of a plane cubic curve following Artebani and Dolgachev [1]. The *first polar* of a plane curve  $D = \{f = 0\}$ with respect to a point  $q = [a_0 : a_1 : a_2] \in \mathbb{P}(V)$  is the curve  $P_q(D)$  defined by the polynomial

$$g = a_0 \partial_0 f + a_1 \partial_1 f + a_2 \partial_2 f,$$

whose degree is one less than that of f. A point  $[x_0 : x_1 : x_2] \in P_q(D)$  is a singularity of the polar curve if

$$\partial_i g(x_0, x_1, x_2) = \sum_{j=0}^2 a_j \partial_{ij} f(x_0, x_1, x_2) = 0$$

for i = 0, 1, 2. Here,  $\partial_i$  denotes the partial derivative with respect to  $x_i$  and  $\partial_{ij} = \partial_i \partial_j$ . When f is cubic, one has

$$\sum_{j=0}^{2} a_j \partial_{ij} f(x_0, x_1, x_2) = \sum_{j=0}^{2} x_j \partial_{ij} f(a_0, a_1, a_2)$$

and hence the polar curve  $P_q(D)$  has a singularity if and only if q lies on the *Hessian curve*  $\operatorname{He}(D) \subset \mathbb{P}(V)$  defined by

$$h = \det \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{00}f & \partial_{01}f & \partial_{02}f \\ \partial_{10}f & \partial_{11}f & \partial_{12}f \\ \partial_{20}f & \partial_{21}f & \partial_{22}f \end{pmatrix}.$$

For  $q \in \text{He}(D)$ , the polar curve  $P_q(D)$  decomposes into the union of two lines. Let  $s_q \in \mathbb{P}(V)$  denote the singular point of  $P_q(D)$  and  $L_q \in \mathbb{P}(V^*)$  be the line connecting q and  $s_q$ . Since the equation

$$\sum_{j=0}^{2} a_j \partial_{ij} f(x_0, x_1, x_2) = 0$$

is symmetric with respect to q and  $s_q$ , the singularity  $s_q$  of  $P_q(D)$  always lies on He(D) and the map

defines an involution on  $\operatorname{He}(D)$ . Since  $q = s_q$  implies

$$\partial_i f(a_0, a_1, a_2) = 0, \qquad i = 0, 1, 2,$$

so that q is a singular point of D, the involution s has no fixed point. The image of the map

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \operatorname{He}(D) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}(V^*) \\ & & & & & \\ \psi & & & & \\ q & \mapsto & L_q \end{array}$$

is called the *Cayleyan curve* of D, which is known to be the quotient of He(D) by the involution s. A linear form  $\alpha = \alpha_0 z_0 + \alpha_1 z_1 + \alpha_2 z_2 \in V^*$  represents a point in the Cayleyan curve of D if and only if there is a point  $[a_0 : a_1 : a_2] \in \mathbb{P}^2$  such that

$$a_0\partial_0 f + a_1\partial_1 f + a_1\partial_1 f \in \alpha \cdot V^*.$$

This is precisely the condition (4) for the line  $[\alpha] \in \mathbb{P}(V^*)$  to be a jumping line of  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{\ell}}(-\log f)$ .

#### 4.3 The set of jumping lines and *j*-invariant

Here we prove the following:

**Proposition 5.** Let D be the smooth cubic curve defined by a polynomial f. Then the set  $S(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{\ell}}(-\log f))$  of jumping lines is singular if and only if the j-invariant of D is zero.

*Proof.* Choose a coordinate of V so that f is a Hesse cubic

$$f_t(z_0, z_1, z_2) = z_0^3 + z_1^3 + z_2^3 - 3tz_0 z_1 z_2,$$
(5)

where  $t \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1, \zeta, \zeta^2\}$  and  $\zeta = \exp[2\pi\sqrt{-1/3}]$ . Recall that  $D = \{f_t = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  is smooth if and only if  $t^3 \neq 1$ . The set  $S = S(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^\ell}(-\log f))$  of jumping lines, which coincides with the Cayleyan curve of D, is a Hesse cubic

$$t(\alpha_0^3 + \alpha_1^3 + \alpha_2^3) - (t^3 + 2)\alpha_0\alpha_1\alpha_2 = 0$$

in the dual projective plane. It is the union of three lines in general position if t = 0 or  $(3t)^3 = (t^3 + 2)^3$ . Since

$$(t^3 + 2)^3 - (3t)^3 = (t^3 - 1)^2(t^3 + 8)$$

and the *j*-invariant j(D) of D is given by

$$j(D) = \frac{1}{64}t^3 \frac{(t^3+8)^3}{(t^3-1)^3},$$

the Cayleyan curve of D is smooth if and only if  $j(D) \neq 0$ , and decomposes into the union of three lines in general position if j(D) = 0.

### 4.4 Restricting $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{\ell}}(-\log f)$ to other cubic curves

Here we consider the restriction of the sheaf  $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{\ell}}(-\log f)$  to another cubic curve *E* defined by a polynomial *g*. From the exact sequence (3), we have

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(-3)|_E \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus 3}|_E \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}|_E \longrightarrow 0.$$

Hence we have

Since  $H^0(\mathcal{O}(3)|_E) = \operatorname{Sym}^3 V^*/(g)$  and  $H^0(\mathcal{O}(1)|_E)^3 = (V^*)^3$ , the map  $df \wedge$  is dual to the map induced by

$$\begin{array}{cccc} (V^*)^3 & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Sym}^3 V^* \\ & & & & \\ \psi & & & \\ (F_0, F_1, F_2) & \longrightarrow & F_0 \partial_0 f + F_1 \partial_1 f + F_2 \partial_2 f. \end{array}$$

This map is injective due to de Rham–Saito's lemma, and the image can be identified with the degree 3 part  $J(f)_3$  of the Jacobi ideal. Hence we have

$$H^{0}(\mathcal{F}|_{E}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} & g \in J(f)_{3}, \\ 0 & g \notin J(f)_{3}. \end{cases}$$

By an explicit calculation, we obtain the following:

**Proposition 6.** Let  $f_t$  be the Hesse cubic in (5) and put

$$g = \sum_{0 \le i \le j \le k \le 2} a_{ijk} z_i z_j z_k.$$

Then the hyperplane  $J(f_t)_3 \subset \operatorname{Sym}^3 V^*$  is given by

$$J(f_t)_3 = \{g \mid a_{012} + t(a_{000} + a_{111} + a_{222}) = 0\}.$$

### 5 Torelli theorem

Here we prove our main result:

**Theorem 7.** Let C and C' be smooth cubic curves with non-vanishing j-invariants. If  $\mathcal{T}(-\log C)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{T}(-\log C')$  as an  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}$ -module, then C = C'.

*Proof.* Take a homogeneous coordinate of the dual projective plane so that the set of jumping lines of  $\mathcal{T}(-\log C)$  is a Hesse cubic. Since a smooth cubic whose Cayleyan curve is a smooth Hesse cubic must be a Hesse cubic, C and C' are Hesse cubics. Then Proposition 6 shows that C must coincide with C'.

**Remark 8.** The Torelli theorem fails for cubic curves with vanishing *j*-invariants. Indeed, the family

$$az_0^3 + bz_1^3 + cz_0^3 = 0, \qquad a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$$

consists of cubic curves with identical Cayleyan curves given by

$$\alpha_0 \alpha_1 \alpha_2 = 0.$$

Since the set of jumping lines determines a unique stable bundle if it consists of three lines in general position by Barth [2], the sheaf of logarithmic vector fields does not depend on a, b, and c.

# References

- Michela Artebani and Igor Dolgachev. The Hesse pencil of plane cubic curves. arXiv:math.AG/0611590, 2006.
- [2] Wolf Barth. Moduli of vector bundles on the projective plane. Invent. Math., vol.42:63–91, 1977.
- [3] Georges de Rham. Sur la division de formes et de courants par une forme linéaire. Comment. Math. Helv., vol.28:346–352, 1954.
- [4] Igor Dolgachev and Mikhail Kapranov. Arrangements of hyperplanes and vector bundles on P<sup>n</sup>. Duke Math. J., vol.71(3):633-664, 1993.
- [5] Kyoji Saito. On a generalization of de-Rham lemma. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), vol.26(2):vii, 165–170, 1976.
- [6] Kyoji Saito. Theory of logarithmic differential forms and logarithmic vector fields. J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math., vol.27(2):265–291, 1980.
- [7] Hiroaki Terao. Generalized exponents of a free arrangement of hyperplanes and Shepherd-Todd-Brieskorn formula. *Invent. Math.*, vol.63(1):159–179, 1981.

Kazushi Ueda Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Machikaneyama 1-1, Toyonaka, Osaka, 560-0043, Japan. e-mail: kazushi@math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp

Masahiko Yoshinaga Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science, Kobe University, 1-1, Rokkodai, Nada-ku, Kobe 657-8501, Japan e-mail: myoshina@math.kobe-u.ac.jp