

## On the ergodicity of compact abelian group extensions of states on $C^*$ -dynamics

Yukimasa OKA

(Received October 31, 1995)

### 1. Introduction.

In [3], we obtained a characterization for the ergodicity of invariant states on  $C^*$ -dynamics. In this note, as an application of the characterization, we discuss the ergodicity of compact abelian group extensions of invariant states on  $C^*$ -dynamics. We give a necessary and sufficient condition for the compact abelian group extension of an invariant state on a  $C^*$ -dynamics to be ergodic and its consequences.

Let  $A$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra. If  $\alpha$  is an automorphism of  $A$ , then the pair  $(A, \alpha)$  is said to be a  $C^*$ -dynamics. A  $C^*$ -dynamics  $(B, \beta)$  is *conjugate* to  $(A, \alpha)$  if there is an isomorphism  $\Phi$  of  $A$  onto  $B$  such that  $\alpha = \Phi^{-1} \circ \beta \circ \Phi$  on  $A$ . A state  $\varphi$  on  $A$  is said to be *invariant* if  $\varphi \circ \alpha = \varphi$  on  $A$ . Let  $(\pi_\varphi, \mathcal{H}_\varphi, \xi_\varphi)$  be the cyclic representation of  $A$  induced by  $\varphi$ . If  $\varphi$  is an invariant state on  $(A, \alpha)$ , then it induces a unitary representation  $u_\varphi$  (or simply  $u$ ) on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_\varphi$  such that  $u_\varphi \pi_\varphi(x) u_\varphi^* = \pi_\varphi \circ \alpha(x)$  for every  $x$  in  $A$  and  $u_\varphi \xi_\varphi = \xi_\varphi$ . In fact, it is defined by  $u_\varphi \pi_\varphi(x) \xi_\varphi = \pi_\varphi(\alpha(x)) \xi_\varphi$ ,  $x \in A$ . A non-commutative version of ergodicity of an invariant state  $\varphi$  on the  $C^*$ -dynamics  $(A, \alpha)$  is that  $\varphi$  is an extreme point in the invariant states. We say  $\varphi$  to be *ergodic* in this case.

An automorphism  $\alpha$  of  $A$  may be considered as an action  $\alpha$  of the group  $\mathbf{Z}$  of integers on  $A$ . If  $B$  is a  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $A$  which contains the identity of  $A$  and  $B$  is invariant under the action  $\alpha$ , then the restriction  $\beta$  of  $\alpha$  to  $B$  is an automorphism of  $B$  and hence  $(B, \beta)$  is a  $C^*$ -dynamics. Moreover, if an invariant state  $\varphi$  on  $(A, \alpha)$  is ergodic, then an invariant state  $\psi$  on  $(B, \beta)$  which is the restriction of  $\varphi$  to  $B$  is ergodic. In general, of course, the converse is false. Suppose that  $\psi$  is ergodic. What is the condition for  $\varphi$  to be ergodic? We consider this problem in the case that  $B$  is the fixed point  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $A$  under an action of a compact abelian group.

Let  $(A, \alpha)$  be a  $C^*$ -dynamics and let  $\sigma$  be a continuous action of a compact abelian group  $G$  on  $A$  such that  $\sigma_g \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ \sigma_{\kappa(g)}$  for all  $g$  in  $G$  and some automorphism  $\kappa$  of  $G$ . Then  $\alpha$  induces an automorphism  $\alpha|_{A^\sigma}$  of the fixed point  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $A^\sigma$  of  $A$  under the action

$\sigma$ . If a  $C^*$ -dynamics  $(B, \beta)$  is conjugate to the  $C^*$ -dynamics  $(A^\sigma, \alpha|_{A^\sigma})$ , we say that  $(A, \alpha)$  is a  $(G, \sigma)$ -extension of  $(B, \beta)$  under  $\kappa$ , or simply a  $G$ -extension of  $(B, \beta)$ . If  $(A, \alpha)$  is a  $G$ -extension of  $(B, \beta)$ , then  $(B, \beta)$  is frequently identified with  $(A^\sigma, \alpha|_{A^\sigma})$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be the dual group of a compact abelian group  $G$ . An element  $\gamma$  of  $\Gamma$  is called  $n$ -periodic with respect to an automorphism  $\kappa$  of  $G$  if  $\gamma\kappa \neq \gamma, \dots, \gamma\kappa^{n-1} \neq \gamma$  and  $\gamma\kappa^n = \gamma$  ( $n \geq 1$ ).

Now we give a necessary and sufficient condition for an invariant state  $\varphi$  on the  $G$ -extension  $(A, \alpha)$  of a  $C^*$ -dynamics to be ergodic, as a spectral condition.

## 2. The ergodicity of a state on the $G$ -extension of a $C^*$ -dynamics.

As a special case of Theorem 1 ([3]), we have the following characterization for the ergodicity of invariant states on  $C^*$ -dynamics  $(A, \alpha)$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $\varphi$  be an invariant state on  $C^*$ -dynamics  $(A, \alpha)$ . Then  $\varphi$  is ergodic if and only if*

$$\dim\{\eta \in \mathcal{H}'_\varphi : u_\varphi \eta = \eta\} = 1,$$

where  $\mathcal{H}'_\varphi$  is the closed linear span  $[\pi_\varphi(A)'\xi_\varphi]$  of  $\pi_\varphi(A)'\xi_\varphi$ .

As an application of Theorem 1, we prove the following theorem, which gives a characterization for an invariant state on the  $G$ -extension of a  $C^*$ -dynamics to be ergodic.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $(A, \alpha)$  be a  $(G, \sigma)$ -extension of a  $C^*$ -dynamics  $(B, \beta)$  under  $\kappa$  and let  $\varphi$  be an invariant state on  $A$  under  $\alpha$  and  $\sigma$ . Suppose that the restriction  $\psi$  of  $\varphi$  to  $B$  is ergodic. Then  $\varphi$  is not ergodic if and only if there exist a positive integer  $n$  and a  $\gamma$  in  $\Gamma$ ,  $n$ -periodic with respect to  $\kappa$  and not equal to 1, and a  $\xi_\gamma$  in  $\mathcal{H}'_\varphi$ ,  $\xi_\gamma \neq 0$  such that  $u_\varphi^n \xi_\gamma = \xi_\gamma$  and  $v_g \xi_\gamma = \langle g, \gamma \rangle \xi_\gamma$  for all  $g$  in  $G$ , where  $\mathcal{H}'_\varphi$  is the closed linear span  $[\pi_\varphi(A)'\xi_\varphi]$  of  $\pi_\varphi(A)'\xi_\varphi$  and  $v$  is a unitary representation of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{H}_\varphi$  defined by  $v_g \pi_\varphi(x)\xi_\varphi = \pi_\varphi(\sigma_g(x))\xi_\varphi$  for  $x$  in  $A$ .*

To prove Theorem 2, we need the following lemma, as in [2].

**LEMMA 3.** *Let  $v$  be a unitary representation of a compact abelian group  $G$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $u$  a unitary operator on  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $v_g u = u v_{\kappa(g)}$  for all  $g$  in  $G$  and some automorphism  $\kappa$  of  $G$ . For  $\gamma$  in  $\Gamma$ , let  $\mathcal{U}_\gamma$  be the set of all  $\xi$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $v_g \xi = \langle g, \gamma \rangle \xi$  for all  $g$  in  $G$ . Then we have*

(1)

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma}^{\oplus} \mathcal{U}_\gamma$$

and

(2) if  $\xi \in \mathcal{U}_\gamma$ , then  $u\xi \in \mathcal{U}_{\gamma\kappa}$ .

PROOF. (1) For  $\xi$  in  $\mathcal{U}_\gamma$  and  $\xi'$  in  $\mathcal{U}_{\gamma'}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\xi|\xi') &= (v_g\xi|v_g\xi') \\ &= \langle g, \gamma \rangle \overline{\langle g, \gamma' \rangle} (\xi|\xi'), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $g$  in  $G$ . If  $\gamma \neq \gamma'$ ,  $\langle g, \gamma \rangle \overline{\langle g, \gamma' \rangle} \neq 1$  for some  $g$  in  $G$ , and so  $\xi$  is orthogonal to  $\xi'$ . Suppose that a  $\xi$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  is orthogonal to any vector in  $\bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \mathcal{U}_\gamma$ . Put  $\xi_\gamma = \int_G \overline{\langle g, \gamma \rangle} v_g \xi dg$  for each  $\gamma$  in  $\Gamma$ . Then  $\xi_\gamma$  belongs to  $\mathcal{U}_\gamma$ , and we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\xi_\gamma|\xi_\gamma) &= \int_G \int_G \overline{\langle gh^{-1}, \gamma \rangle} (v_{gh^{-1}}\xi|\xi) dg dh \\ &= \int_G \overline{\langle g, \gamma \rangle} (v_g\xi|\xi) dg \\ &= (\xi_\gamma|\xi) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\xi_\gamma = 0$  for all  $\gamma$  in  $\Gamma$ . If we put  $f(g) = (v_g\xi|\xi)$  for each  $g$  in  $G$ , the function  $f$  on  $G$  is integrable and positive definite. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} (v_g\xi|\xi) &= \int_\Gamma \langle g, \gamma \rangle \hat{f}(\gamma) d\gamma \\ &= \int_\Gamma \langle g, \gamma \rangle \int_G \overline{\langle h, \gamma \rangle} (v_h\xi|\xi) dh d\gamma \\ &= \int_\Gamma \langle g, \gamma \rangle (\xi_\gamma|\xi) d\gamma \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $g$  in  $G$ . Thus  $(\xi|\xi) = 0$ , and so  $\xi = 0$ . This implies the assertion (1).

(2) If  $\xi$  is in  $\mathcal{U}_\gamma$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} v_g(u\xi) &= u(v_{\kappa(g)}\xi) \\ &= \langle \kappa(g), \gamma \rangle u\xi \\ &= \langle g, \gamma\kappa \rangle u\xi. \end{aligned}$$

This implies the assertion (2).

Thus the proof is complete.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. Since  $(A, \alpha)$  is a  $(G, \sigma)$ -extension of  $(B, \beta)$ , we may identify  $(B, \beta)$  with  $(A^\sigma, \alpha|_{A^\sigma})$ . Let  $(\pi_\psi, \mathcal{H}_\psi, \xi_\psi)$  be the cyclic representation of  $B$  induced

by  $\psi$ , where  $\psi = \varphi|_B$ , and  $u_\psi$  be a unitary operator on  $\mathcal{H}_\psi$  defined by  $u_\psi \pi_\psi(y) \xi_\psi = \pi_\psi(\beta(y)) \xi_\psi$  for all  $y$  in  $B$ . By the above identification of  $(B, \beta)$  and  $(A^\sigma, \alpha|_{A^\sigma})$ , we have  $\mathcal{H}_\psi \subset \mathcal{H}_\varphi$ ,  $\xi_\psi = \xi_\varphi$  and  $u_\psi = u_\varphi|_{\mathcal{H}_\psi}$ . Suppose that  $\xi_\gamma$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2. Put  $\xi = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} u_\varphi^i \xi_\gamma$ . Then  $\xi$  belongs to  $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{U}_{\gamma\kappa^i}$  and  $u_\varphi \xi = \xi$ , where  $\mathcal{U}_\gamma = \{\eta \in \mathcal{H}'_\varphi | v_g \eta = \langle g, \gamma \rangle \eta (g \in G)\}$ . Since  $\xi$  does not belong to  $\mathbf{C}\xi_\varphi$ , the dimension of  $\{\xi \in \mathcal{H}'_\varphi : u_\varphi \xi = \xi\}$  is greater than 1. Hence, by Theorem 1,  $\varphi$  is not ergodic. Conversely, suppose that  $\varphi$  is not ergodic. Then, by Theorem 1, there exists a vector  $\xi$  in  $\mathcal{H}'_\varphi$  not belonging to  $\mathbf{C}\xi_\varphi$  such that  $u_\varphi \xi = \xi$ . By Lemma 3, we have the direct sum decomposition  $\xi = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \xi_\gamma$  with  $\xi_\gamma$  in  $\mathcal{U}_\gamma = \{\eta \in \mathcal{H}'_\varphi | v_g \eta = \langle g, \gamma \rangle \eta (g \in G)\}$ , and also  $u_\varphi \xi = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} u_\varphi \xi_\gamma$  and  $u_\varphi \xi_\gamma$  is in  $\mathcal{U}_{\gamma\kappa}$ . Since  $u_\varphi \xi = \xi$ , we have  $u_\varphi \xi_\gamma = \xi_{\gamma\kappa}$  and  $\|\xi_\gamma\|_\varphi = \|\xi_{\gamma\kappa}\|_\varphi$  for all  $\gamma$  in  $\Gamma$ . From the orthogonality of  $\xi_\gamma$ 's, we have  $\xi_\gamma = 0$  if  $\gamma$  is not periodic with respect to  $\kappa$ . Now we note that for a vector  $\eta$  in  $\mathcal{H}'_\varphi$ , if  $\eta$  is  $u_\varphi$ -invariant and  $\{v_g : g \in G\}$ -invariant, then it belongs to  $\mathcal{H}'_\psi$  and is  $u_\psi$ -invariant. If  $\xi_\gamma = 0$  for all  $\gamma \neq 1$ , then  $\xi = \xi_1$ , and so  $\xi$  is  $\{v_g : g \in G\}$ -invariant and  $u_\varphi$ -invariant. Hence  $\xi$  belongs to  $\mathcal{H}'_\psi$  and  $u_\psi$ -invariant, and thus  $\xi$  belongs to  $\mathbf{C}\xi_\psi$  from the assumption of the ergodicity for  $\psi$ . Thus  $\xi$  belongs to  $\mathbf{C}\xi_\varphi$  which contradicts the assumption of  $\xi$ . Therefore there exists a  $\gamma$  in  $\Gamma$ ,  $\gamma \neq 1$  such that  $\xi_\gamma \neq 0$ . From the above, this  $\gamma$  is  $n$ -periodic with respect to  $\kappa$  for some positive integer  $n$ , and then we have  $u_\varphi^n \xi_\gamma = \xi_{\gamma\kappa^n} = \xi_\gamma$ .

This completes the proof.

Also, in particular, if  $\kappa$  is the identity automorphism of  $G$ , then we have the following

**COROLLARY 4.** *Let  $(A, \alpha), (B, \beta), \varphi, \psi, v_g, g \in G$  be as in Theorem 2. If  $\kappa$  is the identity automorphism of  $G$ , then  $\varphi$  is not ergodic if and only if there exist a  $\gamma$  in  $\Gamma$ , not equal to 1, and a  $\xi_\gamma$  in  $\mathcal{H}'_\varphi$ ,  $\xi_\gamma \neq 0$  such that  $u_\varphi \xi_\gamma = \xi_\gamma$  and  $v_g \xi_\gamma = \langle g, \gamma \rangle \xi_\gamma$  for all  $g$  in  $G$ .*

**COROLLARY 5.** *Let  $\sigma$  be a continuous action of a compact abelian group  $G$  on a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  and  $\varphi$  be an extremal  $G$ -invariant state on  $A$ . Then  $\varphi$  is not an extremal state, i.e. not a pure state on  $A$  if and only if there exist a  $\gamma$  in  $\Gamma$ , not equal to 1, and a  $\xi_\gamma$  in  $\mathcal{H}'_\varphi$ ,  $\xi_\gamma \neq 0$  such that  $v_g \xi_\gamma = \langle g, \gamma \rangle \xi_\gamma$  for all  $g$  in  $G$ .*

Since it is clear that if  $\xi_\varphi$  is separating for  $\pi_\varphi(A)''$ , then  $\xi_\psi$  is separating for  $\pi_\psi(B)''$ , we have the following

**COROLLARY 6.** *Let  $(A, \alpha), (B, \beta), \varphi, \psi, v_g, g \in G$  be as in Theorem 2. If  $\xi_\varphi$  is separating for  $\pi_\varphi(A)''$ , then  $\varphi$  is not ergodic if and only if there exist a positive integer  $n$  and a  $\gamma$  in  $\Gamma$ ,  $n$ -periodic with respect to  $\kappa$ , and not equal to 1, and  $\xi_\gamma$  in  $\mathcal{H}_\varphi$ ,  $\xi_\gamma \neq 0$  such that  $u_\varphi^n \xi_\gamma = \xi_\gamma$  and  $v_g \xi_\gamma = \langle g, \gamma \rangle \xi_\gamma$  for all  $g$  in  $G$ .*

The condition of Corollary 6 is rather fitting in the following property (\*) of  $\varphi$ :

$$\dim\{\xi \in \mathcal{H}_\varphi : u_\varphi \xi = \xi\} = 1 \quad (*)$$

It is known that the property (\*) implies the ergodicity of  $\varphi$ , but, in general, the ergodicity of  $\varphi$  does not imply the property (\*) ([1],[3], etc.). For the property (\*) of the  $G$ -extension, we have the following

**THEOREM 7.** *Let  $(A, \alpha), (B, \beta), \varphi, \psi, v_g, g \in G$  be as in Theorem 2. Suppose the restriction  $\psi$  of  $\varphi$  to  $B$  has the property (\*). Then  $\varphi$  does not have the property (\*) if and only if there exist a positive integer  $n$  and a  $\gamma$  in  $\Gamma$ ,  $n$ -periodic with respect to  $\kappa$  and not equal to 1, and a  $\xi_\gamma$  in  $\mathcal{H}_\varphi$ ,  $\xi_\gamma \neq 0$  such that  $u_\varphi^n \xi_\gamma = \xi_\gamma$  and  $v_g \xi_\gamma = \langle g, \gamma \rangle \xi_\gamma$  for all  $g$  in  $G$ .*

The proof of Theorem 7 is almost parallel to one of Theorem 2, so is omitted. Since if  $\xi_\varphi$  is separating for  $\pi_\varphi(A)''$ , then the ergodicity implies the property (\*) ([1],[3], etc.), Corollary 6 follows again from Theorem 7.

### References

- [1] Bratteli, O. and Robinson, D.W., Operator algebras and quantum statistical mechanics I, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979
- [2] Oka, Y., On a compact abelian group extension of a  $W^*$ -dynamics, Kumamoto J. Sci.(Math.), 16(1985), 69-75.
- [3] Oka, Y., A note on ergodic states on  $C^*$ -dynamics, Kumamoto J. Math., 4(1991), 1-4.
- [4] Osikawa, M., Notes on minimality and ergodicity of compact abelian group extension of dynamics, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ., 13(1977), 156-165.
- [5] Sakai, S.,  $C^*$ -algebras and  $W^*$ -algebras, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1971

Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Science  
Kumamoto University