

A HOMOTOPY CLASSIFICATION IN SCHEME THEORY

Toshiyuki MAEBASHI

(Received October 29, 1976)

Introduction. Let T be a finite CW complex. We denote by $K(T)$ the Grothendieck group of the classes of complex vector bundles over T . We further write \mathbf{Z} , B_U for the integers with the discrete topology, the classifying space of the infinite unitary group respectively. Then the K-theoretic version of the homotopy classification theorem is given by the statement of the existence of a natural bijection:

$$K(T) \simeq [T, B_U \times \mathbf{Z}]$$

where $[T, B_U \times \mathbf{Z}]$ denotes the set of homotopy classes of maps of T into $B_U \times \mathbf{Z}$.

The purpose of this paper is to present a scheme-theoretic analogue of the above classification theorem in topology. Let S be an arbitrary scheme. Let T be an irreducible regular affine scheme over S . We denote by $K^0(T)$ (resp. $K_0(T)$) the Grothendieck group of isomorphism classes of locally free O_T -Modules of finite rank (resp. of isomorphism classes of coherent O_T -Modules). Let $i: K^0(T) \rightarrow K_0(T)$ be the homomorphism which sends the class in $K^0(T)$ of a locally free O_T -Module to that in $K_0(T)$. Suppose i be an isomorphism. This is the case if T is $\text{Spec } A$ with A a Dedekind domain or if T is a regular noetherian scheme with an ample invertible O_T -Module \mathcal{L} where \mathcal{L} is called ample if for any coherent O_T -Module \mathcal{F} there exists an epimorphism: $O_T^p \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes q}$ for positive integers p, q . Let \mathcal{E}^0 be the direct sum of countably infinite copies of O_S . Recall that $\mathbf{Grass}_n(\mathcal{E}^0)$ stands for the Grassmannian of degree n which is defined by \mathcal{E}^0 [1]. In analogy with Borel-Serre [2], we can define a rational S -homotopy of S -morphisms. For the precise definition see §2. We denote by $[T, \mathbf{Grass}_n(\mathcal{E}^0)]$ the set of rational S -homotopy classes of S -morphisms: $T \rightarrow \mathbf{Grass}_n(\mathcal{E}^0)$. Let $[\mathcal{E}]$ be the class in $K^0(T)$ of a locally free O_T -Module \mathcal{E} of rank m . The elements of the form $[\mathcal{E}] - m$ generates a subgroup of $K^0(T)$. We write it as $\tilde{K}(T)$. Then our result can be stated as follows.

Theorem. There exists a natural bijection

$$\varinjlim_n [T, \mathbf{Grass}_n(\mathcal{E}^0)] \simeq \tilde{K}(T).$$

Especially we can take $\text{Spec } Z$, $\text{Spec } A$ with A a Dedekind domain for S , T respectively. Then we have the following

Corollary.

The class number of $A = \text{Card } [T, P(\mathcal{E}^0)]$

where $P(\mathcal{E}^0)$ is the projective bundle over $\text{Spec } Z$, defined by \mathcal{E}^0 (c. f. [1], I, 9, 7, 5).

Finally I would like to thank Professors M. Nishi and T. Oda for their kind advices.

1. The Grassmannians. Let S be a scheme and \mathcal{E} a quasicohherent O_S -Module. Let T be an S -scheme with the structural morphism f_T . $\mathcal{E}_{(T)}$ stands for the inverse image of \mathcal{E} by f_T and $\text{Grass}_n(\mathcal{E}_{(T)})$ for the set of locally free quotients of $\mathcal{E}_{(T)}$ with rank n . Then the functor: $T \rightarrow \text{Grass}_n(\mathcal{E}_{(T)})$ is represented by $\text{Grass}_n(\mathcal{E})$, i. e. the Grassmannian of degree n , defined by \mathcal{E} . Furthermore there exists a Module \mathcal{G} over $\text{Grass}_n(\mathcal{E})$ called the fundamental Module over $\text{Grass}_n(\mathcal{E})$ in such a way that the functor isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_S(T, \text{Grass}_n(\mathcal{E})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Grass}_n(\mathcal{E}_{(T)}) \quad (1)$$

is given by the map that sends $g \in \text{Hom}_S(T, \text{Grass}_n(\mathcal{E}))$ to $g^*(\mathcal{G})$.

Let \mathcal{E}^0 be the direct sum $O_S \oplus O_S \oplus \dots$ of countably infinite copies of O_S . Let $\mathcal{G} \in \text{Grass}_n((\mathcal{E}^0)_{(T)})$. Then

$$\underbrace{O_T \oplus \dots \oplus O_T}_{i \text{ copies}} \oplus \mathcal{G}$$

can be considered naturally as an element of $\text{Grass}_{n+i}(\mathcal{E}^0_{(T)})$ where i runs through $1, 2, \dots$. Hence we have an inclusion: $\text{Grass}_n(\mathcal{E}^0_{(T)}) \subset \text{Grass}_{n+i}(\mathcal{E}^0_{(T)})$. This inclusion corresponds to a closed immersion $f_{n,n+i}: \text{Grass}_n(\mathcal{E}^0) \rightarrow \text{Grass}_{n+i}(\mathcal{E}^0)$. In addition $(\text{Grass}_n(\mathcal{E}^0), f_{n,n+i})$ ($n, i=1, 2, \dots$) constitutes a direct system of S -schemes.

2. Rational S-homotopy. Let Z be an indeterminate. Let $S[Z]$ be the spectre of the symmetric Algebra $O_S[Z]$ of O_S . We write (Z) (resp. $(1-Z)$) for the $O_S[Z]$ -Ideal generated by Z (resp. $1-Z$). The corresponding projection

$$\begin{aligned} O_S[Z] &\longrightarrow O_S[Z]/(Z) \xrightarrow{\sim} O_S \\ (\text{resp. } O_S[Z] &\longrightarrow O_S[Z]/(1-Z) \xrightarrow{\sim} O_S) \end{aligned}$$

induces an S -morphism $S \rightarrow S[Z]$, denoted by t_1 (resp. t_2). Let $\xi_i = 1_T \times t_i$ for $i=1,2$ where 1_T denotes the identity of T . If we identify $T \times_S S$ with T , then ξ_i can be viewed as morphisms: $T \rightarrow T[Z]$.

Let T' be an S -scheme. Let $f_1, f_2: T \rightarrow T'$ be S -morphisms. By a rational S -homotopy from f_1 to f_2 we understand an S -morphism $h: T[Z] \rightarrow T'$ such that

$$f_i = h \circ \xi_i \tag{2}$$

where $i=1,2$. Let $R\{f_1, f_2\}$ be the relation \ll there exists a rational S -homotopy from f_1 to $f_2\gg$. Then this relation is reflexive and symmetric. Hence the relation \ll there exist an integer $n > 0$ and a sequence $(g_i)_{0 \leq i \leq n}$ of S -morphisms: $T \rightarrow T'$ such that $g_0 = f_1, g_n = f_2$ and $R\{g_i, g_{i+1}\}$ for $i=0, \dots, n-1\gg$ is an equivalence relation (cf. [3], §6, Exercise 9). We call the class of f_1 mod this equivalence relation the rational S -homotopy class of f_1 . We denote it by $[f_1]_{S\text{-rat}}$ or simply $[f_1]$. f_1 is said to be rationally S -homotopic to f_2 if f_1 is equivalent to f_2 with respect to this equivalence relation.

3. $K^0(T)$. Let $K^0(T)$ be the Grothendieck group of isomorphism classes of locally free O_T -Modules of finite type. Recall that there is a homomorphism called rank,

$rk: K^0(T) \rightarrow C(T;Z)$, where $C(T;Z)$ is the abelian group of all continuous maps of T into Z with the discrete topology. Let us denote the kernel of rk by $\tilde{K}(T)$.

We write $[T, \mathbf{Grass}_n(\mathcal{E}^0)]_{S\text{-rat}}$ or simply $[T, \mathbf{Grass}_n(\mathcal{E}^0)]$ for the set of rational S -homotopy classes of S -morphisms: $T \rightarrow \mathbf{Grass}_n(\mathcal{E}^0)$. Let us define maps

$$\iota_{n,n+i}: [T, \mathbf{Grass}_n(\mathcal{E}^0)] \rightarrow [T, \mathbf{Grass}_{n+i}(\mathcal{E}^0)]$$

by $\iota_{n,n+i}([f]) = [f_{n,n+i} \circ f]$ where f is any S -morphism: $T \rightarrow \mathbf{Grass}_n(\mathcal{E}^0)$. Then $([T, \mathbf{Grass}_n(\mathcal{E}^0)], \iota_{n,n+i})$ is a direct system of sets. We denote by ι_n the canonical map of $[T, \mathbf{Grass}_n(\mathcal{E}^0)]$ into $\varinjlim_n [T, \mathbf{Grass}_n(\mathcal{E}^0)]$.

Now this section will be devoted to the definition of a natural surjection $\varphi: \tilde{K}(T) \rightarrow \varinjlim_n [T, \mathbf{Grass}_n(\mathcal{E}^0)]$. We need the following proposition for it.

Proposition 1. Let $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2 \in \mathbf{Grass}_n(\mathcal{E}^0(T))$. Let f_1 (resp. f_2) be the morphism: $T \rightarrow \mathbf{Grass}_n(\mathcal{E}^0)$ which corresponds to \mathcal{O}_1 (resp. \mathcal{O}_2) by (1). If \mathcal{O}_1 and \mathcal{O}_2 are isomorphic, then f_1 and f_2 are rationally S -homotopic.

Proof. Let q_1 (resp. q_2) be the projection of $\mathcal{E}^0 \oplus \mathcal{E}^0$ onto the first factor (resp. the second factor). q_i give rise to closed immersions $\mathbf{Grass}_n(q_i): \mathbf{Grass}_n$

$(\mathcal{E}^0) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Grass}_n(\mathcal{E}^0 \oplus \mathcal{E}^0)$ (cf. [1], I, 9, 7), where $i=1, 2$. For brevity let us write q_i for $\mathbf{Grass}_n(q_i)$ in what follows. Then the first step of the proof is to show that $q_1 \circ f_1$ is rationally S -homotopic to $q_2 \circ f_2$.

For that let us take an isomorphism $\gamma: \mathcal{O}_2 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_1$ once and for all. Let g_1 (resp. g') be the projection of $\mathcal{E}^0_{(U)}$ onto \mathcal{O}_1 (resp. \mathcal{O}_2). We write g_2 instead of $\gamma \circ g'$. Then $\mathcal{O}_i = \mathcal{E}_{(U)}/\text{Ker } g_i$ where $i=1, 2$. We write U for the S -scheme $T \times_S S[Z]$ where Z is an indeterminate. Let f_U be the structural morphism of U and p the projection of U onto the first factor T . Note that $Z, 1-Z$ can be viewed as elements of $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_U)$. Let us now define $\alpha: \mathcal{E}^0_{(U)} \oplus \mathcal{E}^0_{(U)} \longrightarrow p^*(\mathcal{O}_1)$ by

$$\alpha = (1-Z)p^*(g_1) \circ f_U^*(q_1) + Zp^*(g_2) \circ f_U^*(q_2).$$

In fact α is an epimorphism as easily seen, whence

$$\mathcal{E}^0_{(U)} \oplus \mathcal{E}^0_{(U)} / \text{Ker } \alpha \xrightarrow{\sim} p^*(\mathcal{O}_1).$$

Since $p^*(\mathcal{O}_1)$ is locally free of rank n , we have $\mathcal{E}^0_{(U)} \oplus \mathcal{E}^0_{(U)} / \text{Ker } \alpha \in \mathbf{Grass}_n(\mathcal{E}^0_{(U)} \oplus \mathcal{E}^0_{(U)})$. In consequence an S -morphism $h: U \longrightarrow \mathbf{Grass}_n(\mathcal{E}^0 \oplus \mathcal{E}^0)$ corresponds to it by (1). Thus we obtain the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T & \xrightarrow{\xi_i} & T \times S[Z] \\ f_i \downarrow & & \downarrow h \\ \mathbf{Grass}_n(\mathcal{E}^0) & \xrightarrow{q_i} & \mathbf{Grass}_n(\mathcal{E}^0 \oplus \mathcal{E}^0). \end{array}$$

Equivalently we can say that h is a rational S -homotopy from $q_1 \circ f_1$ to $q_2 \circ f_2$.

Now let us choose an isomorphism $\pi: \mathcal{E}^0 \oplus \mathcal{E}^0 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}^0$ once and for all. (Such an isomorphism certainly exists because \mathcal{E}^0 is the direct sum of countably infinite copies of \mathcal{O}_S .) It induces an isomorphism $\mathbf{Grass}_n(\pi): \mathbf{Grass}_n(\mathcal{E}^0) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Grass}_n(\mathcal{E}^0 \oplus \mathcal{E}^0)$. The second step of the proof is to show that $\mathbf{Grass}_n(\pi)$ and q_i are rationally S -homotopic. Let us denote by f_Z the structural morphism of S -scheme $S[Z]$. We define

$$h'_i: (\mathcal{E}^0 \oplus \mathcal{E}^0)_{(S[Z])} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}^0_{(S[Z])}$$

by

$$h'_i = (1-Z) f_Z^*(q_i) + Z f_Z^*(\pi),$$

where $i = 1, 2$. It is epic as easily seen. Then $\mathbf{Grass}_n(h'_i)$ are the required rational S -homotopy from q_i to $\mathbf{Grass}_n(\pi)$.

From the Second Step it follows that for each i $q_i \circ f_i$ and $\mathbf{Grass}_n(\pi) \circ f_i$ are rationally S -homotopic. Thus $\mathbf{Grass}_n(\pi) \circ f_1, q_1 \circ f_1, q_2 \circ f_2$ and $\mathbf{Grass}_n(\pi) \circ f_2$ are mutually rationally S -homotopic. We can therefore conclude that f_1 and f_2 are rationally S -homotopic, since $\mathbf{Grass}_n(\pi)$ is an isomorphism. This completes the proof of the proposition.

From now on we assume that T is affine. Let us define the natural surjection

$$\varphi: \tilde{K}(T) \longrightarrow \varinjlim_n [T, \mathbf{Grass}_n(\mathcal{E}^0)].$$

Any element v of $\tilde{K}(T)$ can be written in the form $[\mathcal{E}] - m$ where m is a positive integer standing for the class of O_T^m and \mathcal{E} a locally free O_T -Module of rank m . Since \mathcal{E} is projective, there is an O_T -Module \mathcal{F} such that $\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} O_T^r$ for some positive integer r . Hence \mathcal{E} is isomorphic to some quotient of $\mathcal{E}^0_{(T)}$, i. e. $\mathcal{E} \in \mathbf{Grass}_n(\mathcal{E}^0_{(T)})$. Thus to \mathcal{E} there corresponds $f_{\mathcal{E}}: T \longrightarrow \mathbf{Grass}_n(\mathcal{E}^0)$ by (1). Let $v = [\mathcal{E}'] - m'$ be another form in which v is expressed. Then $\mathcal{E} \oplus O_T^s \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}' \oplus O_T^{s'}$ for positive integers s, s' (cf. [4], p.347). Therefore, by Proposition 1, the S -morphism $f_{m, m+s} \circ f_{\mathcal{E}}$ corresponding to $\mathcal{E} \oplus O_T^s$ is rationally S -homotopic to the one $f_{m', m'+s'} \circ f_{\mathcal{E}'}$ corresponding to $\mathcal{E}' \oplus O_T^{s'}$. Hence $\iota_m([f_{\mathcal{E}}]) = \iota_{m'}([f_{\mathcal{E}'}])$. In other words the element $\iota_m([f_{\mathcal{E}}]) \in \varinjlim_n [T, \mathbf{Grass}_n(\mathcal{E}^0)]$ does not depend on the choice

of ways of writing $v = [\mathcal{E}] - m$. So we define

$$\varphi(v) = \iota_m([f_{\mathcal{E}}]).$$

Remark. Suppose that T is $\text{Spec } A$ for a noetherian integral domain A . Then we can take an O_T -Module of rank $\leq N$ for \mathcal{E} in the above where $N = \dim \text{Specm } A$ ([5], Theorem 1). Hence there exists a natural map $\varphi_N: \tilde{K}(T) \longrightarrow [T, \mathbf{Grass}_N(\mathcal{E}^0)]$ such that $\varphi = \iota_N \circ \varphi_N$.

4. Proof of the Theorem. Let $K_0(T)$ be the Grothendieck group of isomorphism classes of coherent O_T -Modules. Let \mathcal{E} be any locally free O_T -Module of finite type. We denote by i the homomorphism: $K^0(T) \longrightarrow K_0(T)$ which sends the class in $K^0(T)$ of \mathcal{E} to that in $K_0(T)$. Suppose i be an isomorphism.

Proposition 2. Let T' be an S -scheme. Suppose S -morphisms $f_1, f_2: T \longrightarrow T'$ be rationally S -homotopic. Then f_1 and f_2 induce the same homomorphism: $K_0(T') \longrightarrow K_0(T)$.

Proof. We take a rational S -homotopy from f_1 to f_2 . Let p be the first

projection of $U = T \times_S S[Z]$ as before. Then $p \circ \xi_i = 1_T$ (for $\xi_i, 1_T$ see §2) for $i = 1, 2$. On the other hand p induces an isomorphism: $K_0(T) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_0(U)$ since U is a vector bundle over T with the structural morphism p ([6], Exposé IX, §1, Proposition 1.6). Hence ξ_i induce the same isomorphism $\xi'_1 = \xi'_2: K_0(U) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_0(T)$. It follows from (2) that

$$f'_1 = \xi'_1 \circ h' = \xi'_2 \circ h' = f'_2.$$

This completes the proof.

This proposition guarantees the injectivity of φ . In fact the inverse of φ can be constructed as follows. Let w be an arbitrary element of $\varinjlim_n [T, \mathbf{Grass}_n(\mathcal{E}^0)]$.

Then w can be written as $\iota_m([f])$ where m is a positive integer and f an S -morphism: $T \rightarrow \mathbf{Grass}_m(\mathcal{E}^0)$. To f there corresponds $\mathcal{E}_f \in \mathbf{Grass}_m(\mathcal{E}^0(T))$ by (1). Suppose w can also be written in the form $\iota_{m'}([f'])$ where f' is an S -morphism: $T \rightarrow \mathbf{Grass}_{m'}(\mathcal{E}^0)$. Then there exists some positive integer r ($\geq \max(m, m')$) such that $f_{m,r} \circ f$ is rationally S -homotopic to $f_{m',r} \circ f'$. It follows from Proposition 2 that $\mathcal{E}_f \oplus \mathcal{O}_T^{r-m} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{E}_{f'} \oplus \mathcal{O}_T^{r-m'}$. We therefore have $[\mathcal{E}_f] - m = [\mathcal{E}_{f'}] - m'$ in $K_0(T)$. This implies that $[\mathcal{E}_f] - m$ does not depend on the choice of expressions $w = \iota_m([f])$. We can now define the inverse of φ , denoted Ψ , by

$$\Psi(w) = [\mathcal{E}_f] - m.$$

5. Dedekind rings. Let A be a Dedekind ring and M an A -module of finite type. Then there exist a projective A -module of finite type P and a finite number of maximal ideals in A , say $(M_i)_{i=1, \dots, r}$, such that

$$M \xrightarrow{\cong} P \oplus \sum_{i=1}^r A/M_i^{n_i}$$

where n_i ($i = 1, \dots, r$) are positive integers. We moreover know that P is isomorphic to the direct sum of a free A -module and an ideal of A . We denote this ideal by I . Let $T = \text{Spec } A$ as before. We write $C(A)$ for the ideal class group of A and $r(M)$ for the rank of M . Define a map $\gamma: K_0(T) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} \times C(A)$ by

$$\gamma([\tilde{M}]) = (r(M), cl(I) + \sum_{i=1}^r n_i cl(M_i))$$

where $cl(I), cl(M_i)$ denote the classes in $C(A)$ of I, M_i respectively. In fact γ is an isomorphism ([7], 4.7, Proposition 17), and $\gamma \circ i: K^0(T) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} \times C(A)$ is also an isomorphism (cf. [8]). Hence we have

$$K^0(T) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_0(T).$$

The isomorphism $\gamma \circ i$ induces

$$\tilde{K}(T) \xrightarrow{\sim} C(A).$$

Thus φ is bijective. Since $\dim \operatorname{Spec} A = 1$ on the other hand, we can get the corollary in the introduction.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonné, *Éléments de Géométrie Algébrique*, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1971.
- [2] A. Borel and J-P. Serre, *Le théorème de Riemann-Roch*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, 86 (1958), 97-136.
- [3] N. Bourbaki, *Théorie des ensembles*, Hermann, Paris, 1970.
- [4] H. Bass, *Algebraic K-theory*, W. A. Benjamin Inc., New York, 1968.
- [5] J-P. Serre, *Modules projectifs et espaces fibrés à fibre vectorielle*, Sémin. Dubreil No. 23 (1957-58).
- [6] SGA 6, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics 225*, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1971.
- [7] N. Bourbaki, *Algèbre commutative*, Chap. 7, Hermann, Paris, 1965.
- [8] J. Milnor, *Introduction to algebraic K-theory*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1971.