

A NOTE ON ENVELOPES OF HOLOMORPHY

Seiko OHGAI

(Received Dec. 21, 1978)

Introduction

It is well known that if D_1, D_2 are domains of holomorphy in C^n , then the intersection $D_1 \cap D_2$ is a domain of holomorphy. Further, let $\{D_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Delta}$ be a family of domains of holomorphy in C^n . Then the inner kernel of $\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Delta} D_\lambda$ is a domain of holomorphy. It is also well known that the maximal domain of continuation $D_{\mathcal{F}}$ for a family \mathcal{F} of holomorphic functions given in a domain D is not necessarily a domain in C^n even if D is a domain in C^n , and so the following statement is not necessarily true: the envelope of holomorphy of a domain D in C^n coincides with the inner kernel of the intersection of the family of domains of holomorphy in C^n that contains D .

In this note we define the *intersection* of a family of Riemann domains by the aid of the notion of the union of Riemann domains introduced in [1], see also [2], [4] and we ask if the above statement is true in the category of Riemann domains with base point. Our answer is as follows: *the envelope of a Riemann domain D with respect to a family \mathcal{F} of holomorphic functions coincides with the intersection (in our sense) of the family $\{H_f(D)\}_{f \in \mathcal{F}}$ of domains of maximal continuation $H_f(D)$ of $f, f \in \mathcal{F}$.*

Notations and terminologies will be as in [1].

1. Union of Riemann domains

In this note, we consider only the Riemann domains over C^n with base point. As preparation, let us recall some results in [1]. There, Grauert and Fritzsche defined the envelope of holomorphy. Other constructions of envelope of holomorphy are found, for example, in [2] and [4].

Let \mathfrak{z}_0 be a fixed point in C^n , and let $\mathfrak{G} = (G, \pi, x_0)$ be a Riemann domain with base point x_0 such that $\pi(x_0) = \mathfrak{z}_0$.

DEFINITION 1. Let $\mathfrak{G}_j = (G_j, \pi_j, x_j), j=1, 2$ be Riemann domains over C^n with base point. We say that \mathfrak{G}_1 is contained in \mathfrak{G}_2 and denote it by $\mathfrak{G}_1 < \mathfrak{G}_2$, if there exists a continuous map $\phi: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ satisfying the following condition:

- i) $\pi_1 = \pi_2 \circ \phi$
- ii) $\phi(x_1) = x_2$

With the relation $<$, $\{\mathfrak{G}_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a partially ordered set, i. e., the following holds:

- i) $\mathfrak{G} < \mathfrak{G}$,
- ii) If $\mathfrak{G}_1 < \mathfrak{G}_2$, $\mathfrak{G}_2 < \mathfrak{G}_3$, then $\mathfrak{G}_1 < \mathfrak{G}_3$.

If $\mathfrak{G}_1 < \mathfrak{G}_2$ and $\mathfrak{G}_2 < \mathfrak{G}_3$, then \mathfrak{G}_1 is holomorphically equivalent to \mathfrak{G}_2 and we write $\mathfrak{G}_1 \simeq \mathfrak{G}_2$. In case $\mathfrak{G}_1, \mathfrak{G}_2$ are both schlicht, $\mathfrak{G}_1 < \mathfrak{G}_2$ if and only if $G_1 \subset G_2$.

Then, the union $\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}$ of $\{\mathfrak{G}_i\}_{i \in I}$ is well defined and satisfies following fundamental properties, see [1]: let $\mathfrak{G}_1, \mathfrak{G}_2, \mathfrak{G}_3$ be Riemann domains with base point, and $\{\mathfrak{G}_i\}_{i \in I}, \{\mathfrak{G}_{i'}\}_{i' \in I'}$ are any families of Riemann domains, then

- (1) if $\mathfrak{G}_i < \mathfrak{G}$, for all $i \in I$, then $\bigcup_{i \in I} \mathfrak{G}_i < \mathfrak{G}$,
- (2) if $\mathfrak{G}_1 < \mathfrak{G}_2$, then $\mathfrak{G}_1 \cup \mathfrak{G}_2 \simeq \mathfrak{G}_2$,
- (3) $\mathfrak{G}_1 \cup \mathfrak{G}_1 \simeq \mathfrak{G}_1$,
- (4) $\mathfrak{G}_1 \cup \mathfrak{G}_2 \simeq \mathfrak{G}_2 \cup \mathfrak{G}_1$,
- (5) $\mathfrak{G}_1 \cup (\mathfrak{G}_2 \cup \mathfrak{G}_3) \simeq (\mathfrak{G}_1 \cup \mathfrak{G}_2) \cup \mathfrak{G}_3$, and
- (6) if $I \subset I'$, then

$$\bigcup_{i \in I} \mathfrak{G}_i < \bigcup_{i' \in I'} \mathfrak{G}_{i'}$$

2. Intersection of Riemann domains

Let $z_0 \in C^n$ be a fixed point in C^n . We consider the Riemann domains $\{\mathfrak{G}_i\}_{i \in I}$, $\mathfrak{G}_i = (G_i, \pi_i, x_i)$ over C^n with base point x_i such that $\pi_i(x_i) = z_0$. Then, for $\{\mathfrak{G}_i\}_{i \in I}$ we consider the set $\{\mathfrak{H}_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ such that $\mathfrak{H}_\lambda < \mathfrak{G}_i$ for all $i \in I$. We put

$$\mathfrak{H} = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{H}_\lambda.$$

It is obvious that $\pi'_\lambda(x'_\lambda) = z_0$ for every $\mathfrak{H}_\lambda := (H'_\lambda, \pi'_\lambda, x'_\lambda)$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$. After the method given in [1] we know that \mathfrak{H} is a Riemann domain over C^n with base point. Further, we have the following:

- i) Since $\mathfrak{H}_\lambda < \mathfrak{G}_i$ for all $i \in I$, from (1) in 1 we have

$$\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{H}_\lambda < \mathfrak{G}_i \text{ for all } i \in I.$$

Hence

$$\mathfrak{H} < \mathfrak{G}_i \quad \text{for all } i \in I.$$

ii) If $\mathfrak{G}^* < \mathfrak{G}_i$ for all $i \in I$, then there exists some $\lambda_0 \in A$ such that $\mathfrak{G}^* \simeq \mathfrak{H}_{\lambda_0}$. Hence $\mathfrak{G}^* < \mathfrak{H}$ i. e., \mathfrak{H} is the maximal Riemann domain which is contained in \mathfrak{G}_i for every $i \in I$.

Considering the properties i) & ii), we call \mathfrak{H} the *intersection* of $\{\mathfrak{G}_i, i \in I\}$ and denote it by $\bigcap_{i \in I} \mathfrak{G}_i$. Let $\{\mathfrak{G}_i, i \in I\}$ be a family of Riemann domains over C^n with base point. Since $\{\mathfrak{G}_i, i \in I\}$ is a lattice with operations \cap and \cup , and since the properties (1) ~ (6) in **1** holds, it is easily verified that the following (1)' ~ (6)', and, (7), (7)' are also true. (1)' ~ (6)' is dual to (1) ~ (6).

Let $\mathfrak{G}_1, \mathfrak{G}_2, \mathfrak{G}_3$ be Riemann domains and $\{\mathfrak{G}_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}, \{\mathfrak{G}_{\lambda'}\}_{\lambda' \in \Lambda'}$ are any families of Riemann domains, then

(1)' if $\mathfrak{G}_\lambda > \mathfrak{G}_1$, for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$, then

$$\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{G}_\lambda > \mathfrak{G}_1,$$

(2)' if $\mathfrak{G}_1 < \mathfrak{G}_2$, then $\mathfrak{G}_1 \cap \mathfrak{G}_2 \simeq \mathfrak{G}_1$,

(3)' $\mathfrak{G}_1 \cap \mathfrak{G}_1 \simeq \mathfrak{G}_1$,

(4)' $\mathfrak{G}_1 \cap \mathfrak{G}_2 \simeq \mathfrak{G}_2 \cap \mathfrak{G}_1$,

(5)' $\mathfrak{G}_1 \cap (\mathfrak{G}_2 \cap \mathfrak{G}_3) \simeq (\mathfrak{G}_1 \cap \mathfrak{G}_2) \cap \mathfrak{G}_3$,

(6)' if $\Lambda \supset \Lambda'$, then

$$\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathfrak{G}_\lambda < \bigcap_{\lambda' \in \Lambda'} \mathfrak{G}_{\lambda'}.$$

Also we have

(7) $(\mathfrak{G}_1 \cup \mathfrak{G}_2) \cap \mathfrak{G}_3 > (\mathfrak{G}_1 \cap \mathfrak{G}_3) \cup (\mathfrak{G}_2 \cap \mathfrak{G}_3)$,

(7)' $(\mathfrak{G}_1 \cap \mathfrak{G}_2) \cup \mathfrak{G}_3 < (\mathfrak{G}_1 \cup \mathfrak{G}_3) \cap (\mathfrak{G}_2 \cup \mathfrak{G}_3)$.

REMARK. In case every \mathfrak{G}_i is schlicht, $\bigcup_{i \in I} \mathfrak{G}_i$ is the union in the usual sense, but $\bigcap_{i \in I} \mathfrak{G}_i$ is not necessarily the intersection in the usual sense. It should be pointed out that the intersection $\bigcap_{i \in I} \mathfrak{G}_i$ in our sense is connected and coincides with the connected component which contains base point such that $\pi_i(x_i) = \text{id}(x_i) = x_0 \in C^n$. The intersection of Riemann domains over C^n with base point is always a domain, see **1**.

When $\mathfrak{G}_1, \mathfrak{G}_2, \mathfrak{G}_3$ are schlicht, in place of (7), (7)' we have

$$(8) \quad (\mathfrak{G}_1 \cup \mathfrak{G}_2) \cap \mathfrak{G}_3 \simeq (\mathfrak{G}_1 \cap \mathfrak{G}_3) \cup (\mathfrak{G}_2 \cap \mathfrak{G}_3),$$

$$(8)' \quad (\mathfrak{G}_1 \cap \mathfrak{G}_2) \cup \mathfrak{G}_3 \simeq (\mathfrak{G}_1 \cup \mathfrak{G}_3) \cap (\mathfrak{G}_2 \cup \mathfrak{G}_3).$$

3. Envelope of holomorphy

Using the notion of intersection of Riemann domains introduced in 2, we are able to characterize a domain of holomorphy and the envelope of holomorphy.

DEFINITION 2. Let $\mathfrak{G} = (G, \pi, x)$ be a Riemann domain over C^n with base point and let $\mathcal{F} (\neq \emptyset)$ be a family of holomorphic functions on G . Let $\{\mathfrak{G}_i, i \in I\}$ be the family of all Riemann domains which satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) $\mathfrak{G} < \mathfrak{G}_i$ for all $i \in I$,
- (2) if $f \in \mathcal{F}$, there exists some $F_i \in A(\mathfrak{G}_i)$ such that $F_i|_{\mathfrak{G}} = f$ for every $i \in I$.

We call the Riemann domain $H_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathfrak{G}) := \bigcup_{i \in I} \mathfrak{G}_i$ the envelope of holomorphy of \mathfrak{G} with respect to \mathcal{F} . In case $\mathcal{F} = A(\mathfrak{G})$, we call $H_{A(\mathfrak{G})}(\mathfrak{G})$ the envelope of holomorphy of \mathfrak{G} and write $H(\mathfrak{G})$. If $\mathcal{F} = \{f\}$, $H_f(\mathfrak{G}) := H_{\{f\}}(\mathfrak{G})$ is the maximal domain of continuation of f , i. e., the maximal domain to which f can be analytically continued.

PROPOSITION 3. For $\mathfrak{G} = (G, \pi, x)$ and $\mathcal{F} = \{f_k | k \in K, f_k \in A(G)\}$, we have

$$H_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathfrak{G}) = \bigcap_{k \in K} H_{f_k}(\mathfrak{G}).$$

PROOF. We put $\tilde{\mathfrak{G}} = \bigcap_{k \in K} H_{f_k}(\mathfrak{G})$. Then $\tilde{\mathfrak{G}} = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \tilde{\mathfrak{G}}_{\lambda}$, where Λ is the set of all λ for which $\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}_{\lambda} < H_{f_k}(\mathfrak{G})$ for all $k \in K$. Since $\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}_{\lambda} := (\tilde{H}_{\lambda}, \tilde{\pi}_{\lambda}, \tilde{x}_{\lambda})$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$ is the Riemann domain such that some $F_{k,\lambda} \in A(\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}_{\lambda})$ exists for every $k \in K$ with $F_{k,\lambda}|_G = f_k$, we have

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}_{\lambda} < H_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathfrak{G}) \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \Lambda.$$

Hence,

$$\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \tilde{\mathfrak{G}}_{\lambda} < H_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathfrak{G}) \quad (\text{see (1) in 1}),$$

which implies

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{G}} = \bigcap_{k \in K} H_{f_k}(\mathfrak{G}) < H_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathfrak{G}).$$

Next, we prove that $H_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathfrak{G}) < H_{f_k}(\mathfrak{G})$ for all $k \in K$. Put $H_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathfrak{G}) = \bigcup_{\lambda' \in \Lambda'} \hat{\mathfrak{G}}_{\lambda'} = \hat{\mathfrak{G}}$, then for any $\lambda' \in \Lambda'$ all $f_k \in \mathcal{F}$ can be continued to $\hat{\mathfrak{G}}_{\lambda'}$. Furthermore, putting

$H_{f_k}(\mathbb{G}) = \bigcup_{\mu \in M} \bar{\mathfrak{D}}_{k,\mu}$, we see that for any $\hat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\lambda'} = (\hat{H}_{\lambda'}, \hat{\pi}_{\lambda'}, \hat{x}_{\lambda'})$, $\lambda' \in A'$, there exists an $\hat{F}_{k,\lambda'} \in A(\hat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\lambda'})$ such that $\hat{F}_{k,\lambda'}|_G = f_k$. Hence, there exists some $\mu_0 \in M$ such that

$$\hat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\lambda'} < \bar{\mathfrak{D}}_{\mu_0}.$$

Consequently, for any $k \in K$ we have

$$H_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{G}) < \bigcup_{\lambda' \in A'} \bar{\mathfrak{D}}_{\lambda'} < \bigcup_{\mu \in M} \bar{\mathfrak{D}}_{\mu} = H_{f_k}(\mathbb{G}),$$

which implies

$$H_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{G}) < \bigcap_{k \in K} H_{f_k}(\mathbb{G}).$$

Thus, we proved

$$H_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{G}) \simeq \bigcap_{k \in K} H_{f_k}(\mathbb{G}).$$

COROLLARY 4. *The intersection of domains of holomorphy is a domain of holomorphy.*

PROOF. From Proposition 3 the proof is obvious.

THEOREM 5. *If $\mathcal{F} = A(\mathbb{G})$, then*

$$H(\mathbb{G}) \simeq \bigcap_{f \in A(\mathbb{G})} H_f(\mathbb{G}).$$

PROOF. It is enough to put $\mathcal{F} = A(\mathbb{G})$ in Proposition 3.

REMARK. A class of Riemann domains over C^n with base point is a category whose objects are $\{(G, \pi, x)\}$, where $\mathbb{G} := (G, \pi, x)$ is a Riemann domain, π is a projection and $x \in G$. A morphism from (G, π, x) to (G', π', x') is a locally topological map ϕ from G to G' such that $\phi(x) = x'$. The intersection $\mathbb{G} \cap \mathbb{G}'$ then coincides with the *pull back* for π and π' .

References

- [1] Grauert, H. and Fritzsche, K., Einführung in die Funktionentheorie mehrerer Veränderlicher, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1974.
- [2] Malgrange, B., Lectures on the Theory of Functions of Several Complex Variables, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1958, 33-38.
- [3] Mitchell, B., Theory of Categories, Academic Press, New York and London, 1965.

- [4] Narasimhan, R., *Several Complex Variables*, The University of Chicago Press, Chicago and London, 1971.

Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Science,
Kumamoto University