

## ON FONG'S REDUCTIONS

Atumi WATANABE

(Received Dec. 22, 1978)

P. Fong gave many interesting results on modular representations of  $p$ -solvable groups,  $p$  a prime. In his work two reductions (theorems (2B) and (2D) in Fong [3]) play an important rôle. On the second reduction (Theorem (2D) in [3], W. Feit improves it in [2] ((1.1) and (1.2) of Chapter X). Our paper concerns the reductions. In § 1, we shall supply the gap of the proof of (1.1) and give a remark to (1.1) applying our argument. In § 2, we shall give a remark to Theorem (3C) in [3] by using the reductions.

### § 1

(1.1) in [2] is described as follows:

Let  $G$  be a finite group and let  $H \triangleleft G$ . Let  $\zeta$  be an irreducible character of  $H$ . Assume that  $G = T(\zeta)$ , the inertia group of  $\zeta$ . Let  $F$  be an algebraically closed field such that  $\text{char } F \nmid |H|$ . Let  $V$  be an irreducible  $F[H]$ -module which affords  $\zeta$ . Then there exist a finite group  $\tilde{G}$  and an exact sequence

$$(1) \quad \langle 1 \rangle \rightarrow Z \rightarrow \tilde{G} \xrightarrow{f} G \rightarrow \langle 1 \rangle$$

which satisfy the following conditions (i) and (ii).

(i)  $Z$  is a cyclic group in the center of  $\tilde{G}$  and  $|Z| \mid |H|^2$ . Also  $\tilde{G}$  contains a normal subgroup  $\tilde{H}$  such that  $Z\tilde{H} = Z \times \tilde{H} = f^{-1}(H)$ . The group  $\tilde{G}$  depends only on  $G$  and  $\zeta$ , in particular it is independent of the choice of  $F$ .

(ii) Let  $F_1$  be the subfield of  $F$  generated by a primitive  $|H|^2$ -th root of unity. There exists an  $F_1[\tilde{G}]$ -module  $\tilde{V}_1$  such that if  $\tilde{V} = \tilde{V}_1 \otimes_{F_1} F$  then  $f(\tilde{V}_{\tilde{H}}) \cong V$ .

Further the following holds for the group  $\tilde{G}$ . If  $W$  is an irreducible  $F[G]$ -module such that  $V$  is a constituent of  $W_H$ , then  $W \cong \tilde{V} \otimes \tilde{W}$  for some absolutely irreducible  $F[\tilde{G}/\tilde{H}]$ -module  $\tilde{W}$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}(F)$  be the set of all Brauer characters afforded by irreducible  $F[G]$ -modules  $W$  such that  $V$  is a constituent of  $W_H$ . Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(F)$  be the set of all Brauer characters afforded by irreducible  $F[\tilde{G}/\tilde{H}]$ -modules  $U$  such that  $Z$  is in the kernel of  $\tilde{V} \otimes U$ . Then the map sending  $W$  to  $\tilde{W}$  induces a one to one mapping from  $\mathcal{A}(F)$  onto  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(F)$ .

We recall the part of the proof of (1. 1), which we discuss in this section. Let  $F'$  be a field with  $F_1 \subseteq F' \subseteq F$ . Let  $V'$  be an irreducible  $F'[H]$ -module which affords  $\zeta$  and  $A$  be a representation with underlying module  $V'$ . Let  $S = \{\det A(y) \mid y \in H\}$ . For  $x \in G$  let  $N_x$  be the set of all linear transformations  $z$  on  $V'$  such that  $z^{-1}A(y)z = A(x^{-1}yx)$  for all  $y \in H$  and such that  $\det z \in S$ . Then

$$(*) \quad N_x \neq \phi$$

for each  $x \in G$ . The proof of  $(*)$  is not complete. We have showed in [4] that  $(*)$  is true when  $F_1$  is the subfield of  $F$  generated by a primitive  $\zeta(1)|H|$ -th root of unity. Using the following Lemma, here, we show  $(*)$  remains valid if  $F_1$  is the subfield of  $F$  generated by a primitive  $(d, 2\bar{n})h$ -th root of unity, where  $d = \zeta(1)$ ,  $h = |H|$  and  $\bar{n}$  is the exponent of  $G/H$ .

LEMMA *Let  $G$  be a group of finite order  $g$  and  $F$  be an algebraically closed field with  $\text{char } F \nmid g$ . Let  $T$  be an irreducible representation of  $G$  over  $F$  with degree  $d$  and  $s$  be the order of  $\det T$ , that is,  $s$  the smallest natural number such that  $(\det T(x))^s = 1$  for all  $x \in G$ . Then we have  $ds \mid 2g$ .*

PROOF We prove by induction on  $g$ . Let  $G'$  be the commutator subgroup of  $G$ . If  $G' = G$  or  $\langle 1 \rangle$ , then the lemma is trivial. We assume that  $G'$  is a proper subgroup of  $G$ , then there exists a normal subgroup  $N$  of  $G$  such that the index  $|G : N|$  of  $N$  in  $G$  is a prime, say  $l$ . Let  $T_0$  be an irreducible constituent of  $T_N$ , the restriction of  $T$  to  $N$ , and  $s_0$  be the order of  $\det T_0$ .

If  $T_N$  is irreducible, then  $T_N = T_0$  and

$$(\det T(x))^l = \det T_0(x^l) \quad (\text{for all } x \in G).$$

Hence

$$(\det T(x))^{ls_0} = (\det T_0(x^l))^{s_0} = 1 \quad (\text{for all } x \in G).$$

Thus, we have  $s \mid ls_0$ . Therefore, by the induction hypothesis, we see  $ds \mid 2g$ .

If  $T_N$  is not irreducible then, by Clifford's theorem, we see that  $T = T_0^{\alpha}$ . Therefore, for  $x \in G$ , there exists  $y_i \in N$  ( $i=1, 2, \dots, l$ ) such that

$$\det T(x) = \pm \det T_0(y_1) \det T_0(y_2) \cdots \det T_0(y_l).$$

Hence we have

$$(2) \quad (\det T(x))^{s_0} = (\pm 1)^{s_0}.$$

If  $s_0$  is even, then  $(\det T(x))^{s_0} = 1$  for all  $x \in G$ , that is,  $s \mid s_0$ . Hence we see  $ds \mid 2g$  by the induction hypothesis. If  $s_0$  is odd, then we can see that  $\deg T_0 \cdot s_0 = (d/l)s_0 \mid |N|$  by the induction hypothesis. On the other hand, from (2), we see  $(\det T(x))^{2s_0} = 1$  for all  $x \in G$ , hence  $s \mid 2s_0$ . Therefore, we see  $ds \mid 2g$ . This completes the proof.

REMARK Since  $N_x$  for  $x=1$  can be taken as  $Z$  of exact sequence (1), by Lemma, we may replace  $|Z| \mid h^2$  in (i) of (1. 1) by  $|Z| \mid (d, 2)h$ . In particular, if  $d$  is odd the  $|Z| \mid h$ .

PROOF OF (\*) We may assume that  $\text{char } F = 0$  and  $A$  is a matrix representation over  $F_0$ , where  $F_0$  is the subfield of  $F$  generated by a primitive  $h$ -th root of unity. Let  $x$  be a fixed element of  $G$ . Since  $A$  and  $A^{(x)}$  are equivalent in  $F_0$ , there exists  $Z_0 \in GL(d, F_0)$  such that

$$(3) \quad Z_0^{-1}A(y)Z_0 = A^{(x)}(y) = A(x^{-1}yx) \quad (\text{for all } y \in H).$$

We set  $z = \lambda Z_0$ ,  $\lambda^d = (\det Z_0)^{-1}$ . We have

$$(4) \quad \det z = 1,$$

$$(5) \quad z^{-1}A(y)z = A(x^{-1}yx) \quad (\text{for all } y \in H),$$

$$(6) \quad z^d = (\det Z_0)^{-1}Z_0^d \in GL(d, F_0).$$

If we denote by  $\bar{x}$  the element of the residue class group  $G/H$  represented by  $x$  and by  $o(\bar{x})$  the order of  $\bar{x}$ , then

$$z^{-o(\bar{x})}A(y)z^{o(\bar{x})} = A(x^{-o(\bar{x})}yx^{o(\bar{x})}) = A(x^{o(\bar{x})})^{-1}A(y)A(x^{o(\bar{x})})$$

for all  $y \in H$ . Hence by Schur's lemma we may write

$$z^{o(\bar{x})} = \eta A(x^{o(\bar{x})}) \quad (\eta \in F).$$

From (4),  $\eta^{o(\bar{x})} = 1$ . Since, by Lemma,  $\eta$  is a  $(d, 2)h$ -th root of unity, we have  $\eta \in F_1$ ,

$$(7) \quad z^{o(\bar{x})} \in GL(d, F_1),$$

$$(8) \quad z^{2o(\bar{x})h} = I \quad (\text{identity matrix}).$$

From (6) and (7), we see that if  $o(\bar{x})$  and  $d$  are relatively prime, then  $z \in GL(d, F_1)$  and so  $N_x$  is not empty.

We consider the group  $\langle z, A(y) \mid y \in H \rangle$  generated by  $z$  and  $A(y)$ ,  $y \in H$ . By (5) and (8), the order of the group is finite and the exponent of it divides  $2o(\bar{x})h$ , for  $\eta^{2h} = 1$ . Hence, by Brauer's theorem ([1, Theorem 1]) there exists a non-singular matrix  $P$  over  $F$  such that  $P^{-1}zP$ ,  $P^{-1}A(y)P$  ( $y \in H$ ) are matrices over  $F_2$ , where  $F_2$  is the subfield of  $F$  generated by a primitive  $2o(\bar{x})h$ -th root  $^{2o(\bar{x})h}\sqrt{1}$  of unity. Hence  $P^{-1}AP$  is a representation of  $H$  over  $F_2$ . Hence there exists  $Q \in GL(d, F_2)$  such that

$$Q^{-1}(P^{-1}A(y)P)Q = A(y) \quad (\text{for all } y \in H).$$

By Schur's lemma,  $PQ$  is a scalar matrix and

$$z = (PQ)^{-1}z(PQ) = Q^{-1}(P^{-1}zP)Q \in GL(d, F_2).$$

Hence  $\lambda \in F_2$ .

*Case I* ( $2 \nmid d$  or  $4 \mid h$ ) To show that  $N_x \neq \phi$ , we may assume  $o(\bar{x}) = q^a$ ,  $q$  a prime. Further we may assume  $q \mid d$ , since  $N_x \neq \phi$  when  $(o(\bar{x}), d) = 1$ . In this case  $F_2 = F_0(^{2o(\bar{x})h}\sqrt{1})$  is a cyclic extension over  $F_0$  with degree  $(h, 2)o(\bar{x})$ . Hence, if we set  $(F_0(\lambda) : F_0) = m$ , then

$$m \mid (h, 2)o(\bar{x}), \quad F_0(\lambda) = F_0(^{mh}\sqrt{1}).$$

On the other hand,  $m \mid d$ , since  $\lambda^a, ^a\sqrt{1} \in F_0$ . Hence,  $mh \mid (d, 2h)$  and  $F_0(\lambda) \subseteq F_1$ . Therefore,  $z \in GL(d, F_1)$  and  $z \in N_x$ . This completes the proof in this case.

*Case II* ( $2 \mid d$  and  $4 \nmid h$ ) Let  $y_0$  be an involution of  $H$ . In this case,  $\langle y_0 \rangle$  is a 2-Sylow subgroup of  $H$ . By Burnside's theorem,  $H$  has a normal 2-complement  $H_0$ . Since  $2 \mid d$  and  $H_0$  is a  $2'$ -group, by Clifford's theorem, there exists an irreducible character  $\zeta_0$  of  $H_0$  such that  $\zeta = \zeta_0^H$  and  $\zeta_{H_0} = \zeta_0 + \zeta_0^{(y_0)}$ . Let  $A_0$  be a matrix representation of  $H_0$  over  $F_0$  which affords  $\zeta_0$ . We may assume that

$$(9) \quad A(y) = \begin{pmatrix} A_0(y) & 0 \\ 0 & A_0(y_0^{-1}yy_0) \end{pmatrix} \quad (y \in H_0), \quad A(y_0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_0 \\ I_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $I_0$  is the identity matrix of degree  $d/2$ .

Since  $\langle y_0 \rangle$  is a 2-Sylow subgroup of  $H$ ,  $G = H \cdot N_G(\langle y_0 \rangle) = H \cdot C_G(y_0)$ . Hence we may assume  $x \in C_G(y_0)$ , because  $N_x \neq \phi$  is evident for  $x \in H$ . Since the inertia group  $T(\zeta)$  is  $G$ ,  $\zeta_0^{(x)} = \zeta_0$  or  $\zeta_0^{(y_0)}$ . Hence we may also assume that  $\zeta_0^{(x)} = \zeta_0$ , replacing  $x$  by  $xy_0$  if  $\zeta_0^{(x)} = \zeta_0^{(y_0)}$ .

We set  $\tilde{x} = xH_0$  ( $\in \langle x, H_0 \rangle / H_0$ ) and  $|H_0| = h_0$ . Let  $F_1'$  be the subfield of  $F$  generated by a primitive  $(d/2, 2o(\tilde{x}))h_0$ -th root of unity. From Case I, in which

$\langle x, H_0 \rangle$ ,  $H_0$  and  $\zeta_0$  are taken as  $G$ ,  $H$  and  $\zeta$ , there exists a non-singular matrix  $Z'$  over  $F_1'$  such that

$$(10) \quad (Z')^{-1}A_0(y)Z' = A_0(x^{-1}yx) \quad (\text{for all } y \in H_0), \quad \det Z' = 1.$$

Since  $d/2$  is odd and  $o(\bar{x}) \mid o(\tilde{x}) \mid 2o(\bar{x})$ , we have

$$(d/2, 2o(\tilde{x}))h_0 = (d/2, o(\tilde{x}))h_0 \mid (d, 2\bar{n})h,$$

hence  $F_1' \subseteq F_1$ . If we set

$$Z_1 = \begin{pmatrix} Z' & 0 \\ 0 & Z' \end{pmatrix}$$

then  $Z_1 \in GL(d, F_1)$ . From (9), (10) and the hypothesis  $x \in C_G(y_0)$ , we see  $\det Z_1 = 1$  and

$$Z_1^{-1}A(y)Z_1 = A(x^{-1}yx) \quad (\text{for all } y \in H).$$

Hence  $N_x \neq \phi$ , as required. This completes the proof.

## § 2

Let  $p$  be a fixed prime and  $G$  be a  $p$ -solvable group of finite order. Let  $B$  be a  $p$ -block with defect group  $D$  and  $p^c$  be the index of the center  $Z(D)$  in  $D$ . For an ordinary irreducible character  $\chi \in B$ , denote by  $h(\chi)$  the height of  $\chi$ . P. Fong showed that

$$h(\chi) \leq c$$

holds for every  $\chi \in B$  ([3, Theorem (3C)]). To the Fong's result we add the following.

**PROPOSITION** *Let  $p$  be a prime and  $G$  be a  $p$ -solvable group of finite order  $g$ . Let  $B$  be a  $p$ -block with defect group  $D$  and  $p^c$  be the index of  $Z(D)$  in  $D$ . If*

$$h(\chi) = c \quad (\text{for some } \chi \in B),$$

*then  $D$  is abelian.*

**PROOF** We prove by induction on  $g$ . The proposition is trivial for  $p'$ -groups. By reduction theorems (2B) and (2D) in [3], we may assume that  $B$  is a block with maximum defect, that is,  $D$  is a  $p$ -Sylow subgroup of  $G$ . Let  $H$  be a maximal

normal subgroup of  $G$  and  $b$  be a block of  $H$  covered by  $B$ .  $b$  is of maximum defect and has a defect group  $D_0 = D \cap H$ . If  $p \nmid |G : H|$  then, by the induction hypothesis, we can easily see that  $D$  is abelian. So we may assume  $|G : H| = p$ . Let  $\zeta$  be an irreducible constituent of  $\chi_H$  belonging to  $b$ .

First we show  $Z(D) \not\subseteq H$ . Assume  $Z(D) \subseteq H$ . Then  $Z(D) \subseteq Z(D_0)$ . Hence  $\nu(|D : Z(D)|) > \nu(|D_0 : Z(D_0)|)$ , where if  $n$  is a non-zero integer then  $p^{\nu(n)}$  is the highest power of  $p$  which divides  $n$ . On the other hand, if  $\chi_H$  is irreducible, then  $\chi_H = \zeta$  and we have, by [3, Theorem (3C)],

$$\nu(|D_0 : Z(D_0)|) \geq h(\zeta) = \nu(\zeta(1)) = \nu(\chi(1)) = h(\chi),$$

therefore

$$\nu(|D : Z(D)|) > h(\chi).$$

This contradicts the assumption of Proposition. If  $\chi_H$  is not irreducible, then  $\chi = \zeta^G$  and

$$\nu(|D_0 : Z(D_0)|) \geq h(\zeta) = \nu(\zeta(1)) = \nu(|D_0 : Z(D)|) \geq \nu(|D_0 : Z(D_0)|).$$

Hence, we have

$$\nu(|D_0 : Z(D_0)|) = h(\zeta), \quad Z(D_0) = Z(D).$$

By the induction hypothesis,  $D_0$  is abelian and  $Z(D) = D_0$ . Therefore  $D$  is abelian, which yields a contradiction. Hence  $Z(D) \not\subseteq H$ . Therefore by [3, Lemma (3B)],  $\chi_H$  is irreducible and

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(|D_0 : Z(D_0)|) &\geq h(\zeta) = h(\chi) = \nu(|D : Z(D)|) \\ &= \nu(|D_0 : Z(D) \cap D_0|) \geq \nu(|D_0 : Z(D_0)|). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the equalities

$$h(\zeta) = \nu(|D_0 : Z(D_0)|), \quad Z(D) \cap D_0 = Z(D_0)$$

hold. By the induction hypothesis,  $D_0$  is abelian and  $D_0 = Z(D_0) \subseteq Z(D)$ . Hence  $D$  is abelian. This completes the proof.

**References**

- [1] R. Brauer, Applications of induced characters. Amer. J. Math. **69** (1947), 709-716.
- [2] W. Feit, Representations of finite groups Part II. (mimeographed note), Math. Dept., Yale Univ., New Haven Connecticut, (1975).
- [3] P. Fong, On the characters of  $p$ -solvable groups. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **98** (1961), 263-284.
- [4] K. Iizuka and A. Watanabe, A remark on the representations of finite groups V. Mem. Fac. Gen. Ed., Kumamoto Univ., **14**(1979), 1-8 (to appear).

Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Science  
Kumamoto University