

p-BLOCKS AND *p*-REGULAR CLASSES IN A FINITE GROUP

Atumi WATANABE

(Received October 30, 1981)

Let G be a finite group, p be a prime number and K be an algebraic number field of finite degree which is a splitting field for all subgroups of G . We denote by \mathfrak{o} the ring of p -integers, where \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal in K dividing p , and by F the residue class field $\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}$. Let C_1, C_2, \dots, C_r be the p -regular classes of G and $D(C_\nu)$ be a defect group of C_ν . We also denote by C_ν the sum of all elements of C_ν in the group ring FG . Let $\chi_1, \chi_2, \dots, \chi_n$ be the ordinary irreducible characters of G , B_1, B_2, \dots, B_t be the p -blocks of G and $D(B_\tau)$ be a defect group of B_τ . We denote by $k(B_\tau)$ and $l(B_\tau)$ the numbers of ordinary and modular irreducible characters in B_τ , respectively.

R. Brauer, in [1] and [2], showed the following. *With each B_τ we can associate $l(B_\tau)$ p -regular classes C_ν as follows:*

(i) *Each C_ν is associated with one and only one B_τ .*

(ii) *If we denote by $C_\mu^\tau (\mu=1, 2, \dots, l(B_\tau))$ the p -regular classes C_ν which are associated with B_τ , then the p -rank of the $k(B_\tau) \times l(B_\tau)$ matrix $(\chi_i(x_\mu^\tau)) (\chi_i \in B_\tau, 1 \leq \mu \leq l(B_\tau), x_\mu^\tau \in C_\mu^\tau)$ is equal to $l(B_\tau)$.*

We say such a construction a selection of p -regular classes for p -blocks. Let E_τ be the block idempotent of FG corresponding to B_τ . In [8], M. Osima proved that (ii) can be replaced by the following (ii').

(ii') *If $C_\mu^\tau (\mu=1, 2, \dots, l(B_\tau))$ are p -regular classes associated with B_τ , then $C_\mu^\tau E_\tau (\mu=1, 2, \dots, l(B_\tau))$ are linearly independent.*

After Brauer [3], Iizuka [6], [7] and Osima [8] we describe some results concerning a selection of p -regular classes for p -blocks. Let P be a p -subgroup of G and $X_G(P)$ be the linear subspace of the center $Z(FG)$ of FG spanned by all C_ν with $D(C_\nu) =_G P$. Set

$$V_G(P) = \sum_{S \leq_G P} X_G(S), \quad \tilde{V}_G(P) = \sum_{S \leq_G P} X_G(S).$$

Then we have

$$V_G(P) = \sum_{\tau} V_G(P)E_\tau, \quad \tilde{V}_G(P) = \sum_{\tau} \tilde{V}_G(P)E_\tau.$$

Let $m(B_\tau, P)$ be the F -dimension of the factor space $V_G(P)E_\tau / \tilde{V}_G(P)E_\tau$. $\{C_\mu^\tau E_\tau + \tilde{V}_G(P)E_\tau \mid D(C_\mu^\tau) = P, 1 \leq \mu \leq l(B_\tau)\}$ is an F -basis of $V_G(P)E_\tau / \tilde{V}_G(P)E_\tau$ and $m(B_\tau, P)$ is equal to the number of C_μ^τ with $D(C_\mu^\tau) = P$. In particular, if P is normal in G , then,

$$(1) \quad m(B_\tau, P) = \dim_F X_G(P)E_\tau.$$

The Brauer homomorphism ϕ of $Z(FG)$ into $Z(FN_G(P))$ induces isomorphisms

$$V_G(P) / \tilde{V}_G(P) \longrightarrow X_{N_G(P)}(P), \quad V_G(P)E_\tau / \tilde{V}_G(P)E_\tau \longrightarrow X_{N_G(P)}(P)\phi(E_\tau).$$

Hence we have

$$(2) \quad m(B_\tau, P) = \sum_{b \in Bl(N_G(P), B_\tau)} m(b, P),$$

where $Bl(N_G(P), B_\tau)$ is the set of p -blocks b of $N_G(P)$ with $b^G = B_\tau$. If $m(B_\tau, P) \neq 0$, then P is contained in a defect group of B_τ . For $P = D(B_\tau)$, we have $m(B_\tau, P) = 1$. Let $\{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_s\}$ be a set of representatives for the classes of conjugate p -subgroups in G . We have

$$(3) \quad l(B_\tau) = \sum_j m(B_\tau, P_j).$$

In the present paper we shall give further results concerning the numbers $m(B_\tau, P_j)$.

Let π be a p -element of G and $C_G(\pi)$ be the centralizer of π in G . Let $Bl(C_G(\pi), B_\tau)$ ($1 \leq \tau \leq t$) be the set of p -blocks b of $C_G(\pi)$ which are associated with B_τ by the Brauer homomorphism, i. e., $b^G = B_\tau$. For each P_j ($1 \leq j \leq s$) let $\mathcal{S}_j(\pi)$ be the set of maximal members of $\{P_j^x \cap C_G(\pi) \mid P_j^x \ni \pi, x \in G\}$ and for a p -subgroup Q of $C_G(\pi)$ denote by $J(\pi, Q)$ the set $\{j \mid \mathcal{S}_j(\pi) \ni Q, 1 \leq j \leq s\}$. The following is our main result.

THEOREM. *Let π be a p -element of G and Q be a p -subgroup of $C_G(\pi)$. For $\tau = 1, 2, \dots, t$, we have*

$$(i) \quad \sum_{j \in J(\pi, Q)} m(B_\tau, P_j) \leq \sum_{b \in Bl(C_G(\pi), B_\tau)} m(b, Q),$$

$$(ii) \quad \sum_{\substack{j \in J(\pi, Q) \\ P_j < D(B_\tau) \\ G}} m(B_\tau, P_j) \leq \sum_{\substack{b \in Bl(C_G(\pi), B_\tau) \\ D(b) \neq Q \\ C_G(\pi)}} m(b, Q)$$

PROOF. We may assume $\pi \in Q$. So we have $QC_G(Q) \subseteq C_G(\pi) \cap N_G(Q) \subseteq N_G(Q)$. We put $H = C_G(\pi) \cap N_G(Q)$. We can define the Brauer homomorphism ϕ of $Z(FG)$ into $Z(FH)$. $\{\phi(C_\nu) \mid D(C_\nu) \cong_G Q, 1 \leq \nu \leq r\}$ is an F -basis of $\phi(Z_0)$, where $Z_0 = \sum_{\nu=1}^r FC_\nu$. Hence $\{\phi(C_\mu^\tau E_\tau) \mid D(C_\mu^\tau) \cong_G Q, 1 \leq \mu \leq l(B_\tau), 1 \leq \tau \leq t\}$ is an F -basis of $\phi(Z_0)$. In particular, $\{\phi(C_\mu^\tau E_\tau) \mid D(C_\mu^\tau) \cong_G P_j \text{ for some } j \in J(\pi, Q), 1 \leq \mu \leq l(B_\tau)\}$ are linearly independent. By the definition of $J(\pi, Q)$, if $D(C_\mu^\tau) \cong_G P_j (j \in J(\pi, Q))$ then we see $\phi(C_\mu^\tau) \in X_H(Q)$ and hence $\phi(C_\mu^\tau E_\tau) \in X_H(Q)\phi(E_\tau)$. Therefore we have

$$\sum_{j \in J(\pi, Q)} m(B_\tau, P_j) \leq \dim_F X_H(Q)\phi(E_\tau).$$

Let $\phi(E_\tau) = \sum_{\rho=1}^{w_\tau} e_{\tau\rho}$ be the decomposition of $\phi(E_\tau)$ into block idempotents of $Z(FH)$. Then $X_H(Q)\phi(E_\tau) = \sum_{\rho=1}^{w_\tau} X_H(Q)e_{\tau\rho}$. By (1), $\dim_F X_H(Q)\phi(E_\tau) = \sum_{\rho=1}^{w_\tau} m(b_{\tau\rho}, Q)$, where $b_{\tau\rho}$ is the p -block of H corresponding to $e_{\tau\rho} (\rho = 1, 2, \dots, w_\tau)$. Clearly $(b_{\tau\rho}^{C_G(\pi)})^G = b_{\tau\rho}^G = B_\tau$. By (2), for $b \in Bl(C_G(\pi), B_\tau)$ with $m(b, Q) \neq 0$, there exists $\rho (1 \leq \rho \leq w_\tau)$ such that $b = b_{\tau\rho}^{C_G(\pi)}$. Hence, by (2), we have

$$\sum_{\rho=1}^{w_\tau} m(b_{\tau\rho}, Q) = \sum_{b \in Bl(C_G(\pi), B_\tau)} m(b, Q).$$

Thus (i) is proved. If $D(C_\mu^\tau) \cong_G P_j$ and $P_j <_G D(B_\tau)$, then $C_\mu^\tau E_\tau$ is a nilpotent element and hence $\phi(C_\mu^\tau E_\tau)$ is a nilpotent element. For $b_{\tau\rho}$ with $D(b_{\tau\rho}) = Q$, we have $X_H(Q)e_{\tau\rho} = Fe_{\tau\rho}$. Therefore, if $D(C_\mu^\tau) \cong_G P_j$ for some $j \in J(\pi, Q)$ and $P_j <_G D(B_\tau)$ then

$$\phi(C_\mu^\tau E_\tau) \in \sum_{\substack{1 \leq \rho \leq w_\tau \\ D(b_{\tau\rho}) \neq Q}} X_H(Q)e_{\tau\rho}.$$

So we have

$$\sum_{\substack{j \in J(\pi, Q) \\ P_j <_G D(B_\tau)}} m(B_\tau, P_j) \leq \dim_F \left(\sum_{\substack{1 \leq \rho \leq w_\tau \\ D(b_{\tau\rho}) \neq Q}} X_H(Q)e_{\tau\rho} \right) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq \rho \leq w_\tau \\ D(b_{\tau\rho}) \neq Q}} m(b_{\tau\rho}, Q).$$

By (2) and the first main theorem on blocks,

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq \rho \leq w_\tau \\ D(b_{\tau\rho}) \neq Q}} m(b_{\tau\rho}, Q) = \sum_{\substack{b \in Bl(C_G(\pi), B_\tau) \\ D(b) \neq Q \\ C_G(\pi)}} m(b, Q).$$

Hence (ii) holds.

COROLLARY. *If, further, $\pi \in Q$, then*

$$(i) \quad m(B_\tau, Q) \leq \sum_{b \in \text{Bl}(C_G(\pi), B_\tau)} m(b, Q).$$

Furthermore if Q is not a defect group of B_τ , then

$$(ii) \quad m(B_\tau, Q) \leq \sum_{\substack{b \in \text{Bl}(C_G(\pi), B_\tau) \\ D(b) \neq Q \\ C_G(\pi)}} m(b, Q).$$

In Fujii [5] he gives an equivalent result to (i) in Corollary and (ii) in Corollary implies Theorem in Fujii [4].

$C_G(\pi)$ acts $\mathcal{S}_j(\pi)$ by conjugation; let $s_j(\pi)$ be the number of the orbits. Then we have

PROPOSITION 1. *Under the notations of Theorem the followings hold.*

$$(i) \quad \sum_{j=1}^s m(B_\tau, P_j) s_j(\pi) \leq \sum_{b \in \text{Bl}(C_G(\pi), B_\tau)} l(b),$$

$$(ii) \quad \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq s \\ P_j \leq_G D(B_\tau)}} m(B_\tau, P_j) s_j(\pi) \leq \sum_{b \in \text{Bl}(C_G(\pi), B_\tau)} (l(b) - 1).$$

PROOF. If $Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_{s'}$ be a set of representatives for the classes of conjugate p -subgroups in $C_G(\pi)$. Then by (i) in Theorem and by (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{s'} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}(\pi, Q_k)} m(B_\tau, P_j) &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{s'} \sum_{b \in \text{Bl}(C_G(\pi), B_\tau)} m(b, Q_k) \\ &= \sum_{b \in \text{Bl}(C_G(\pi), B_\tau)} l(b). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum_{k=1}^{s'} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}(\pi, Q_k)} m(B_\tau, P_j) = \sum_{j=1}^s m(B_\tau, P_j) s_j(\pi)$, we have (i). Similarly, since $m(b, D(b)) = 1$, (ii) in Theorem and (3) yield

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{s'} \sum_{\substack{j \in \mathcal{J}(\pi, Q_k) \\ P_j \leq_G D(B_\tau)}} m(B_\tau, P_j) &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{s'} \sum_{\substack{b \in \text{Bl}(C_G(\pi), B_\tau) \\ D(b) \neq Q_k \\ C_G(\pi)}} m(b, Q_k), \\ &= \sum_{b \in \text{Bl}(C_G(\pi), B_\tau)} (l(b) - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence (ii) follows from

$$\sum_{k=1}^{s'} \sum_{\substack{j \in \mathcal{J}(\pi, Q_k) \\ P_j \leq_G D(B_\tau)}} m(B_\tau, P_j) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq s \\ P_j \leq_G D(B_\tau)}} m(B_\tau, P_j) s_j(\pi).$$

If P_j is abelian, then $\mathcal{S}_j(\pi) = \{P_j^x \mid P_j^x \ni \pi, x \in G\}$. Hence we see that if C is the conjugacy class of G containing π , then $s_j(\pi)$ is equal to the number of $N_G(P_j)$ -conjugacy classes of elements of $C \cap P_j$. Then we have the following.

PROPOSITION 2. *Let m_j be the number of $N_G(P_j)$ -conjugacy classes of elements of P_j and $n(B_\tau)$ be the number of conjugacy classes of subsections associated with B_τ . If $D(B_\tau)$ is abelian, then*

$$k(B_\tau) \geq \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq s \\ P_j \leq_G D(B_\tau)}} m(B_\tau, P_j) m_j + n(B_\tau).$$

PROOF. Let $\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_u$ be a set of representatives for the classes of conjugate p -elements in G . Then we have $k(B_\tau) = \sum_{k=1}^u \sum_{b \in \text{Bl}(C_G(\pi_k), B_\tau)} l(b)$ and we have $n(B_\tau) = \sum_{k=1}^u \text{Bl}(C_G(\pi_k), B_\tau)^\#$, where $\text{Bl}(C_G(\pi_k), B_\tau)^\#$ denotes the number of elements of $\text{Bl}(C_G(\pi_k), B_\tau)$. Therefore, by (ii) in Proposition 1,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^u \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq s \\ P_j \leq_G D(B_\tau)}} m(B_\tau, P_j) s_j(\pi_k) &\leq \sum_{k=1}^u \sum_{b \in \text{Bl}(C_G(\pi_k), B_\tau)} (l(b) - 1) \\ &= k(B_\tau) - n(B_\tau). \end{aligned}$$

As $D(B_\tau)$ is abelian, if $m(B_\tau, P_j) \neq 0$ then P_j is abelian. Hence we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^u \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq s \\ P_j \leq_G D(B_\tau)}} m(B_\tau, P_j) s_j(\pi_k) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq s \\ P_j \leq_G D(B_\tau)}} m(B_\tau, P_j) m_j.$$

This completes the proof.

Let G_p be the set of p -elements of G . We define an equivalence relation in G_p as follows. For $x, y \in G_p$, x and y are equivalent if and only if the cyclic groups $\langle x \rangle$ and $\langle y \rangle$ are conjugate in G . Let $\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_v$ be a complete system of representatives for the equivalence classes. Then by Brauer's permutation lemma B_τ is a union of at least $\sum_{k=1}^v \sum_{b \in \text{Bl}(C_G(\pi_k), B_\tau)} l(b)$ families of p -conjugate characters in B_τ . Hence by (i) in Proposition 1, we have the following.

PROPOSITION 3. Let $f(B_\tau)$ be the number of the families of p -conjugate characters in B_τ . Then we have

$$(i) \quad f(B_\tau) \geq \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{k=1}^v m(B_\tau, P_j) s_j(\pi_k).$$

In particular, if p^{e_j} is the exponent of P_j then

$$(ii) \quad f(B_\tau) \geq l(B_\tau) + \sum_{j=1}^s m(B_\tau, P_j) e_j.$$

PROOF. (i) follows from (i) in Proposition 1. It is clear that $\sum_{k=1}^v s_j(\pi_k) \geq e_j + 1$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{k=1}^v m(B_\tau, P_j) s_j(\pi_k) &\geq \sum_{j=1}^s m(B_\tau, P_j) (e_j + 1) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^s m(B_\tau, P_j) + \sum_{j=1}^s m(B_\tau, P_j) e_j. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) follows from (3).

References

- [1] R. Brauer, On the arithmetic in a group ring, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. **30** (1944), 109-114.
- [2] ———, Zur Darstellungstheorie der Gruppen endlicher Ordnung, Math. Zeitschr. **63** (1956), 406-444.
- [3] ———, Defect groups in the theory of representations of finite groups, Illinois J. Math. **13** (1969), 53-73.
- [4] M. Fujii, On determinants of Cartan matrices of p -blocks, Proc. Japan Acad. **56** (1980), 401-403.
- [5] ———, Some studies on lower defect groups, Osaka J. Math. (to appear).
- [6] K. Iizuka, Note on blocks of group characters, Kumamoto J. Sci. Ser. A **2** (1956), 309-321.
- [7] ———, Yugen-gun no modular hyogen ni Tsuite (On modular representations of finite groups) Seminar Reports of Tokyo Metropolitan University (1977) (in Japanese).
- [8] M. Osima, Notes on blocks of group characters, Math. J. Okayama Univ. **4** (1955), 175-188.

Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science
Kumamoto University