

## NOTE ON BLOCKS OF GROUP CHARACTERS

Kenzo IZUKA

(Received February 25, 1956)

By Prof. R. BRAUER a number of theorems concerning the blocks of group characters were given without detailed proofs ([2], [3], [4]). Recently, Prof. M. OSIMA determined the primitive idempotents of the center of a modular group ring and gave many properties of the idempotents. Making use of them, he proved some of Brauer's theorems ([7]).

The purpose of this paper is to verify directly some of Brauer's theorems and to derive some properties of idempotents of the center of the modular group ring. §1 concerns with the elementary divisors of Cartan matrices corresponding to blocks and §2 with the defect groups of blocks. In §3 we deal with some properties of the idempotents.

**Notations.**

$\mathfrak{G}$ : A group of finite order  $g$ .

$p$ : A fixed rational prime number.

$a$ : The exponent of the highest power of  $p$  dividing  $g$ .

$K_1, K_2, \dots, K_n$ : The classes of conjugate elements of  $\mathfrak{G}$ .  $K_{v,*}$  denotes the class which consists of elements reciprocal to those of  $K_v$ .

$\mathfrak{C}(\ )$ : The centralizer of a subgroup in  $\mathfrak{G}$ .

$\mathfrak{N}(\ )$ : The normalizer of a subgroup or an element in  $\mathfrak{G}$ .

$n_v$ : The order of the normalizer  $\mathfrak{N}(G_v)$  of an element  $G_v$  in  $K_v$ .

$\mathfrak{S}_v$ : The defect group of  $K_v$  for  $p$ , i. e., a  $p$ -Sylow subgroup of the normalizer  $\mathfrak{N}(G_v)$  of an element  $G_v$  in  $K_v$ , which is uniquely determined by the class  $K_v$  up to conjugacy.

$\rho_v$ : The defect of  $K_v$  for  $p$ , i. e., the order of  $\mathfrak{S}_v$ .

$g_v$ : The number of elements in  $K_v$ ,  $g_v = g/n_v$ .

$K$ : The field of the  $g$ -th roots of unity.

$\mathfrak{p}$ : A prime ideal of  $K$  dividing  $p$ . We also denote by  $\mathfrak{p}$  the ideal  $\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{o}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  in the ring  $\mathfrak{o}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  of  $\mathfrak{p}$ -integers of  $K$ .

$K^*$ : The residue class field  $\mathfrak{o}_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}$ .

$\Gamma(\ )$ : The group ring of a subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$  over  $K$ .

$A(\ )$ : The center of  $\Gamma(\ )$ .

$\Gamma^*(\ )$ : The group ring of a subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$  over  $K^*$ .

$A^*(\ )$ : The center of  $\Gamma^*(\ )$ .

$\zeta^{(1)}, \zeta^{(2)}, \dots, \zeta^{(n)}$ : The absolutely irreducible ordinary characters of  $\mathfrak{G}$ .  $\zeta_v^{(i)}$  denotes

the value of  $\zeta^{(i)}$  for the class  $K_\nu$ .

$z_i$  : The degree of  $\zeta^{(i)}$ .

$\omega^{(i)}$ : The linear character of  $A(\mathfrak{G})$  belonging to  $\zeta^{(i)}$ .  $\omega_\nu^{(i)}$  denotes the value of  $\omega^{(i)}$  for the class  $K_\nu$ ,  $\omega_\nu^{(i)} = g_\nu \zeta_\nu^{(i)} / z_i$ .

$\varphi^{(1)}, \varphi^{(2)}, \dots, \varphi^{(k)}$ : The absolutely irreducible modular characters of  $\mathfrak{G}$  for  $p$  defined as complex numbers.  $\varphi_\nu^{(k)}$  denotes the value of  $\varphi^{(k)}$  for the  $p$ -regular class  $K_\nu$ .

$Z$  : The matrix  $(\zeta_\nu^{(i)})_{i,\nu}$  of ordinary characters of  $\mathfrak{G}$ .

$\Phi$  : The matrix  $(\varphi_\nu^{(k)})_{k,\nu}$  of modular characters of  $\mathfrak{G}$ .

$d_{i\kappa}$ : The decomposition numbers of  $\mathfrak{G}$  for  $p$ .

$c_{\kappa\lambda}$ : The Cartan invariants of  $\mathfrak{G}$  for  $p$ .

$D$  : The matrix  $(d_{i\kappa})_{i,\kappa}$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ;  $\kappa = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ).

$C$  : The matrix  $(c_{\kappa\lambda})_{\kappa,\lambda}$  ( $\kappa, \lambda = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ).

$B_1, B_2, \dots, B_s$ : The blocks of characters of  $\mathfrak{G}$  for  $p$ . Corresponding to  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_s$  if the rows and columns are arranged suitably,  $C$  and  $D$  have the forms

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} C_1 & & 0 \\ & C_2 & \\ & \dots & \\ 0 & & C_s \end{pmatrix}, \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} D_1 & & 0 \\ & D_2 & \\ & \dots & \\ 0 & & D_s \end{pmatrix}.$$

From now on we assume that  $C$  and  $D$  are of these forms.

1. Let  $K_1, K_2, \dots, K_k$  be the  $p$ -regular classes. Let  $x_\tau$  and  $y_\tau$  denote the numbers of  $\zeta^{(i)}$  and  $\varphi^{(k)}$  in  $B_\tau$ , respectively. Consider the  $k$  columns of  $Z$  which belong to  $K_1, K_2, \dots, K_k$ . Then we can select a minor  $\Delta$  of degree  $k$  which is not divisible by  $p$ . As is well known,  $y_\tau$  of the rows in  $\Delta$  must belong to  $\zeta^{(i)}$  in  $B_\tau$ . It is then possible to associate  $y_\tau$  of the  $p$ -regular classes with  $B_\tau$  in such a way, that each  $p$ -regular class is associated with one and only one block and that the  $y_\tau$  rows of  $\Delta$  belonging to  $\zeta^{(i)}$  in  $B_\tau$  and the  $y_\tau$  columns belonging to the  $p$ -regular classes associated with  $B_\tau$  form a minor which is not divisible by  $p$ .

[1.1] *If the  $p$ -regular classes  $K_\lambda, K_\mu, \dots, K_\sigma$  are associated with  $B_\tau$ , then the elementary divisors of  $C_\tau$  are  $p^{\rho_\lambda}, p^{\rho_\mu}, \dots, p^{\rho_\sigma}$ .*

PROOF. Corresponding to the form of  $C$  we write

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 & & * \\ & \phi_2 & \\ & \dots & \\ * & & \phi_s \end{pmatrix}$$

where the columns containing  $\phi_\tau$  correspond to  $y_\tau$   $p$ -regular classes associated with  $B_\tau$ .

Then we see that

$$|\phi_\tau| \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \quad (\tau = 1, 2, \dots, s).$$

Similarly we write

$$\Psi = (\phi_{\nu^*})_{\kappa, \nu}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_1 & & & * \\ & \Psi_2 & & \\ & & \dots & \\ * & & & \Psi_s \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since  $|\phi| \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , all coefficients of  $\Psi$  are  $p$ -integers. From the orthogonal relations for modular characters of  $\mathfrak{G}$  we have

$$C_\tau \phi_\tau = \Psi'_\tau \begin{pmatrix} n_\lambda & & & 0 \\ & n_\mu & & \\ & & \dots & \\ 0 & & & n_\sigma \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\Psi'_\tau$  is the transpose of  $\Psi_\tau$ . Therefore

$$|C_\tau| \geq p^{\rho_\lambda + \rho_\mu + \dots + \rho_\sigma} \quad (\tau = 1, 2, \dots, s).$$

On the other hand

$$|C| = \prod_\tau |C_\tau| = p^{\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \dots + \rho_k}.$$

Hence

$$|C_\tau| = p^{\rho_\lambda + \rho_\mu + \dots + \rho_\sigma}.$$

Thus we have

$$|\Psi_\tau| \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

and hence the elementary divisors of  $C_\tau$  are  $p^{\rho_\lambda}, p^{\rho_\mu}, \dots, p^{\rho_\sigma}$ .

[1.2] *If  $B_\tau$  is a block of defect  $d$ , then the corresponding Cartan matrix  $C_\tau$  has one elementary divisor  $p^d$  while all other elementary divisors are powers of  $p$  with exponents smaller than  $d$ .*

PROOF.<sup>1)</sup> Let  $p^{\epsilon_1}, p^{\epsilon_2}, \dots, p^{\epsilon_y}$  ( $\epsilon_1 \leq \epsilon_2 \leq \dots \leq \epsilon_y, y = y_\tau$ ) be the elementary divisors of  $C_\tau$  and consider

$$S_{ij} = \sum_{\nu=1}^k g_\nu \zeta_\nu^{(i)} \zeta_\nu^{(j)} \quad (\zeta^{(i)}, \zeta^{(j)} \text{ in } B_\tau).$$

Then we see that

$$S_\tau = (S_{ij})_{i,j} = D_\tau g C_\tau^{-1} D'_\tau.$$

All coefficients of  $S_\tau$  are rational integers and the elementary divisors of  $S_\tau$  are  $p^{a-\epsilon_y}, \dots,$

<sup>1)</sup> This proof is due to [1]. Cf. [1], footnote 18 and § 8.

$p^{a-\varepsilon_2}, p^{a-\varepsilon_1}, 0, \dots, 0$ . Since every  $\omega_v^{(i)}$  is an algebraic integer and the degree  $z_i$  of each  $\zeta^{(i)}$  in  $B_\tau$  is divisible at least by  $p^{a-d}$ , we have

$$(1.1) \quad S_{ij} = S_{ji} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{a-d}}.$$

Hence we have  $\varepsilon_y \leq d$ . On the other hand,  $\varepsilon_y$  is not less than  $d$ .<sup>2)</sup> Consequently we have

$$\varepsilon_y = d.$$

Let  $\zeta^{(i_1)}$  be a character in  $B_\tau$  such that  $z_{i_1}$  is exactly divisible by  $p^{a-d}$ . Since the congruences

$$(1.2) \quad \omega_v^{(i)} \equiv \omega_v^{(j)} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}} \quad (\zeta^{(i)}, \zeta^{(j)} \text{ in } B_\tau)$$

hold for all classes  $K_v$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$ , we have

$$(1.3) \quad S_{ij} \equiv \frac{z_i}{z_{i_1}} S_{i_1j} \pmod{p^{a-d+1}}.$$

In particular

$$S_{i_1j} = S_{ji_1} \equiv \frac{z_j}{z_{i_1}} S_{i_1i_1} \pmod{p^{a-d+1}},$$

and therefore

$$S_{ij} \equiv \frac{z_i z_j}{z_{i_1}^2} S_{i_1i_1} \pmod{p^{a-d+1}}.$$

Thus we have

$$S_\tau = S_{i_1i_1} \left( \frac{z_i z_j}{z_{i_1}^2} \right) + H_\tau.$$

$H_\tau$  is a matrix in which all coefficients are divisible by  $p^{a-d+1}$ . Since every minor of degree 2 of  $S_\tau$  is divisible by  $p^{(a-d)+(a-d+1)}$ , we have

$$\varepsilon_{y-1} < d.$$

[1.3] A character  $\zeta^{(i)}$  belongs to a block of defect larger than or equal to a given number  $d$ , if and only if

$$(1.4) \quad \omega_v^{(i)} \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$$

for all  $p$ -regular classes  $K_v$  with  $\rho_v < d$ .

PROOF. Since the sufficiency is obvious, we prove the necessity. Suppose that  $\zeta^{(i)}$  belongs to a block  $B_\tau$  of defect  $d_\tau$  smaller than  $d$ . By [1.1] and [1.2] we can find a  $p$ -regular class  $K_\mu$  with  $\rho_\mu = d_\tau$  among the  $p$ -regular classes associated with  $B_\tau$  in the sense of [1.1]. Then we have by (1.4)

<sup>2)</sup> See [5], §7.

$$\frac{g_\mu \zeta_\mu^{(j)}}{z_j} = \omega_\mu^{(j)} \equiv \omega_\mu^{(i)} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

for all  $\zeta^{(j)}$  in  $B_\tau$ . Since  $g_\mu$  is exactly divisible by  $p^{a-d_\tau}$  and  $z_j$  is divisible at least by  $p^{a-d_\tau}$ ,

$$\zeta_\mu^{(j)} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

holds for all  $\zeta^{(j)}$  in  $B_\tau$ , which yields a contradiction. Therefore we have

$$d_\tau \geq d.$$

[1.4] Two characters  $\zeta^{(i)}$  and  $\zeta^{(j)}$  belonging to blocks of defect  $d$  appear in the same block, if and only if

$$(1.5) \quad \omega_\nu^{(i)} \equiv \omega_\nu^{(j)} \pmod{p}$$

for all  $p$ -regular classes  $K_\nu$  with  $\rho_\nu = d$ .

PROOF. Since the sufficiency is obvious, we prove the necessity. Suppose that  $\zeta^{(i)}$  and  $\zeta^{(j)}$  belong to different blocks  $B_\sigma$  and  $B_\tau$ , respectively. We now consider

$$S_{lm} = \sum_{\nu=1}^k g_\nu \zeta_\nu^{(l)} \zeta_\nu^{(m)}$$

for  $\zeta^{(l)}$  and  $\zeta^{(m)}$  belonging to either  $B_\sigma$  or  $B_\tau$ . Arranging the rows and columns suitably we have

$$(S_{lm})_{l,m} = \begin{pmatrix} D_\sigma g C_\sigma^{-1} D'_\sigma & 0 \\ 0 & D_\tau g C_\tau^{-1} D'_\tau \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore by [1.2] two of the elementary divisors of the matrix  $(S_{lm})$  are equal to  $p^{a-d}$ . On the other hand, from (1.5) we can deduce the following congruences

$$S_{lm} \equiv \frac{z_l}{z_{i_1}} S_{i_1 l} \pmod{p^{a-d+1}}$$

where  $z_{i_1}$  is exactly divisible by  $p^{a-d}$ . Thus we can conclude in the same way as in the proof of [1.2] that the elementary divisors of  $(S_{lm})$  are larger than  $p^{a-d}$  except one, which yields a contradiction.

2. We also denote by  $K_\nu$  the sum of all elements in the class  $K_\nu$ . Then  $K_1, K_2, \dots, K_n$  form a  $K$ -basis of  $A = A(\mathfrak{G})$  and we have

$$(2.1) \quad K_\alpha K_\beta = \sum_\gamma a_{\alpha\beta\gamma} K_\gamma$$

where  $a_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$  are non-negative rational integers.

Let  $\mathfrak{H}$  be any subgroup of order  $p^h$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$  and consider a subgroup  $\mathfrak{N}$  satisfying

$$(2.2) \quad \mathfrak{H}\mathfrak{G}(\mathfrak{H}) \subseteq \mathfrak{N} \subseteq \mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{H}).$$

Denote by  $K_\nu^0$  the part of  $K_\nu$  which lies in  $\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{H})$ .  $K_\nu^0$  is a sum of complete classes of  $\mathfrak{N}$  or zero according as  $K_\nu$  contains any element of  $\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{H})$  or not. From (2.1) we can see that

$$(2.3) \quad K_\alpha^0 K_\beta^0 = \sum_\gamma a_{\alpha\beta\gamma} K_\gamma^0 \pmod{p}.$$

We show this. If we set  $K_\nu = K_\nu^0 + K_\nu^1$ , (2.1) yields

$$K_\alpha^0 K_\beta^0 + K_\alpha^0 K_\beta^1 + K_\alpha^1 K_\beta^0 + K_\alpha^1 K_\beta^1 = \sum_\gamma a_{\alpha\beta\gamma} K_\gamma^0 + \sum_\gamma a_{\alpha\beta\gamma} K_\gamma^1.$$

Let  $m_G$  denote the coefficient of an element  $G$  in  $K_\alpha^1 K_\beta^1$  and for each element  $R$  of  $\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{H})$  consider the set  $\mathfrak{P}_R$  of all elements  $P$  in  $K_\alpha^1$  such that  $PQ = R$  for a suitable element  $Q$  in  $K_\beta^1$ . It is then easy to see that  $m_R$  is equal to the number of elements of  $\mathfrak{P}_R$ . In order to see that  $m_R$  is divisible by  $p$ , we consider the elements  $P', P'', \dots$  which are the transforms of an element  $P'$  in  $\mathfrak{P}_R$  by the elements of  $\mathfrak{N}(R) = \mathfrak{N}(R) \cap \mathfrak{N}$ . Obviously all elements  $P^{(i)}$  belong to  $\mathfrak{P}_R$  and the number of elements  $P^{(i)}$  is equal to the index  $[\mathfrak{N}(R) : \mathfrak{N}(P') \cap \mathfrak{N}(R)]$ . This index is divisible by  $p$ , since  $\mathfrak{H}$  is a normal subgroup of  $\mathfrak{N}(R)$  while  $\mathfrak{H}$  is not contained in  $\mathfrak{N}(P') \cap \mathfrak{N}(R)$ . Continuing the same process for the remaining  $P$  of  $\mathfrak{P}_R$ , we can finally see that  $m_R$  is equal to a sum of such indices. Hence  $m_R$  is divisible by  $p$ , from which (2.3) follows.

If  $\mathfrak{H}_\alpha \not\cong \mathfrak{H}^3$ , then by (2.3) we have

$$(2.4) \quad a_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

for any class  $K_\gamma$  with  $\mathfrak{H}_\gamma \cong \mathfrak{H}$ . Therefore  $K_\nu$  with  $K_\nu^0 = 0$  form a  $K^*$ -basis of an ideal  $T^*$  in  $A^* = A^*(\mathfrak{G})$  and  $K_\nu^0 (\neq 0)$  form a  $K^*$ -basis of a subring  $R^*$  of  $\tilde{A}^* = A^*(\mathfrak{N})$ . Thus we have

$$(2.5) \quad R^* \cong A^*/T^*.$$

Let  $\tilde{K}_1, \tilde{K}_2, \dots$  be the classes of conjugate elements of  $\mathfrak{N}$ . Then  $\tilde{K}_\nu$  either does not contain any elements of  $\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{H})$  or consists of elements of  $\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{H})$ . The classes which consist of elements of  $\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{H})$  form a  $K^*$ -basis of a subring  $\tilde{R}^*$  of  $\tilde{A}^*$  and the others form a  $K^*$ -basis of an ideal  $\tilde{T}^*$  in  $\tilde{A}^*$ . We see that  $\tilde{A}^*$  is the direct sum

$$(2.6) \quad \tilde{A}^* = \tilde{R}^* + \tilde{T}^*.$$

[2.1] If  $\mathfrak{G}$  contains a normal subgroup  $\mathfrak{H}$  of order  $p^d$ , then there exists at least one character  $\zeta^{(i)}$  in each  $B_\tau$  which represents the elements of  $\mathfrak{H}$  by the unit matrix and all blocks of  $\mathfrak{G}$  have at least the defect  $d$ .

PROOF. We have

$$\sum_{H \text{ in } \mathfrak{H}} \sum_{i \text{ in } B_\tau} d_{ik} \zeta^{(i)}(H) = \sum_{i \text{ in } B_\tau} d_{ik} z_i \neq 0,^{4)}$$

3) " $\mathfrak{H}_\mu \cong \mathfrak{H}$ " means " $\mathfrak{H}$  is conjugate to a subgroup of  $\mathfrak{H}_\mu$ ".

because

$$\sum_{i \text{ in } B_\tau} d_{ik} \zeta^{(i)}(S) = 0$$

holds for all  $p$ -singular elements  $S$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$ . Hence there exists at least one  $\zeta^{(i)}$  in  $B_\tau$  such that the 1-character of  $\mathfrak{H}$  appears in the character  $\zeta^{(i)}(H)$  of  $\mathfrak{H}$ . Then  $\zeta^{(i)}$  represents all elements of  $\mathfrak{H}$  by the unit matrix.

Let denote by  $\omega_\tau^*$  the linear character of  $A^*$  corresponding to  $B_\tau$ .

[2.2] *If  $\mathfrak{G}$  contains a normal subgroup  $\mathfrak{H}$  of order  $p^h$ , then any linear character of  $A^*$  vanishes for all elements of  $T^*$ .*

PROOF. Let  $K_\mu$  be a class belonging to  $T^*$  and  $\bar{K}_\mu$  the class of conjugate elements of the group  $\mathfrak{G}/\mathfrak{H}$  which contains the coset  $\bar{G}_\mu$  of an element  $G_\mu$  in  $K_\mu$ . The defect group  $\bar{\mathfrak{H}}_\mu$  of  $\bar{K}_\mu$  contains  $\mathfrak{H}_\mu\mathfrak{H}/\mathfrak{H}$  while  $\mathfrak{H}_\mu$  does not contain  $\mathfrak{H}$ . Hence we have  $\bar{\rho}_\mu > \rho_\mu - h$ , where  $\bar{\rho}_\mu$  is the defect of  $\bar{K}_\mu$ . Therefore, if we denote by  $\bar{g}_\mu$  the number of elements in  $\bar{K}_\mu$

$$(2.7) \quad \frac{\bar{g}_\mu}{g_\mu} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

On the other hand, if  $\zeta^{(i)}$  is a character in  $B_\tau$  which represents all elements of  $\mathfrak{H}$  by the unit matrix, we have

$$(2.8) \quad \frac{\bar{g}_\mu \zeta^{(i)}(\bar{G}_\mu)}{z_i} = \frac{\bar{g}_\mu \zeta_\mu^{(i)}}{z_i}.$$

Since  $\bar{g}_\mu \zeta^{(i)}(\bar{G}_\mu)/z_i$  is an algebraic integer, it follows from (2.7) and (2.8) that

$$\omega_\tau^*(K_\mu) = \omega_\mu^{(i)} \pmod{p} = 0.$$

We again consider a subgroup  $\mathfrak{N}$  satisfying (2.2). Denote by  $\tilde{B}_1, \tilde{B}_2, \dots$  the blocks of characters of  $\mathfrak{N}$  for  $p$  and by  $\tilde{\omega}_\sigma^*$  the linear character of  $\tilde{A}^*$  corresponding to  $\tilde{B}_\sigma$ .  $\tilde{\omega}_\sigma^*$  induces a linear character of  $R^*$  and therefore induces a linear character  $\omega_\tau^*$  of  $A^*$  which vanishes for all elements of  $T^*$ . Thus we have

$$(2.9) \quad \omega_\tau^*(K_\nu) = \sum \tilde{\omega}_\sigma^*(\tilde{K}_p)$$

where the sum extends over the classes  $\tilde{K}_p$  of  $\mathfrak{N}$  which are contained in  $K_\nu$ . If the defect group of  $K_\nu$  is  $\mathfrak{H}$ ,  $K_\nu$  contains one and only one class of  $\mathfrak{N}$  and hence there appears only one  $\tilde{K}_p$  in the sum in (2.9). The block  $B_\tau$  associated with  $\omega_\tau^*$  is called *the block of  $\mathfrak{G}$  determined by the block  $\tilde{B}_\sigma$  of  $\mathfrak{N}$*  ([3]). (2.9) yields immediately

$$(2.10) \quad h \leq \tilde{d}_\sigma \leq d_\tau$$

where  $d_\tau$  and  $\tilde{d}_\sigma$  are the defects of  $B_\tau$  and  $\tilde{B}_\sigma$ , respectively.

<sup>4)</sup> "i in  $B_\tau$ " means " $\zeta^{(i)}$  in  $B_\tau$ ".

[2.3] Let  $\{\mathfrak{H}^{(\alpha)}\}$  be a complete system of representatives for the classes of conjugate subgroups of order  $p^2$  in  $\mathfrak{G}$  and  $\mathfrak{N}^{(\alpha)}$  the normalizer  $\mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{H}^{(\alpha)})$  of  $\mathfrak{H}^{(\alpha)}$ . Then

1) All blocks of  $\mathfrak{N}^{(\alpha)}$  have at least the defect  $d$ . Each block of defect  $d$  of  $\mathfrak{N}^{(\alpha)}$  determines a block of defect  $d$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$  and the different blocks of defect  $d$  of  $\mathfrak{N}^{(\alpha)}$  determine different blocks of  $\mathfrak{G}$ .

2) Each block of defect  $d$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$  is determined by a block of defect  $d$  of one and only one  $\mathfrak{N}^{(\alpha)}$ . (The  $p$ -subgroup  $\mathfrak{H}^{(\alpha)}$  corresponding to this  $\mathfrak{N}^{(\alpha)}$  is called the defect group of the block of  $\mathfrak{G}$ , which is uniquely determined by the block up to conjugacy ([3]).)

PROOF. 1) Let  $\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{H}^{(\alpha)}$  be any subgroup in  $\{\mathfrak{H}^{(\alpha)}\}$  and  $\tilde{B}_\sigma$  a block of defect  $d$  of  $\mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{N}^{(\alpha)}$ . There exists a  $p$ -regular class  $\tilde{K}_p$  of defect  $d$  such that  $\tilde{\omega}_\sigma^*(\tilde{K}_p) \neq 0$ . Since  $\mathfrak{H}$  is the defect group of  $\tilde{K}_p$ , the class  $K_\nu$  containing  $\tilde{K}_p$  also has the defect group  $\mathfrak{H}$ . If  $B_\tau$  is the block determined by  $\tilde{B}_\sigma$ , then  $\omega_\tau^*(K_\nu) = \tilde{\omega}_\sigma^*(\tilde{K}_p) \neq 0$ . It follows from [1.3] and (2.10) that the defect of  $B_\tau$  is  $d$ .

The last part of 1) is readily seen from [1.4].

2) Let  $B_\tau$  be a block of defect  $d$ . There exists a  $p$ -regular class  $K_\nu$  of defect  $d$  such that  $\omega_\tau^*(K_\nu) \neq 0$ . Let  $\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{H}^{(\alpha)}$  be the defect group of  $K_\nu$ . If  $K_\mu$  is any class belonging to  $T^*$ , then by (2.4)  $a_{\mu\nu\lambda} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  for the classes  $K_\lambda$  such that  $\mathfrak{H}_\lambda \not\subseteq \mathfrak{H}_\mu \cap \mathfrak{H}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{H}_\mu \cap \mathfrak{H}$  is a proper subgroup of  $\mathfrak{H}$ , [1.3] yields  $\omega_\tau^*(K_\mu) \omega_\tau^*(K_\nu) = 0$  and hence

$$(2.11) \quad \omega_\tau^*(K_\mu) = 0.$$

Thus we can consider  $\omega_\tau^*$  as a linear character of  $R^*$  for  $\mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{N}^{(\alpha)}$ . Then there exists a linear character  $\tilde{\omega}_\sigma^*$  of  $\tilde{A}^*$  which coincides with  $\omega_\tau^*$  on  $R^*$ .<sup>5)</sup> The block  $\tilde{B}_\sigma$  of  $\mathfrak{N}$  associated with  $\tilde{\omega}_\sigma^*$  determines  $B_\tau$  and the defect of  $\tilde{B}_\sigma$  is  $d$  because of [2.1] and (2.10).

The remaining part of the proof follows immediately from [1.4] and (2.11).

From the proof of [2.3] we obtain the following [2.4] and [2.5].

[2.4] A subgroup of order  $p^2$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$  is the defect group of a block  $B_\tau$  of defect  $d$ , if and only if it is the defect group of a  $p$ -regular class  $K_\nu$  of defect  $d$  such that  $\omega_\tau^*(K_\nu) \neq 0$ .

[2.5] If the defect group of  $K_\nu$  does not contain the defect group of  $B_\tau$ , then

$$\omega_\tau^*(K_\nu) = 0.$$

[2.6] If  $\mathfrak{H}$  is a normal  $p$ -subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$ , then the defect group of each block  $B_\tau$  contains  $\mathfrak{H}$ .

PROOF. Let  $\mathfrak{D}_\tau$  be the defect group of  $B_\tau$ .  $\mathfrak{D}_\tau$  is the defect group of a  $p$ -regular class  $K_\nu$  such that  $\omega_\tau^*(K_\nu) \neq 0$ . Hence [2.2] yields  $\mathfrak{H} \subseteq \mathfrak{D}_\tau$ .

<sup>5)</sup> See [6], §1.



[2.7] Let  $\mathfrak{H}$  be a subgroup of order  $p^h$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$  and  $\mathfrak{N}$  a subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$  satisfying (2.2). A block  $B_\tau$  with the defect group  $\mathfrak{D}_\tau$  is determined by a block of  $\mathfrak{N}$ , if and only if  $\mathfrak{H} \subseteq \mathfrak{D}_\tau$ . If  $B_\tau$  is determined by a block  $\tilde{B}_\sigma$  of  $\mathfrak{N}$  with the defect group  $\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_\sigma$ , then  $\mathfrak{H} \subseteq \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_\sigma \subseteq \mathfrak{D}_\tau$ .

PROOF. If  $\mathfrak{D}_\tau \supseteq \mathfrak{H}$ , then by virtue of [2.5]  $B_\tau$  can be determined by a block of  $\mathfrak{N}$ . If  $B_\tau$  is determined by  $\tilde{B}_\sigma$ , then a  $p$ -regular class  $K_\nu$  with the defect group  $\mathfrak{D}_\tau$  such that  $\omega_\tau^*(K_\nu) \neq 0$  must contain at least one  $p$ -regular class  $\tilde{K}_\rho$  of  $\mathfrak{N}$  such that  $\tilde{\omega}_\sigma^*(\tilde{K}_\rho) \neq 0$  because of (2.9). Hence from [2.5] we have  $\mathfrak{D}_\tau \supseteq \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_\sigma$ .

Combining [2.5] with [1.4], we obtain

[2.8] Two characters  $\zeta^{(i)}$  and  $\zeta^{(j)}$  belonging to blocks with the defect group  $\mathfrak{D}$  appear in the same block, if and only if

$$\omega_\nu^{(i)} \equiv \omega_\nu^{(j)} \pmod{p}$$

for all  $p$ -regular classes  $K_\nu$  with the defect group  $\mathfrak{D}$ .

From [2.1] and [2.3] or from [2.4] we have

[2.9] If  $\mathfrak{H}$  is not a maximal normal  $p$ -subgroup of  $\mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{H})$ , then  $\mathfrak{H}$  cannot be the defect group of any block  $B_\tau$ .

[2.10] If  $\mathfrak{G}$  contains a normal  $p$ -subgroup  $\mathfrak{H}$  and if  $\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{H})$  is also a  $p$ -group, then  $\mathfrak{G}$  possesses only one block and the defect of this block is  $a$ .

PROOF. Let  $\mathfrak{D}_\tau$  be the defect group of a block  $B_\tau$ . Since  $\mathfrak{D}_\tau$  contains  $\mathfrak{H}$ ,  $\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{D}_\tau)$  is contained in the  $p$ -group  $\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{H})$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{D}_\tau)$  does not contain any  $p$ -regular class except  $K_1$  which consists of the unit element. Therefore  $\mathfrak{D}_\tau$  is a  $p$ -Sylow subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$ . Since  $\omega^*(K_1) = 1$  holds for any linear character  $\omega^*$  of  $A^*$ , by [1.4]  $\mathfrak{G}$  possesses only one block of defect  $a$ .

3. <sup>6)</sup> Let  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$  be the primitive idempotents of  $A = A(\mathfrak{G})$  corresponding to  $\zeta^{(1)}, \zeta^{(2)}, \dots, \zeta^{(n)}$ , respectively. Each  $e_i$  is given by

$$(3.1) \quad e_i = \frac{1}{g} \sum_{\nu=1}^n z_\nu \zeta_{\nu^*}^{(i)} K_\nu$$

and we have

$$(3.2) \quad K_\nu e_i = \omega_\nu^{(i)} e_i \text{ and } \omega_\nu^{(i)}(e_i) = 1.$$

For each block  $B_\tau$  we consider the idempotent

$$E_\tau = \sum_{i \text{ in } B_\tau} e_i.$$

From (3.1) we have

<sup>6)</sup> Cf. [7].

$$(3.3) \quad E_\tau = \sum_\nu b_\nu^{(\tau)} K_\nu$$

where  $b_\nu^{(\tau)}$  are  $\mathfrak{p}$ -integers. For all  $\mathfrak{p}$ -singular classes  $K_\sigma$

$$b_\sigma^{(\tau)} = 0.$$

Put  $E_\tau \pmod{\mathfrak{p}} = E_\tau^*$  and  $b_\nu^{(\tau)} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}} = b_\nu^{(\tau)*}$ . We then have

$$(3.4) \quad E_\tau^* = \sum_\nu b_\nu^{(\tau)*} K_\nu,$$

$$(3.5) \quad \omega_\tau^*(E_\tau^*) = \sum_\nu b_\nu^{(\tau)*} (K_\nu) = 1$$

and

$$(3.6) \quad 1 = \sum_\tau E_\tau^*.$$

Hence we see that the elements  $E_\tau^*$  are the mutually orthogonal primitive idempotents of  $A^* = A^*(\mathfrak{G})$ .

Let denote by  $\mathfrak{D}_\tau$  the defect group of  $B_\tau$ .

[3.1] *Each  $E_\tau^*$  is a linear combination of  $\mathfrak{p}$ -regular classes  $K_\nu$  with  $\mathfrak{S}_\nu \subseteq \mathfrak{D}_\tau$ .*

PROOF. If  $K_\nu$  is a class such that  $b_\nu^{(\tau)*} \neq 0$ , then by (3.4)  $B_\tau$  is determined by a block of  $\mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{S}_\nu)$  since for  $\mathfrak{S} = \mathfrak{S}_\nu$  the elements  $E_\sigma^* \pmod{T^*} \neq 0$  form the complete system of mutually orthogonal primitive idempotents of  $A^*/T^*$ . Hence [2.7] yields  $\mathfrak{S}_\nu \subseteq \mathfrak{D}_\tau$ .

[3.2] *There exists at least one  $\mathfrak{p}$ -regular class  $K_\nu$  with the defect group  $\mathfrak{D}_\tau$  such that both  $b_\nu^{(\tau)*}$  and  $\omega_\tau^*(K_\nu)$  do not vanish.*

PROOF. By [1.3] we have

$$\omega_\tau^*(K_\mu) = 0$$

for all classes  $K_\mu$  with  $\mathfrak{S}_\mu \subset \mathfrak{D}_\tau$ . Therefore, by [3.1] and (3.5) there exists at least one  $\mathfrak{p}$ -regular class  $K_\nu$  with  $\mathfrak{S}_\nu = \mathfrak{D}_\tau$  such that

$$\omega_\tau^*(K_\nu) \neq 0 \text{ and } b_\nu^{(\tau)*} \neq 0.$$

We now consider the elements  $K_\alpha E_\tau$  and put

$$(3.7) \quad K_\alpha E_\tau = \sum a_{\omega_\tau^{(\nu)}} K_\nu.$$

For any  $\mathfrak{p}$ -regular class  $K_\alpha$  the above sum extends over the  $\mathfrak{p}$ -regular classes only. If the  $\mathfrak{p}$ -regular classes  $K_{\tau,1}, K_{\tau,2}, \dots, K_{\tau,y_\tau}$  are associated with  $B_\tau$  in the sense of [1.1], then the elements  $K_{\tau,1} E_\tau, K_{\tau,2} E_\tau, \dots, K_{\tau,y_\tau} E_\tau$  are linearly independent  $\pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ . We show this. From (3.1) and (3.2) we have

$$(K_{\tau,1} E_\tau \ K_{\tau,2} E_\tau \ \dots \ K_{\tau,y_\tau} E_\tau) = (K_1 \ K_2 \ \dots \ K_k) A_\tau^{(0)}$$

where

$$A_\tau^{(0)} = (\varphi_{\nu}^{(\kappa)})' C_\tau (\varphi_{\tau,l}^{(\kappa)}) \begin{pmatrix} n_{\tau,1}^{-1} & & 0 \\ & n_{\tau,2}^{-1} & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & n_{\tau,y_\tau}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}^{(7)}$$

( $\varphi^{(\kappa)}$  in  $B_\tau$ ;  $\nu = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ;  $l = 1, 2, \dots, y_\tau$ ).

Hence by [1.1] the rank of  $A_\tau^{(0)} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$  is  $y_\tau$ .

Conversely if the  $p$ -regular classes  $K'_{\tau,1}, K'_{\tau,2}, \dots, K'_{\tau,y'_\tau}$  are associated with  $B_\tau$  in such a way that each  $p$ -regular class is associated with one and only one block and  $y'_\tau$  elements  $K'_{\tau,l} E_\tau$  are linearly independent  $\pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ , then we have  $y'_\tau = y_\tau$  and  $y'_\tau$   $p$ -regular classes  $K'_{\tau,l}$  can be associated with  $B_\tau$  in the sense of [1.1].

Let  $P$  be an element of order  $p^h$  belonging to the center of  $\mathfrak{G}$ . Any class  $K_\alpha$  which contains elements with the  $p$ -factor<sup>8)</sup>  $P$  is of the form  $K_\alpha = PK_\beta$  where  $K_\beta$  is a  $p$ -regular class. Therefore, for any class  $K_\alpha$  which consists of elements with the  $p$ -factor  $P$  the sum in (3.7) extends over the classes  $K_\gamma$  which consist of elements with the  $p$ -factor  $P$  only.<sup>9)</sup> Further, if the  $p$ -regular classes  $K_{\tau,1}, K_{\tau,2}, \dots, K_{\tau,y_\tau}$  are associated with  $B_\tau$  in the sense of [1.1], then  $y_\tau$  elements  $PK_{\tau,l} E_\tau$  are linearly independent  $\pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{H}$  be any  $p$ -subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$  and consider (2.5) and (2.6) for  $\mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{H})$ . Further let  $\tilde{P}_0 = 1, \tilde{P}_1, \tilde{P}_2, \dots$  be a complete system of representatives for the classes of conjugate elements of  $\mathfrak{N}$  whose orders are powers of  $p$  and  $\tilde{E}_\sigma^*$  the primitive idempotent of  $A^*(\mathfrak{N})$  corresponding to a block  $\tilde{B}_\sigma$  of  $\mathfrak{N}$ . We then show the following: *If  $\tilde{K}_1^{(j)}, \tilde{K}_2^{(j)}, \dots$  are the classes of  $\mathfrak{N}$  which have the defect group  $\mathfrak{H}$  and contain elements with the  $p$ -factor  $\tilde{P}_j$ , then we have*

$$(3.8) \quad \tilde{K}_\lambda^{(j)} \tilde{E}_\sigma^* = \sum_{\mu} a_{\lambda\mu}^{(\sigma)*} \tilde{K}_\mu^{(j)}.$$

Since  $\mathfrak{H}$  is the defect group of  $\tilde{K}_\lambda^{(j)}$ , the  $p$ -factor of any element in  $\tilde{K}_\lambda^{(j)}$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{H} \cap \mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{H})$  contained in the center of  $\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{H})$ . Evidently  $\tilde{K}_\lambda^{(j)}$  is a sum of complete classes of  $\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{H})$  which consist of elements with the  $p$ -factors conjugate to  $\tilde{P}_j$  in  $\mathfrak{N}$ . Further  $\tilde{E}_\sigma^*$  belongs to  $A^*(\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{H}))^{10)}$  and is a sum of primitive idempotents of  $A^*(\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{H}))$ . Therefore applying the above result, the element  $\tilde{K}_\lambda^{(j)} \tilde{E}_\sigma^*$  of  $A^*(\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{H}))$  is a linear combination of the classes of  $\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{H})$  which consist of elements with the  $p$ -factors conjugate to  $\tilde{P}_j$  in  $\mathfrak{N}$ .

<sup>7)</sup>  $\varphi_{\tau,l}^{(\kappa)}$  denotes the value of  $\varphi^{(\kappa)}$  for the class  $K_{\tau,l}$  and  $n_{\tau,l}$  denotes the order of the normalizer  $\mathfrak{N}(G_{\tau,l})$  of an element  $G_{\tau,l}$  in  $K_{\tau,l}$ .

<sup>8)</sup> Let  $Q$  be an element of  $\mathfrak{G}$  such that the order of  $Q$  is a power of  $p$ . If  $G$  is an element of the form  $QR$  where  $R$  is a  $p$ -regular element of  $\mathfrak{N}(Q)$ , then  $Q$  is called the  $p$ -factor of  $G$ .

<sup>9)</sup> From Theorem 2 in [4], we see that this fact remains valid even though  $P$  does not belong to the center of  $\mathfrak{G}$ . But the writer does not know the proof of this theorem.

<sup>10)</sup> This can be seen immediately from (2.6) and [2.2]. Cf. [7], § 3.

Consequently the element  $\tilde{K}_\lambda^{(\mathfrak{G})} \tilde{E}_\sigma^*$  of  $A^*(\mathfrak{N})$  is a linear combination of the classes  $\tilde{K}_p$  of  $\mathfrak{N}$  which contain elements with the  $p$ -factor  $\tilde{P}_j$ . Since every element in each  $\tilde{K}_p$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{H})$ , the defect group  $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_p$  of  $\tilde{K}_p$  contains  $\mathfrak{H}$ . On the other hand, by (2.4)  $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_p$  is contained in  $\mathfrak{H}$ . From the above (3.8) follows.

We now consider the classes  $\tilde{K}_\lambda$  of  $\mathfrak{N}$  with the defect group  $\mathfrak{H}$ . We then show the following: *It is possible to associate these classes  $\tilde{K}_\lambda$  with the blocks  $\tilde{B}_\sigma$  in such a way that each class  $\tilde{K}_\lambda$  is associated with one and only one block  $\tilde{B}_\sigma$  and for the classes  $\tilde{K}_{\sigma,l}$  ( $l = 1, 2, \dots, r_\sigma$ ) associated with  $\tilde{B}_\sigma$   $\tilde{K}_{\sigma,l} \tilde{E}_\sigma^*$  are linearly independent.*

By (3.8) it is sufficient to consider only the classes  $\tilde{K}_\lambda^{(\mathfrak{G})}$  for a fixed  $\tilde{P}_j$ . Since  $\tilde{P}_j$  belongs to the center of  $\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{H})$ ,  $\tilde{\mathfrak{N}}(\tilde{P}_j) = \mathfrak{N}(\tilde{P}_j) \cap \mathfrak{N}$  contains  $\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{H})$  and hence every element in any  $\tilde{K}_\lambda^{(\mathfrak{G})}$  belongs to  $\tilde{\mathfrak{N}}(\tilde{P}_j)$ . Evidently the elements in each  $\tilde{K}_\lambda^{(\mathfrak{G})}$  with the  $p$ -factor  $\tilde{P}_j$  form a complete class  $\hat{K}_\lambda^{(\mathfrak{G})}$  of  $\tilde{\mathfrak{N}}(\tilde{P}_j)$  and the defect group of  $\hat{K}_\lambda^{(\mathfrak{G})}$  is also  $\mathfrak{H}$ . Conversely if a class of  $\tilde{\mathfrak{N}}(\tilde{P}_j)$  has the defect group  $\mathfrak{H}$  and consists of elements with the  $p$ -factor  $\tilde{P}_j$ , then this class is contained in one class  $\tilde{K}_\lambda^{(\mathfrak{G})}$ . On the other hand, since  $\tilde{E}_\sigma^*$  belongs to  $A^*(\mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{H}))$ , it belongs to  $\Gamma^*(\tilde{\mathfrak{N}}(\tilde{P}_j))$  and hence to  $A^*(\tilde{\mathfrak{N}}(\tilde{P}_j))$ . Denoting by  $\hat{B}^{(\mathfrak{G})}$  the collection of blocks of  $\tilde{\mathfrak{N}}(\tilde{P}_j)$  corresponding to the idempotent  $\tilde{E}_\sigma^*$  of  $A^*(\tilde{\mathfrak{N}}(\tilde{P}_j))$ , we can associate the classes  $\hat{K}_\lambda^{(\mathfrak{G})}$  with the collections  $\hat{B}^{(\mathfrak{G})}$  in such a way that each  $\hat{K}_\lambda^{(\mathfrak{G})}$  is associated with one and only one collection  $\hat{B}^{(\mathfrak{G})}$  and for the classes  $\hat{K}_{\sigma,l}$  associated with  $\hat{B}^{(\mathfrak{G})}$   $\hat{K}_{\sigma,l} \tilde{E}_\sigma^*$  are linearly independent. As is easily seen, the corresponding elements  $\tilde{K}_{\sigma,l} \tilde{E}_\sigma^*$  are linearly independent.

If  $\tilde{s}_\sigma^{(\mathfrak{G})}$  is the number of the classes  $\tilde{K}_{\sigma,l}$  which contain elements with the  $p$ -factor  $\tilde{P}_j$ , then  $\tilde{s}_\sigma^{(\mathfrak{G})}$  depends only on  $\mathfrak{H}$ ,  $\tilde{B}_\sigma$  and  $\tilde{P}_j$ .

These facts remain valid, if  $\tilde{B}_\sigma$  are collections of blocks of  $\mathfrak{N}$  such that each block of  $\mathfrak{N}$  belongs to one and only one collection. In this case the classes associated with a collection can be associated with the blocks belonging to this collection in the above sense.

Let  $\{\mathfrak{H}\}$  be a complete system of representatives for the classes of conjugate subgroups of orders  $p^h$  ( $h = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ) in  $\mathfrak{G}$ . If the defect group of a block  $B_\tau$  contains a subgroup  $\mathfrak{H}$  in  $\{\mathfrak{H}\}$ , then for  $\mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{H})$  the element  $\tilde{E}_\sigma^{(\tau)*}$  of  $R^*$  corresponding to  $E_\sigma^*(\text{mod } T^*)$  in (2.5) is a sum of primitive idempotents  $\tilde{E}_\sigma^*$  of  $A^*(\mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{H}))$ . The blocks  $\tilde{B}_\sigma$  belonging to these idempotents  $\tilde{E}_\sigma^*$  form the collection  $\tilde{B}^{(\tau)}(\mathfrak{H})$  of blocks of  $\mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{H})$  which determine the block  $B_\tau$ .

For each subgroup  $\mathfrak{H}$  in  $\{\mathfrak{H}\}$  consider the classes  $\tilde{K}_{\tau,l}(\mathfrak{H})$  of  $\mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{H})$  which have the defect group  $\mathfrak{H}$  and are associated with  $B^{(\tau)}(\mathfrak{H})$ ,  $l = 1, 2, \dots, r_\tau(\mathfrak{H})$ . Then we have

(3.3) *If  $K_{\tau,l}(\mathfrak{H})$  is the class of  $\mathfrak{G}$  containing  $\tilde{K}_{\tau,l}(\mathfrak{H})$ , all the classes of  $\mathfrak{G}$  are given by*

(3.9)  $K_{\tau,l}(\mathfrak{S})$  ( $l = 1, 2, \dots, r_{\tau}(\mathfrak{S}); \tau = 1, 2, \dots, s; \mathfrak{S}$  in  $\{\mathfrak{S}\}$ )  
and the elements

(3.10)  $K_{\tau,l}(\mathfrak{S}) E_{\tau}$  ( $l = 1, 2, \dots, r_{\tau}(\mathfrak{S}); \tau = 1, 2, \dots, s; \mathfrak{S}$  in  $\{\mathfrak{S}\}$ )  
are linearly independent (mod  $\mathfrak{p}$ ). Conversely let  $K'_{\tau,l}$  be the classes of  $\mathfrak{S}$  associated with  $B_{\tau}$  in such a way that each class  $K_{\nu}$  is associated with one and only one block of  $\mathfrak{S}$  and  $K'_{\tau,l} E_{\tau}$  are linearly independent (mod  $\mathfrak{p}$ ). Then this selection of classes of  $\mathfrak{S}$  can be obtained as above.<sup>11)</sup>

PROOF. Arrange all subgroups  $\mathfrak{S}$  in  $\{\mathfrak{S}\}$  as follows:  $\mathfrak{S}^{(1)}, \mathfrak{S}^{(2)}, \dots$ , where the order of  $\mathfrak{S}^{(i)}$  is not less than that of  $\mathfrak{S}^{(j)}$  if  $i < j$ . Considering (2.5) for  $\mathfrak{S} = \mathfrak{S}^{(i)}$  and  $\mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{S})$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , we see that the elements in (3.10) are linearly independent (mod  $\mathfrak{p}$ ).

We now prove the converse. If for  $\mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{N}(\mathfrak{S})$  we consider (2.5), then  $K'_{\tau,l} (\neq 0)$  form a  $K^*$ -basis of  $R^*$  and hence for the classes  $K'_{\tau,l} \neq 0$   $K'_{\tau,l} \tilde{E}^{(\tau)*}$  also form a  $K^*$ -basis of  $R^*$ . In particular for the classes  $K'_{\tau,l}$  with the defect group  $\mathfrak{S}$   $K'_{\tau,l} E^{(\tau)*}$  are linearly independent. This completes the proof.

Let  $P_0 = 1, P_1, P_2, \dots$  be a complete system of representatives for the classes of conjugate elements of order  $p^h$  ( $h = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ) in  $\mathfrak{G}$ .

[3.4] If, in the notation of [3.3], we denote by  $s_{\tau}^{(j)}(\mathfrak{S})$  the number of classes  $K_{\tau,i}(\mathfrak{S})$  which contain elements with the  $p$ -factor  $P_j$ , then  $s_{\tau}^{(j)}(\mathfrak{S})$  depends only on  $B_{\tau}$ ,  $\mathfrak{S}$  and  $P_j$ . In particular both  $s_{\tau}(\mathfrak{S}) (= s_{\tau}^{(0)}(\mathfrak{S}))$  and  $r_{\tau}(\mathfrak{S}) (= \sum_j s_{\tau}^{(j)}(\mathfrak{S}))$  depend only on  $B_{\tau}$  and  $\mathfrak{S}$ . Further we have  $\sum_{\mathfrak{S}} r_{\tau}(\mathfrak{S}) = x_{\tau}$  and  $\sum_{\mathfrak{S}} s_{\tau}(\mathfrak{S}) = y_{\tau}$ .

[3.5] The defect groups of the classes associated with  $B_{\tau}$  in the sense of [3.3] are contained in the defect group  $\mathfrak{D}_{\tau}$  of  $B_{\tau}$ . For each  $B_{\tau}$  exactly one of the  $p$ -regular classes associated with  $B_{\tau}$  has the defect group  $\mathfrak{D}_{\tau}$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R. BRAUER, Investigation on group characters, Ann. of Math., 42(1941), 936-958.
- [2] ———, On the arithmetic in a group ring, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., 30(1944), 109-114.
- [3] ———, On blocks of characters of groups of finite order, I, ibid., 32(1946), 182-186.
- [4] ———, On blocks of characters of groups of finite order, II, ibid., 32(1946), 215-219.
- [5] R. BRAUER and C. NESBITT, On the modular characters of groups, Ann. of Math., 44(1941), 556-590.
- [6] M. OSIMA, On the representations of groups of finite order, Math. J. Okayama Univ., 1(1952), 33-60.
- [7] ———, Note on blocks of group characters, ibid., 4(1955), 175-188.

<sup>11)</sup> Such a selection is identical with that in [3], §5.