## SOME STUDIES ON THE ORTHOGONALITY RELATIONS FOR GROUP CHARACTERS

#### Kenzo IIZUKA

(Received April 24, 1961)

R. BRAUER, in his paper (1), gave an important refinement of some of the orthogonality relations for group characters.<sup>1)</sup> He and M. OSIMA gave independently another refinement, (9).<sup>2)</sup> In (10), (5) ((4)) and (6), other refinements were discussed. In the present note, we shall extend the results to a more general case.

The author wishes to thank Professor M. OSIMA and Professor T. NAKAYAMA for their helpful suggestions.

## 1. Preliminaries.

1. 1. We shall consider the subsets of the set  $\{p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_r\}$ :  $\Pi_0 = \text{empty set}$ ,  $\Pi_1 = \{p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_r\}$ ,  $\Pi_2, \cdots, \Pi_{2^{r-1}}$ . If  $\bigcup$  and  $\bigcap$  respectively mean the set theoretical union and intersection, then the subsets  $\Pi_f$  form a lattice with respect to two operations  $\bigcup$  and  $\bigcap$ , which has the maximum element  $\Pi_1$  and the minimum element  $\Pi_0$ . We put  $\pi_f = \prod_{p_i \in \Pi_f} p_i^{n_i}$   $(1 \leq f \leq 2^r - 1)$  and  $\pi_0 = 1$ .

For each  $\Pi_f$ , we can find the maximum one, say  $\mathfrak{N}_{\Pi_f}$ , among the normal subgroups of  $\mathfrak{G}$  whose orders are prime to  $\pi_f$ . The following facts are easily seen:

- 1)  $\mathfrak{N}_{\pi_0} = \mathfrak{G}, \ \mathfrak{N}_{\pi_1} = \{1\}.$
- 2)  $\Pi_f \supseteq \Pi_h$  implies  $\mathfrak{N}_{\Pi_f} \subseteq \mathfrak{N}_{\Pi_h}$ .
- 3)  $\mathfrak{N}_{\pi_f \cup \pi_h} = \mathfrak{N}_{\pi_f} \cap \mathfrak{N}_{\pi_h}, \ \mathfrak{N}_{\pi_f \cap \pi_h} \supseteq \mathfrak{N}_{\pi_f} \cdot \mathfrak{N}_{\pi_h}.$

We shall call an element P of  $\mathfrak{G}$  a  $\Pi_f$ -element if and only if its order is not divisible by any rational prime not belonging to  $\Pi_f$ , while we shall call an element R of  $\mathfrak{G}$  a  $\Pi_f$ -regular element if and only if its order is prime to  $\pi_f$ . As is well known, an element G of  $\mathfrak{G}$  can be uniquely expressed as a product of two commutative elements P and R where P is a  $\Pi_f$ -element, while R is a  $\Pi_f$ -regular element; we shall call P the  $\Pi_f$ -factor of G and R the  $\Pi_f$ -regular factor of G. If  $\Pi_f$  consists of one rational prime p, then " $\Pi_f$ —" will become "p—".

The  $\Pi_{f}$ -section of a  $\Pi_{f}$ -element P in  $\mathbb S$  is the set of all elements of  $\mathbb S$  whose  $\Pi_{f}$ -factors are conjugate to P in  $\mathbb S$ ; we shall denote by  $\mathbb S^{\Pi_{f}}(G)$  the  $\Pi_{f}$ -section of  $\mathbb S$  represented by an element G. As is easily seen, each  $\Pi_{f}$ -section of  $\mathbb S$  is a collection

<sup>1)</sup> Cf. also [2].

<sup>2)</sup> Cf. also (3).

of classes  $K_{\nu}$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$ . We choose a complete system of representatives,  $P_{1}^{\Pi_{f}}=1, P_{2}^{\Pi_{f}}, \cdots$ ,  $P_{sf}^{\Pi_{f}}$ , for the classes  $K_{\nu}$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$  which consist of  $\Pi_{f}$ -elements. It is easily seen that the elements of  $\mathfrak{G}$  are distributed into the  $s_{f}$   $\Pi_{f}$ -sections  $\mathfrak{S}_{\gamma}^{\Pi_{f}}=\mathfrak{S}^{\Pi_{f}}(P_{\gamma}^{\Pi_{f}})$  such that each element of  $\mathfrak{G}$  belongs to exactly one  $\mathfrak{S}_{\gamma}^{\Pi_{f}}$ . If  $\Pi_{f}$  consists of one rational prime p, then the  $\Pi_{f}$ -sections of  $\mathfrak{G}$  are the p-sections of  $\mathfrak{G}$ . On the other hand, if  $\Pi_{f}$  consists of r-1 rational primes, then the  $\Pi_{f}$ -sections of  $\mathfrak{G}$  are the p-regular sections of  $\mathfrak{G}$ , where p is the rational prime in  $\Pi_{1}$  not belonging to  $\Pi_{f}$ .

The following facts are easily seen:

- 4) Only  $\Pi_0$ -section of  $\mathfrak G$  is  $\mathfrak G$  itself, while the  $\Pi_1$ -sections of  $\mathfrak G$  are the classes  $K_{\nu}$  of  $\mathfrak G$ .
  - 5) If  $\Pi_f \supseteq \Pi_h$ , then each  $\Pi_h$ -section of  $\mathfrak{G}$  is a collection of  $\Pi_f$ -sections of  $\mathfrak{G}$ .
- 1. 2. The  $\Pi_f$ -block  $B^{\Pi_f}(\chi_i)$  of irreducible characters of  $\mathbb{G}$  which is represented by an irreducible character  $\chi_i$  is the set of all irreducible characters  $\chi_j$  of  $\mathbb{G}$  such that each  $\chi_j$  is connected to  $\chi_i$  by a chain of irreducible characters of  $\mathbb{G}$ ,

$$\chi_i, \chi_{\lambda}, \cdots, \chi_{\rho}, \chi_{j},$$

in which any two consecutive  $\mathcal{X}_{\alpha}$  and  $\mathcal{X}_{\beta}$  belong to a p-block of  $\mathfrak{G}$ , where  $p = p_{\varphi(\alpha,\beta)}$  is a rational prime in  $\Pi_f$ . It is understood that each irreducible character  $\mathcal{X}_i$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$  itself forms a  $\Pi_0$ -block of  $\mathfrak{G}$ . We denote by  $B_1^{\Pi_f}$ ,  $B_2^{\Pi_f}$ , ...,  $B_{if}^{\Pi_f}$  the  $\Pi_f$ -blocks of  $\mathfrak{G}$ . If  $\Pi_f$  consists of one rational prime p, then the  $\Pi_f$ -blocks of  $\mathfrak{G}$  are the p-blocks of  $\mathfrak{G}$ . On the other hand, if  $\Pi_f$  consists of r-1 rational primes and p is the rational prime in  $\Pi_1$  not belonging to  $\Pi_f$ , then the  $\Pi_f$ -blocks of  $\mathfrak{G}$  are the p-complementary blocks of  $\mathfrak{G}$ .

The following facts are easily seen:

- 1) If  $\Pi_f \supseteq \Pi_h$ , then each  $\Pi_f$ -block of  $\mathfrak{G}$  is a collection of  $\Pi_h$ -blocks of  $\mathfrak{G}$ .
- 2)  $B^{\Pi_f \cup \Pi_h}(\chi_i) \supseteq B^{\Pi_f}(\chi_i) \cup B^{\Pi_h}(\chi_i)$ .
- 1. 3. Let  $\mathfrak N$  be a normal subgroup of  $\mathfrak S$  and let  $\mathfrak D_1^{\mathfrak N}$ ,  $\mathfrak D_2^{\mathfrak N}$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $\mathfrak D_{n(\mathfrak N)}^{\mathfrak N}$  be the  $\mathfrak N$ -blocks<sup>3)</sup> of irreducible characters  $\mathcal X_i$  of  $\mathfrak S$ . It is well known that the classes of associated irreducible characters  $\theta_{\lambda}$  of  $\mathfrak N$  in  $\mathfrak S$  one-one correspond to the  $\mathfrak N$ -blocks of  $\mathfrak S$ ; we shall denote by  $\mathfrak U_{\sigma}^{\mathfrak N}$  the class of associated irreducible characters of  $\mathfrak N$  which corresponds to  $\mathfrak D_{\sigma}^{\mathfrak N}$ . If we denote by  $\mathcal P_{\sigma}$  the sum of all irreducible characters  $\theta_{\lambda}$  in  $\mathfrak U_{\sigma}^{\mathfrak N}$ , then for each irreducible character  $\mathcal X_i$  in  $\mathfrak D_{\sigma}^{\mathfrak N}$

$$\chi_i(N) = s_{i\sigma} \phi_{\sigma}(N) \qquad (N \in \mathfrak{N}),$$

where  $S_{i\sigma}$  is a positive rational integer.

The following facts are well known:

- 1) Two irreducible characters  $\mathcal{X}_i$  and  $\mathcal{X}_j$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$  belong to a same  $\mathfrak{N}$ -block of  $\mathfrak{G}$  if and only if  $\mathcal{X}_i(N)/\mathcal{X}_i(1) = \mathcal{X}_j(N)/\mathcal{X}_j(1)$  holds for all elements N of  $\mathfrak{N}$ .
- 2) If we denote by  $\phi^{\text{G}}$  the character of  $\overline{\mathbb{G}}$  which is induced by a character  $\phi$  of  $\mathfrak{N}$ , then

$$\theta_{\lambda}^{\mathfrak{G}}(G) = \sum_{\chi_{i} \in \mathfrak{A}_{\sigma}^{\mathfrak{R}}} s_{i\sigma} \chi_{i}(G) \tag{GES},$$

<sup>3)</sup> Cf. [7]. Cf. also [5] or [4].

where  $\theta_{\lambda}$  is an irreducible character in  $\mathfrak{U}_{\sigma}^{\mathfrak{N}}$ .

- 3) If  $\mathfrak{M} \supseteq \mathfrak{N}$ , then every  $\mathfrak{N}$ -block of  $\mathfrak{G}$  is a collection of  $\mathfrak{M}$ -blocks of  $\mathfrak{G}$ .
- 4) If the order of  $\mathfrak N$  is prime to  $\pi_f$ , then every  $\mathfrak N$ -block of  $\mathfrak S$  is a collection of  $\mathfrak N_{\pi_f}$ -blocks of  $\mathfrak S$ .
  - 5) If  $\Pi_f \supseteq \Pi_h$ , then every  $\mathfrak{N}_{\Pi_f}$ -block of  $\mathfrak{G}$  is a collection of  $\mathfrak{N}_{\Pi_h}$ -blocks of  $\mathfrak{G}$ .

## 2. $\Pi_f$ -blocks.

2.1. Let  $\Omega$  be the field of g-th roots of unity and let Z be the center of the group ring  $\Gamma$  of  $\mathbb G$  over  $\Omega$ . We denote by  $e_i$  the primitive idempotent of Z which is associated with an irreducible character  $\mathcal X_i$  of  $\mathbb G$ :

$$e_i = \frac{1}{g} \sum_{\nu=1}^n \chi_i(1) \chi_i(G_{\nu}^{-1}) K_{\nu},$$

where  $G_{\nu}$  is a representative element of  $K_{\nu}$  and each class  $K_{\nu}$  also denotes the sum of all its elements.

Let p be a rational prime in  $\Pi_1$  and let  $\mathfrak{o}_p$  be the ring of all  $\mathfrak{p}$ -integers in  $\mathcal{Q}$ , where  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a prime ideal divisor of p in  $\mathcal{Q}$ . It is well known that if, for each p-block  $B_{\tau}$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$ , we denote by  $E_{\tau}$  the idempotent of Z which is associated with  $B_{\tau}$ , then the idempotents  $E_{\tau}$  are the primitive idempotents of the center  $Z_0$  of the group ring  $\Gamma_0$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$  over  $\mathfrak{o}_p$  and that each  $E_{\tau}$  is a linear combination of p-regular classes  $K_{\nu}$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$ .

We denote by  $E^{\pi_f}_{\delta}$  the idempotent of Z which is associated with a  $\Pi_f$ -block  $B^{\pi_f}_{\delta}$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$ :

$$E^{\Pi_f}_{\delta} = \sum_{\mathbf{x}_i \in B^{\Pi_f}_{\delta}} e_i.$$

We set

$$E_{\delta}^{\Pi_f} = \sum \beta_{\delta,\nu}^{\Pi_f} K_{\nu}$$
.

- (2.1.A) The  $\Pi_f$ -blocks  $B_\delta^{\Pi_f}$  of  $\mathbb G$  are characterized as the minimal sets B of irreducible characters  $\mathcal X_i$  of  $\mathbb G$  such that (a) each B is a collection of q-blocks of  $\mathbb G$  for any rational prime q in  $\Pi_f$ , (b) each B is not vacuous.
- (2.1.B)  $\beta_{\delta,\nu}^{\Pi_f}$  can be different from zero only for  $\Pi_f$ -regular classes  $K_{\nu}$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$  (i. e. classes  $K_{\nu}$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$  which consist of  $\Pi_f$ -regular elements). The  $\beta_{\delta,\nu}^{\Pi_f}$  multiplied by  $g/\pi_f$  are algebraic integers.

PROOF. Since  $\mathcal{B}^{\Pi_f}_{\delta}$  is a collection of q-blocks of  $\mathfrak{G}$  for each rational prime q in  $\Pi_f$ ,  $\beta^{\Pi_f}_{\delta,\nu}$  can differ from zero only for  $\Pi_f$ -regular classes  $K_{\nu}$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$ . Since, further, the  $\beta^{\Pi_f}_{\delta,\nu}$  multiplied by g are algebraic integers, the  $g/\pi_f \cdot \beta^{\Pi_f}_{\delta,\nu}$  are algebraic integers.

(2.1.C) If B is a set of irreducible characters  $\mathcal{X}_i$  of  $\mathbb{S}$  such that the coefficients  $\beta_v$  of

$$\alpha \cdot \sum_{\mathbf{x}_i \in B} e_i = \sum_{\mathbf{y}} \beta_{\mathbf{y}} K_{\mathbf{y}}$$

<sup>4)</sup> Cf. [8].

are algebraic integers, then **B** is a collection of  $\Pi_f$ -blocks  $\mathbf{B}_{\delta}^{\Pi_f}$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$ , where  $\alpha$  is a product of powers of the rational primes in  $\Pi_1$  not belonging to  $\Pi_f$ .

PROOF. If the  $\beta_{\nu}$  are algebraic integers for an  $\alpha$ , then B is a collection of q-blocks of  $\mathfrak{G}$  for each rational prime q in  $\Pi_f$ . Hence, it is easily seen from (2.1.A) that B is a collection of  $\Pi_f$ -blocks of  $\mathfrak{G}$ .

As a special case, we have

- [2.1.D] Only  $\Pi_1$ -block of  ${}^{\textcircled{S}}$  is the set of all irreducible characters  ${}^{\textcircled{L}}$  of  ${}^{\textcircled{S}}$ . Only primitive idempotent of the center of the group ring of  ${}^{\textcircled{S}}$  over the ring of all rational integers is the identity 1.
- 2. 2. Let  $\Pi_f = \{q_1, q_2, \cdots, q_u\}$  be an arbitrarily given subset of  $\Pi_1$  and let P be a  $\Pi_f$ -element of  $\mathfrak{G}$ . We consider the normalizer,  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}$ , of P in  $\mathfrak{G}$ . If  $K_1$ ,  $K_2$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $K_{\widetilde{k}}$  are the  $\Pi_f$ -regular classes of  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}$  (strictly speaking, the  $\widetilde{\Pi}_f$ -regular classes of  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}$  where  $\widetilde{\Pi}_f$  is the set of all rational primes in  $\Pi_f$  which divide the order  $\widetilde{g}$  of  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}$ ) then, for any different  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  ( $1 \leq \alpha$ ,  $\beta \leq \widetilde{k}$ ),  $P\widetilde{K}_{\alpha}$  and  $P\widetilde{K}_{\beta}$  cannot be contained in a same class  $K_{\nu}$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$ . Hence, arranging the classes  $K_{\nu}$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$  in a suitable order, we may assume that each  $P\widetilde{K}_{\alpha}$  is contained in a class  $K_{\alpha}$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$  ( $\alpha=1,2,\cdots,\widetilde{k}$ );  $K_1,K_2,\cdots,K_{\widetilde{k}}$  are the classes of  $\mathfrak{G}$  which are contained in the  $\Pi_f$ -section  $\mathfrak{S}^{\Pi_f}(P)$  of P in  $\mathfrak{G}$ .

It is well known that P is uniquely expressed as a product

$$P=Q_1, Q_2, \cdots, Q_n$$

where  $Q_i$  is the  $q_i$ -factor of P ( $1 \leq i \leq u$ ). First, for a  $\Pi_f$ -block  $B^{\Pi_f}_{\delta}$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$ , we consider the collection  $B^{\Pi_f}_{(\delta)}(Q_1)$  of  $q_i$ -blocks  $B^{q_1}_{\rho}$  of the normalizer  $\mathfrak{G}_i$  of  $Q_i$  in  $\mathfrak{G}$  such that each  $B^{q_1}_{\rho}$  is associated (in Brauer's sense), with a  $q_i$ -block of  $\mathfrak{G}$  which is contained in  $B^{\Pi_f}_{\delta}$ . It is easy to see that  $B^{\Pi_f}_{(\delta)}(Q_1)$  is a collection of  $\Pi_f$ -blocks of  $\mathfrak{G}_i^{5}$ . Secondly, if we consider the collection  $B^{\Pi_f}_{(\delta)}(Q_1Q_2)$  of  $q_i$ -blocks  $B^{q_2}_{\mu}$  of the normalizer  $\mathfrak{G}_2$  of  $Q_1Q_2$  in  $\mathfrak{G}$  such that each  $B^{q_2}_{\mu}$  is associated with a  $q_2$ -block of  $\mathfrak{G}_i$  contained in  $B^{\Pi_f}_{(\delta)}(Q_1)$ , then  $B^{\Pi_f}_{(\delta)}(Q_1Q_2)$  is a collection of  $\Pi_f$ -blocks of  $\mathfrak{G}_2$ . Continuing this process, we have finally a collection  $B^{\Pi_f}_{(\delta)}(P)$  of  $\Pi_f$ -blocks  $B^{\Pi_f}_{\gamma}$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$ .

If we denote by  $\tilde{E}^{\pi_f}_{(\tilde{S})}$  the idempotent of the center  $\tilde{Z}$  of the group ring of  $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}$  over Q which is associated with  $\tilde{E}^{\pi_f}_{(\tilde{S})}$ , then we have

(2.2. A) For  $\alpha=1,2,\dots,\widetilde{k}$ , we may write

$$\widetilde{K}_{\alpha} \, \widetilde{E}^{\mathrm{II}f}_{(\delta)} = \sum_{\beta=1}^{\widetilde{k}} \beta^{\mathrm{II}f}_{\delta,\,\alpha\beta} \widetilde{K}_{\beta}$$

and

$$K_{\alpha}E_{\delta}^{\Pi_f} = \sum_{\beta=1}^{\widetilde{k}} \beta_{\delta,\,\alpha\beta}^{\Pi_f} K_{\beta}$$

with the same coefficients  $\beta_{\delta,\alpha\beta}^{\Pi_f}$ .

<sup>5)</sup> Cf. [6].

2. 3.6 According to (2.2.A), we obtain the following refinements of some of the orthogonality relations for group characters.

[2.3. A] If L and M are two elements of  $\mathfrak G$  which belong to different  $\Pi_f$ -sections of  $\mathfrak G$ , then

$$\sum_{\chi_i \in B} \chi_i(L) \chi_i(M^{-1}) = 0$$

for each  $\Pi_f$ -block  $B = B_{\delta}^{\Pi_f}$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$ .

(2.3.B) If  $\chi_i$  and  $\chi_j$  are two irreducible characters of  $\mathfrak{G}$  which belong to different  $\Pi_f$ -blocks of  $\mathfrak{G}$ , then

$$\sum_{G\in\mathfrak{S}}\chi_i(G)\chi_j(G^{\scriptscriptstyle -1})=0$$

for each  $\Pi_f$ -section  $\mathfrak{S} = \mathfrak{S}_{\gamma}^{\Pi_f}$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$ .

Combining 5) in 1.1 with Theorem 3 in (8), we obtain

(2.3.C) If B is a set of irreducible characters  $\chi_i$  of  $\otimes$  such that

$$\sum_{\mathbf{x}_i \in B} \mathbf{X}_i(L) \mathbf{X}_j(M^{-1}) = 0$$

for any two elements L and M of  $\mathfrak{G}$  which belong to different  $\Pi_f$ -sections of  $\mathfrak{G}$ , then B is a collection of  $\Pi_f$ -blocks of  $\mathfrak{G}$ .

REMARK. Let  $\mathfrak S$  be a collection of classes  $K_{\nu}$  of  $\mathfrak S$ .  $\mathfrak S$  is not always a collection of  $II_{\mathcal F}$ -sections of  $\mathfrak S$ , if

$$\sum_{G \in \mathfrak{S}} \chi_i(G) \chi_j(G^{-1}) = 0$$

holds for any two irreducible characters  $\mathcal{X}_i$  and  $\mathcal{X}_j$  of  $\mathbb{S}$  which belong to different  $\Pi_{\mathcal{F}}$ -blocks of  $\mathbb{S}$ .

**2.4.** Let X be the character ring of  $\mathbb{S}$  over  $\Omega$ :

$$X = \Omega \chi_1 + \Omega \chi_2 + \dots + \Omega \chi_n.$$

The identity of X is the sum of n mutually orthogonal primitive idempotents  $d_1, d_2, \cdots, d_n$  of X:

$$d_{\mu}(G_{\nu}) = \begin{cases} 1 & (\mu = \nu) \\ 0 & (\mu \neq \nu) \end{cases}$$

where  $G_{\nu}$  is a representative element of  $K_{\nu}$  ( $\nu=1,2,\cdots,n$ ). As is well known,  $d_{\mu}$  is given by

(2) 
$$d_{\mu} = \frac{1}{g} \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{\mu} \chi_{i}(G_{\mu}^{-1}) \chi_{i},$$

where  $c_{\mu}$  is the number of elements in  $K_{\mu}$ .

6) Cf. Remark in [6].

It is well known that if  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_l$  are the p-regular sections of  $\mathfrak{G}$  for a rational prime p, then the idempotents  $\delta_{\gamma}$  of X associated with the p-regular sections  $S_{\gamma}$  are the mutually orthogonal primitive idempotents of the character ring

$$X_0 = \mathfrak{o}_{\mathfrak{p}} \, \chi_1 + \mathfrak{o}_{\mathfrak{p}} \, \chi_2 + \dots + \mathfrak{o}_{\mathfrak{p}} \, \chi_n$$

of  $\mathfrak{G}$  over the ring  $\mathfrak{O}_p$  of all  $\mathfrak{p}$ -integers in  $\mathfrak{Q}$ , where  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a prime ideal divisor of p in  $\mathfrak{Q}^{(7)}$ 

For each  $\Pi_f$ -section  $\mathfrak{S}_{\gamma}^{\Pi_f}$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$ , we consider the idempotent  $\varepsilon_{\gamma}^{\Pi_f}$  of X which is associated with  $\mathfrak{S}_{\gamma}^{\Pi_f}$ :

$$arepsilon_{\gamma}^{\Pi_f} = \sum_{K_
u \equiv \mathfrak{S}_{\gamma}^{\Pi_f}} d_
u.$$

[2.4.A] The  $\Pi_f$ -sections  $\mathfrak{S}_{\gamma}^{\Pi_f}$  of  $\mathfrak{S}$  are characterized as the minimal collections  $\mathfrak{S}$  of classes  $K_{\nu}$  of  $\mathfrak{S}$  such that (a) each  $\mathfrak{S}$  is a collection of q-regular sections of  $\mathfrak{S}$  for any rational prime q not belonging to  $\Pi_f$ , (b) each  $\mathfrak{S}$  is not vacuous.

If we set

(3) 
$$\varepsilon_{\gamma}^{\Pi_f} = \sum_{i} \alpha_{\gamma,i}^{\Pi_f} \chi_{i},$$

then we have

[2.4.B]  $\alpha_{\gamma,i}^{\Pi_f}$  can be different from zero only for characters  $\mathcal{X}_i$  which belong to the  $\Pi_f$ -block  $B_1^{\Pi_f}$  containing the 1-character  $\mathcal{X}_1$ . The  $\alpha_{\gamma,i}^{\Pi_f}$  multiplied by  $\pi_f$  are algebraic integers.

[2.4.C] If  $\mathfrak{S}$  is a collection of classes  $K_{\nu}$  of  $\mathfrak{S}$  such that the coefficients  $\alpha_{i}$  of  $\beta \cdot \sum_{K_{\nu} \subseteq \mathfrak{S}} d_{\nu}$  =  $\sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \lambda_{i}$  are algebraic integers, then  $\mathfrak{S}$  is a collection of  $\Pi_{f}$ -sections  $\mathfrak{S}_{\gamma}^{\Pi_{f}}$  of  $\mathfrak{S}$ , where  $\beta$  is a product of powers of the rational primes in  $\Pi_{f}$ .

# 3. Blocks with regard to normal subgroups.

3.1. Let  $\mathfrak{N}$  be a normal subgroup of  $\mathfrak{S}$  whose order is prime to  $\pi_f$ . We consider the idempotents  $\mathcal{J}_{\sigma}^{\mathfrak{N}}$  of Z which are associated with the  $\mathfrak{N}$ -blocks  $\mathfrak{B}_{\sigma}^{\mathfrak{N}}$  of  $\mathfrak{S}$ :

$$\Delta_{\sigma}^{\mathfrak{R}} = \sum_{\chi_{i} \in \mathfrak{B}_{\sigma}^{\mathfrak{R}}} e_{i}.$$

We set

$$\Delta_{\sigma}^{\mathfrak{R}} = \sum_{\nu} a_{\sigma,\nu}^{\mathfrak{R}} K_{\nu},$$

where  $a_{\sigma,\nu}^{\Re} \in \Omega$ . According to facts mentioned in 1.3, we have

(3.1.A)  $a_{\sigma,\nu}^{\Re}$  can be different from zero only for classes  $K_{\nu}$  which are contained in  $\Re$ . The  $(\Re:1)a_{\sigma,\nu}^{\Re}$  are algebraic integers.

(3.1.B) If  $\mathfrak{B}$  is a set of irreducible characters  $\mathcal{X}_i$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$  such that  $\sum_{\chi_i \in \mathfrak{B}} e_i$  is a linear combination of classes  $K_r$  contained in  $\mathfrak{R}$ , then  $\mathfrak{B}$  is a collection of  $\mathfrak{R}$ -blocks  $\mathfrak{B}_{\sigma}^{\mathfrak{R}}$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$ .

<sup>7)</sup> Cf. (11), (12). Cf. also (6).

Combining (3.1.A) with (2.1.C), we have

(3.1.C) If  $\mathfrak{N}$  is a normal subgroup of  $\mathfrak{S}$  whose order is prime to  $\pi_f$ , then each  $\mathfrak{N}$ -block  $\mathfrak{B}_{\sigma}^{\mathfrak{N}}$  of  $\mathfrak{S}$  is a collection of  $\pi_f$ -blocks  $B_{\delta}^{\mathfrak{n}_f}$  of  $\mathfrak{S}$ .

We set

$$(5) K_{\mu} \mathcal{J}_{\sigma}^{\mathfrak{N}} = \sum_{\nu} a_{\sigma, \, \mu\nu}^{\mathfrak{N}} K_{\nu},$$

where  $a_{\sigma,\mu\nu}^{\mathfrak{N}} \in \Omega$ . If  $\mathfrak{M}$  is a normal subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$  which contains  $\mathfrak{N}$ , then  $a_{\sigma,\mu\nu}^{\mathfrak{N}}$  can differ from zero only when either both  $K_{\mu}$  and  $K_{\nu}$  are contained in  $\mathfrak{M}$  or when both are not contained in  $\mathfrak{M}$ . Thus we have

(3.1.D) If  $\mathfrak M$  is a normal subgroup of  $\mathfrak G$  which contains  $\mathfrak N$  and if exactly one of two elements L and M of  $\mathfrak G$  belongs to  $\mathfrak M$ , then

$$\sum_{\chi_i \in \mathfrak{B}} \chi_i(L) \chi_i(M^{-1}) = 0$$

for each  $\mathfrak{R}$ -block  $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{B}_{\sigma}^{\mathfrak{R}}$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$ .

3. 2. Let P be a  $\Pi_f$ -element of  $\mathbb S$  and  $\mathbb N$  a normal subgroup of  $\mathbb S$  whose order is prime to  $\pi_f$ . We shall use the same notation, for this P, as in 2.2:  $\widetilde{\mathbb S}$ ;  $\widetilde{K}_1$ ,  $\widetilde{K}_2$ , ...,  $\widetilde{K}_{\widetilde{k}}$ ;  $K_1$ ,  $K_2$ , ...,  $K_{\widetilde{k}}$ ;  $\widetilde{Z}$ ;  $\widetilde{B}_{(\mathbb S)}^{\Pi_f}$  and etc. Since each  $\mathbb N$ -block  $\mathfrak B_{\sigma}^{\mathbb N}$  of  $\mathbb S$  is a collection of  $\Pi_f$ -blocks  $B_{\delta}^{\Pi_f}$  of  $\mathbb S$ , we may define the collection  $\widetilde{\mathfrak B}_{(\sigma)}^{\mathbb N}$  of  $\Pi_f$ -blocks  $\widetilde{B}_{\rho}^{\Pi_f}$  of  $\widetilde{\mathbb S}$  such that each  $\widetilde{B}_{\rho}^{\Pi_f}$  is contained in a  $\widetilde{B}_{(\mathbb S)}^{\Pi_f}$  with  $B_{\delta}^{\Pi_f} \subseteq \mathfrak B_{\sigma}^{\mathbb N}$ . It is easy to see that each  $\widetilde{\mathfrak B}_{(\sigma)}^{\mathbb N}$  is a collection of  $\widetilde{\mathbb N}$ -blocks  $\widetilde{\mathfrak B}_{\tau}^{\widetilde N}$  of  $\widetilde{\mathbb S}$ , where  $\widetilde{\mathbb N} = \mathbb N \cap \widetilde{\mathbb S}$ . We denote by  $\widetilde{\mathcal A}_{(\sigma)}^{\mathbb N}$  the idempotent of  $\widetilde{Z}$  associated with  $\widetilde{\mathfrak B}_{(\sigma)}^{\mathbb N}$ :

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{(\sigma)}^{\mathfrak{N}} = \sum_{B_{\sigma}^{\Pi f} = \mathfrak{N}_{\delta}^{\mathfrak{N}}} \widetilde{E}_{(\delta)}^{\Pi f}.$$

Then, by (2.2. A) and (5), we obtain

(3.2. A) For  $\mu=1, 2, \dots, \tilde{k}$ , we have

$$K_{\mu} \Delta_{\sigma}^{\mathfrak{N}} = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\widetilde{k}} a_{\sigma, \, \mu \nu}^{\mathfrak{N}} K_{\nu}$$

and

$$\widetilde{K}_{\mu}\widetilde{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}}_{(\sigma)}^{\mathfrak{N}} = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\widetilde{k}} a_{\sigma,\,\mu\nu}^{\mathfrak{N}}\widetilde{K}_{
u}$$

with the same coefficients  $a_{\sigma, \mu\nu}^{\Re}$ .

We shall say that two elements L and M of the  $\Pi_f$ -section  $\mathfrak{S}_f^{\pi}(P)$  of P in  $\mathfrak{S}$  belong to a same  $\Pi_f$ -subsection of P in  $\mathfrak{S}$  with regard to  $\mathfrak{N}$  if and only if the following two conditions are satisfied:

(a) For any normal subgroup  $\mathfrak M$  of  $\mathfrak G$  which contains  $\mathfrak N$ , " $L\in \mathfrak M$ " is equivalent to " $M\in \mathfrak M$ ".

(b) For any normal subgroup  $\widetilde{\mathbb{M}}$  of  $\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}$  which contains  $\mathfrak{N} \cap \widetilde{\mathbb{G}}$ , " $Q \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$ " is equivalent to " $R \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{M}}$ ", where Q and R are two  $\Pi_f$ -regular elements of  $\widetilde{\mathbb{G}}$  such that L and M are conjugate in  $\mathbb{G}$  to PQ and PR, respectively.

Considering this construction for each  $P=P_{\gamma}^{\pi_f}$ , we can distribute the elements of  $\mathfrak{G}$  into a certain number of  $\Pi_f$ -subsections with regard to  $\mathfrak{N}$ . According to (3.2.A), we can refine (3.1.D) as follows:

[3.2.B] If L and M are two elements of  ${}^{\textcircled{S}}$  which belong to different  $\Pi_{f}$ -subsections of  ${}^{\textcircled{S}}$  with regard to  ${}^{\textcircled{N}}$ , then

$$\sum_{\chi_i \in \mathfrak{B}} \chi_i(L) \chi_i(M^{-1}) = 0$$

for each  $\mathfrak{N}$ -block  $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{B}_{\sigma}^{\mathfrak{N}}$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$ .

(3.2.C) If  $\chi_i$  and  $\chi_j$  are two irreducible characters of  $\mathfrak{G}$  which belong to different  $\mathfrak{R}$ -blocks of  $\mathfrak{G}$ , then

$$\sum_{G \in \mathfrak{S}} \chi_i(G) \chi_j(G^{-1}) = 0$$

for each  $\Pi_f$ -subsection  $\mathfrak{S}$  of  $\mathfrak{S}$  with regard to  $\mathfrak{N}$ .

Department of Mathematics,

Faculty of Science,

Kumamoto University

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. Brauer, On blocks of characters of groups of finite order II, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 32 (1946), 215-219.
- [2] ———, Zur Darstellungstheorie der Gruppen endlicher Ordnung II, Math. Zeitschr., 72 (1959), 25-46.
- [3] K. IIZUKA, On Brauer's theorem on sections in the theory of blocks of group characters, Math. Zeitschr., 75 (1961), 299-304.
- [4] —, On Osima's blocks of group characters, Proc. Japan Acad., 36 (1960), 392-396.
- [5] —, On Osima's blocks of groups of finite order, Kumamoto Jour. Sci., 4 (1960), 275-283.
- [6] —, On the blocks and the sections of finite groups, Kumamoto Jour. Sci., 5 (1960), 53-62.
- [7] M. Osima, On the representations of groups of finite order, Math. Jour. Okayama Univ., 1 (1952),
- [8] —, Notes on blocks of group characters, Math. Jour. Okayama Univ., 4 (1955), 175-188.
- [9] —, On some properties of group characters, Proc. Japan Acad., 36 (1960), 18-21.
- [10] ———, On some properties of group characters II, Math. Jour. Okayama Univ., 10 (1960), 61-66.
- [11] P. ROQUETTE, Arithmetische Untersuchung des Charakterringes einer endlichen Gruppe, Crelles Jour., 190 (1952), 148-168.
- [12] K. Shiratani, On the characters and the character rings of finite groups, Mem. Fac. Kyusyu Univ., 11 (1957), 99-115.