

## A NOTE ON KÄHLERIAN HYPERSURFACES OF SPACES OF CONSTANT CURVATURE

Toshio TAKAHASHI

(Received November 30, 1971)

### 1. Introduction.

It is known that a Sasakian manifold  $M^{2n+1}$  which is isometrically immersed in a Riemannian manifold  $\tilde{M}^{2n+2}$  of constant curvature  $\bar{c} \neq 1$  is of constant curvature 1 ([4]). In the case when  $\bar{c} = 1$ , the Sasakian manifold is of constant curvature 1 if and only if it is of constant scalar curvature  $2n(2n+1)$  ([3]). In this note, we study the Kählerian analogues.

For notations and fundamental facts, we refer to [1] and [2].

The present author wishes to express his hearty thanks to Dr. S. Tanno for his kind advices.

### 2. Fundamental formulas.

Let  $(M^{2n}, g, J)$  be a Kählerian manifold which is isometrically immersed in a Riemannian manifold  $(\tilde{M}^{2n+1}, \tilde{g})$  of constant curvature  $\bar{c}$ . Then we have the equation of Gauss:

$$(2.1) \quad R(X, Y) = \bar{c}X \wedge Y + AX \wedge AY.$$

In particular, we have

$$(2.2) \quad R(X, Y)JZ = \bar{c}\{g(Y, JZ)X - g(X, JZ)Y\} \\ + g(AY, JZ)AX - g(AX, JZ)AY.$$

On the other hand, since the Riemannian connection of a Kählerian manifold is almost complex, taking account of (2.1), we get

$$(2.3) \quad R(X, Y)JZ = \bar{c}\{g(Y, Z)JX - g(X, Z)JY\} \\ + g(AY, Z)JAX - g(AX, Z)JAY.$$

According to (2.1), we get

$$(2.4) \quad S(X, Y) = (2n-1)\bar{c}g(X, Y) + g(AX, Y)\text{Tr}A - g(AX, AY).$$

On the other hand, since we have  $S(X, Y) = 1/2 \{\text{trace of } J \circ R(X, JY)\}$  for a

Kählerian manifold, taking account of (2.1), we get

$$(2.5) \quad S(X, Y) = \bar{c}g(X, Y) + g(JAX, AJY).$$

Now, let  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{2n}$  be the principal curvatures at a point of  $M^{2n}$  and let  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{2n}$  be the corresponding principal directions:  $Ae_j = \lambda_j e_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq 2n$ . For  $i \neq j$ , (2.2) and (2.3) respectively imply

$$R(e_i, e_j)Je_i = (\bar{c} + \lambda_i \lambda_j)g(\bar{e}_j, Je_i)e_i,$$

$$R(e_i, e_j)J\bar{e}_i = -(\bar{c} + \lambda_i \lambda_j)Je_j.$$

Thus we get

$$(2.6) \quad (\bar{c} + \lambda_i \lambda_j)\{g(e_j, Je_i)e_i + Je_j\} = 0,$$

and consequently, we get either (I) or (II):

$$(I) \quad \bar{c} + \lambda_i \lambda_j = 0 \quad \text{for all } i \neq j,$$

$$(II) \quad e_j = Je_i \quad \text{for some } i \neq j.$$

Case (I):  $\bar{c} = 0$  implies that  $\text{rank } A \leq 1$ , and hence  $M^{2n}$  is of constant curvature 0 at the point in consideration.  $\bar{c} \neq 0$  implies that  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_{2n} = \lambda \neq 0$  in the case when  $n \geq 2$ . In this case,  $M^{2n}$  is of constant curvature  $\bar{c} + \lambda^2$  at the point in consideration. In general, if a Kählerian manifold of complex dimension  $\geq 2$  is of constant curvature at a point, then it is flat at the point in consideration (see, for example, [5]). Hence  $M^{2n}$  is flat at the point. In particular, we have  $\bar{c} < 0$ .

Case (II): (2.4) implies

$$(2.7) \quad S(e_i, e_j) = (2n-1)\bar{c}\delta_{ij} + \lambda_i(\sum \lambda_k)\delta_{ij} - \lambda_i^2\delta_{ij}.$$

On the other hand, (2.5) implies

$$(2.8) \quad S(e_i, e_j) = \bar{c}\delta_{ij} + \lambda_i g(Je_i, AJe_j).$$

Hence, according to (2.7) and (2.8), we get

$$(2.9) \quad (2n-2)\bar{c} + \lambda_i(\sum \lambda_k) - \lambda_i^2 = \lambda_i g(Je_i, AJe_i).$$

Now, suppose we have  $Je_i = e_j$ , then (2.9) implies

$$(2.10) \quad \lambda_i(\sum_{k \neq i, j} \lambda_k) = -(2n-1)\bar{c},$$

$$(2.10)' \quad \lambda_j(\sum_{k \neq i, j} \lambda_k) = -(2n-1)\bar{c}.$$

Thus, if  $n \geq 2$  and  $\bar{c} \neq 0$ , then  $\lambda_i = \lambda_j \neq 0$  holds good.

### 3. The case $\bar{c} = 0$ .

In this section, we assume  $\bar{c} = 0$ . Suppose there were 3 non-zero principal curvatures, say  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  and  $\lambda_3$ . Then (2.6) implies  $e_1 = \pm Je_2$  and  $e_1 = \pm Je_3$ , and hence  $e_2 = \pm e_3$ . This is a contradiction. Thus there are at most two non-zero principal curvatures, say  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$ . In this case, (2.7) implies that the scalar curvature of  $M^{2n}$  is equal to  $2\lambda_1\lambda_2$ . Hence we get

**THEOREM 1.** *A Kählerian manifold which is a hypersurface of a flat Riemannian manifold is flat if and only if it has a constant scalar curvature 0.*

### 4. The case $\bar{c} \neq 0$ .

In this section, we assume  $\bar{c} \neq 0$  and  $n \geq 2$ . Suppose (I) holds at a point. Then there is only one principal curvature at the point in consideration.

For a Kählerian manifold, we have  $R(JX, JY) = R(X, Y)$ . Hence, taking account of (2.1), we get

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & (\bar{c} + \lambda_i\lambda_j) \{g(e_j, e_k)e_i - g(e_i, e_k)e_j\} \\ & = c \{g(Je_j, e_k)Je_i - g(Je_i, e_k)Je_j\} \\ & \quad + g(AJe_j, e_k)AJe_i - g(AJe_i, e_k)AJe_j. \end{aligned}$$

Now, suppose (II) holds at a point. Then we may assume that  $Je_1 = e_2$  holds. In this case, (4.1) with  $i=1$  and  $j=k \neq 1, 2$  implies

$$(4.2) \quad \bar{c} + \lambda_1\lambda_j = 0,$$

and hence we get  $\lambda_3 = \lambda_4 = \dots = \lambda_{2n}$ . Thus we may suppose  $Je_3 = e_4$ . If  $n \geq 3$ , we get  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \dots = \lambda_{2n}$ . If  $n=2$ , we get  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2, \lambda_3 = \lambda_4$  and  $\bar{c} + \lambda_1\lambda_3 = 0$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *A Kählerian manifold  $M^{2n}$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , which is isometrically immersed in a Riemannian manifold  $\tilde{M}^{2n+1}$  of constant curvature  $\bar{c} \neq 0$  is flat. Moreover, in this case, we have  $\bar{c} < 0$ .*

**PROOF.** By the above argument,  $M^{2n}$  is totally umbilic and hence of constant curvature. Thus  $M^{2n}$  is flat. Q. E. D.

**THEOREM 3.** *If a Kählerian manifold  $M^4$  is isometrically immersed in a real space form  $\tilde{M}^5$  of constant curvature  $\bar{c} \neq 0$ , then  $M^4$  has a non-negative scalar curvature, and  $M^4$  has a constant scalar curvature 0 if and only if  $M^4$  is flat. In the latter case, we have  $\bar{c} < 0$ .*

**PROOF.** By the argument above, we see that there are two cases. The first case is that all the principal curvatures are the same at a point, and

the second case is that  $\lambda_1=\lambda_2$ ,  $\lambda_3=\lambda_4$  and  $\bar{c} + \lambda_1\lambda_3=0$  hold at a point. In the first case,  $M^4$  is of constant curvature and hence flat at the point in consideration. In the second case, (2.7) implies that the scalar curvature is equal to  $2(\lambda_1-\lambda_3)^2$  at the point. Thus these two cases imply Theorem 3. Q. E. D.

### References

- [1] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, Foundations of Differential Geometry, volume I and II, Interscience Publishers, 1963 and 1969.
- [2] P. J. Ryan, Homogeneity and some curvature conditions for hypersurfaces, Tôhoku Math. J., 21(1969), 363-388.
- [3] S. Tanno, Isometric immersions of Sasakian manifolds in spheres, Kôdai Math. Sem. Rep., 21(1969), 448-458.
- [4] T. Takahashi, Sasakian hypersurfaces in a space of constant curvature, Tôhoku Math. J., 21(1969), 644-653.
- [5] K. Yano, Differential Geometry on Complex and Almost Complex Spaces, Pergamon Press, 1965.

Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Science  
Kumamoto University